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# NCCN Guidelines for Patients®

## Invasive Breast Cancer (continued)

- **Fertility and Birth Control After Adjuvant Breast Cancer Treatment (BINV-C)**
- **Surgical Axillary Staging - Stage I, IIA, and IIB (BINV-D)**
- **Axillary Lymph Node Staging (BINV-E)**
- **Margin Status in Infiltrating Carcinoma (BINV-F)**
- **Special Considerations to Breast-Conserving Therapy Requiring Radiation Therapy (BINV-G)**
- **Principles of Breast Reconstruction Following Surgery (BINV-H)**
- **Principles of Radiation Therapy (BINV-I)**
- **Adjuvant Endocrine Therapy (BINV-J)**
- **Neoadjuvant/Adjuvant Chemotherapy (BINV-K)**
- **Definition of Menopause (BINV-L)**
- **Principles of Monitoring Metastatic Disease (BINV-M)**
- **Subsequent Endocrine Therapy for Systemic Disease (BINV-N)**
- **Chemotherapy Regimens for Recurrent or Metastatic Breast Cancer (BINV-O)**

## Special Considerations

- **Phyllodes Tumor (PHYLL-1)**
- **Paget’s Disease (PAGET-1)**
- **Breast Cancer During Pregnancy (PREG-1)**
- **Inflammatory Breast Cancer (IBC-1)**
- **Staging (ST-1)**

---

The NCCN Guidelines® are a statement of evidence and consensus of the authors regarding their views of currently accepted approaches to treatment. Any clinician seeking to apply or consult the NCCN Guidelines is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine any patient’s care or treatment. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN®) makes no representations or warranties of any kind regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way. The NCCN Guidelines are copyrighted by National Comprehensive Cancer Network®. All rights reserved. The NCCN Guidelines and the illustrations herein may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN. ©2013.
Summary of changes in the 1.2013 version of the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer from the 3.2012 version include:

**LCIS-1**
- Footnote c is new to the page: “Multifocal/extensive LCIS involving >4 terminal ductal lobular units on a core biopsy may be associated with increased risk of invasive cancer on surgical excision.”

**DCIS-1**

**BINV-1**
- Workup; Breast MRI (optional), added “with special consideration for mammographically occult tumors.”
- Workup; if clinical stage IIIA (T3, N1, M0) consider: added “Bone scan or sodium fluoride PET/CT (category 2B).” Changed “fluoride PET/CT” to “sodium fluoride PET/CT” throughout the guidelines.
- Modified footnote g. “If FDG PET/CT is performed and clearly indicates bone metastasis, on both the PET and CT component, bone scan or sodium fluoride PET/CT may not be needed.”

**BINV-5**
- Systemic adjuvant treatment - Hormone Receptor Positive- HER2 Negative Disease; changed the recommendation for pN1mi from “Adjuvant endocrine therapy ± adjuvant chemotherapy with trastuzumab” to “Adjuvant endocrine therapy or Adjuvant chemotherapy with trastuzumab followed by endocrine therapy.”
- Modified footnote w: Chemotherapy and endocrine therapy used as adjuvant therapy should be given sequentially with endocrine therapy following chemotherapy. Available data suggest that sequential or concurrent endocrine therapy with radiation therapy is acceptable.” The following sentence was removed: “The benefits of chemotherapy and of endocrine therapy are additive. However, the absolute benefit from chemotherapy may be small. The decision to add chemotherapy to endocrine therapy should be individualized, especially in those with a favorable prognosis where the incremental benefit of chemotherapy may be smaller.”
- Modified footnote y: Changed “generally favorable” to “uncertain.”

**BINV-6**
- Systemic Adjuvant Treatment-Hormone Receptor Positive-HER2 Negative Disease: removed the category 2B designation from adjuvant chemotherapy following intermediate and high recurrence scores.

**BINV-10**
- Preoperative Chemotherapy Guideline, Workup; Breast MRI (optional), added “with special consideration for mammographically occult tumors.”

**BINV-11**
- Changed the heading to: “Preoperative Chemotherapy Breast and Axillary Evaluation.”
- Following the pathway for breast preservation, changed recommendation to“Core biopsy with placement of image-detectable marker(s), if not previously performed, should be considered to demarcate the tumor bed for post-chemotherapy surgical management.”

**BINV-12**
- Changed “No response after 3-4 cycles” to “Confirmed progressive disease at any time.”
- Following “Confirmed progressive disease at any time,” removed the pathway for “Consider alternative chemotherapy” and added a new arrow to “See Mastectomy (BINV-13).”
- Modified footnote ee. Added the following sentence: “Selection of imaging methods prior to surgery should be determined by the multidisciplinary team.”

**BINV-13**
- Following the pathways for mastectomy and lumpectomy, removed “Consider additional chemotherapy in the context of a clinical trial.”
- Under adjuvant treatment for both pathways added a new bullet. The new bullet states “Complete planned chemotherapy regimen course if not completed preoperatively plus endocrine treatment if ER-positive and/or PR-positive (sequential chemotherapy followed by endocrine therapy).”

Continued on next page
**BINV-A**

- Footnote 1 is new to the page: “NCCN endorses the ASCO CAP recommendations for quality control performance of HER2 testing and interpretation of IHC and ISH results.”
- Footnote 5 is new to the page: “In those circumstances if both IHC and ISH are performed, if one or the other or both are positive, then consider HER2 positive.”
- Following ISH testing, removed the pathway for “Borderline result.”
- Following ISH testing negative, added “<2.”
- Following ISH testing positive, added “>2.”
- Footnote 7 has been modified: “Borderline in situ hybridization (ISH) samples (eg, an average HER2 gene/chromosome 17 ratio of 1.8 - <2 or an average HER2 gene copy number of >4 - <6) should undergo: counting of additional cells; retesting by ISH; or reflex testing by a validated IHC method.”

**BINV-B**

- Principles of Dedicated Breast MRI Testing, under Clinical indications and applications, first bullet; added the following sentence: “There are no high level data to demonstrate that the use of MRI to facilitate local therapy decision-making improves local recurrence or survival.”

**BINV-D**

- Following sentinel node negative, removed Consider from “No further surgery.”
- Removed the following footnotes:
  - “A sentinel node team must have documented experience with sentinel node biopsy in breast cancer. The team includes surgeon, radiologists, nuclear medicine physician, pathologist, and prior discussion with medical and radiation oncologist on use of sentinel node for treatment decisions.”
  - “Axillary sentinel node biopsy in all cases; internal mammary sentinel node biopsy is optional if drainage maps to internal mammary nodes (category 3).”
  - “Results of randomized clinical trials indicate that there is a lower risk of morbidity associated with sentinel node mapping and excision than with level I/II axillary dissection.”

**BINV-16**

- Removed “Interval” before “History and physical exam...”

**BINV-17**

- Added the heading “Recurrent/Stage IV Disease.”
- Added subheadings for “Clinical Stage” and “Workup.”
- Workup; added “alkaline phosphatase” after “Liver function tests.”
- Workup; added FDG PET/CT (optional, category 2B) with footnotes i and hh.

**BINV-18**

- Footnote hh is new to the page: “FDG PET/CT can be performed at the same time as diagnostic CT. FDG PET/CT is most helpful in situations where standard staging studies are equivocal or suspicious, especially in the setting of locally advanced or metastatic disease.”
- Removed the following footnote: “The use of PET or PET/CT scanning should generally be discouraged for the evaluation of metastatic disease except in those clinical situations where other staging studies are equivocal or suspicious. Even in these situations, biopsy of equivocal or suspicious sites is more likely to provide useful information.”

**BINV-19**

- Footnote mm is new to the page: “The decision to use radiation therapy to treat local-regional recurrence must factor in any prior radiation to the area and the risk of late normal tissue toxicity from the sum of the prior and planned radiation courses.”
- Replaced footnote jj: “See NCCN Palliative Care Guidelines” with a link directly on the algorithm.

**BINV-20**

- Modified footnote rr. Added the following sentence “Two studies with similar design (FACT and SOFEA) demonstrated no advantage in time to progression with the addition of fulvestrant to anastrozole.”

---

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
**BINV-E**
- Replaced “The axillary dissection should be extended to include level III nodes only if there is gross disease apparent in the level II nodes” with “In the absence of gross disease in level II nodes, lymph node dissection should include tissue inferior to the axillary vein from the latissimus dorsi muscle laterally to the medial border of the pectoralis minor muscle (level I/II). Level III dissection to the thoracic inlet should be performed only in cases with gross disease in level II.”

**BINV-H**
- Modified the last sentence of the third bullet. “Current data are inadequate to support the routine use of nipple-areolar complex sparing procedures for breast cancer therapy.” Deleted “outside the confines of a prospective clinical trial.”

**BINV-I**
- Under whole breast radiation, for the sentence stating “A boost to the tumor bed is recommended in patients at higher risk,” added “(age <50 and high-grade disease).”

**BINV-K**
- Moved TAC (docetaxel/doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide) from preferred regimens to other regimens.
- Removed AC (doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide) followed by weekly paclitaxel and ATC.
- Added Dose-dense AC (doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide) followed by weekly paclitaxel to preferred regimens.
- Added FAC followed by T (fluorouracil/doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide followed by weekly paclitaxel)

**BINV-N**
- Modified footnote 1. Removed “A randomized study using the mTOR inhibitor temsirolimus in combination with endocrine therapy did not demonstrate any improvement in outcome.”

**BINV-O**
- Added the following to the list of “Other single agents” (including dosing schedules and references):
  - Albumin-bound paclitaxel
  - Carboplatin
  - Docetaxel
  - Epirubicin
- Removed the following from the Guidelines:
  - Etoposide (PO)
  - Fluorouracil CI
  - Mitoxantrone
  - Vinblastine
- Removed “Preferred agents with bevacizumab, paclitaxel” and added the regimen to the list of chemotherapy combinations.
- Added the following to the list of chemotherapy combinations (including dosing schedule and references):
  - Gemcitabine/carboplatin
- Removed: AT (doxorubicin/docetaxel; doxorubicin/paclitaxel) and ixabepilone + capecitabine from the list of chemotherapy combinations.

**PAGET-2**
- Modified recommendation: Appropriate systemic adjuvant therapy as clinically indicated.

**IBC-1**
- Modified footnote d: “If FDG PET/CT is performed and clearly indicates bone metastasis, on both the PET and CT component, bone scan or fluoride PET/CT may not be needed.”
- Removed “but not concurrent with anthracycline.”

**IBC-2**
- Following the pathway for response; second bullet, added “May be administered concurrently with radiation therapy and with endocrine therapy if indicated.”
**LCIS-1**

**Lobular Carcinoma in Situ (LCIS)**

**Diagnosis**

- Lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS) identified on breast biopsy
  - Stage 0
  - Tis, N0, M0

**Workup**

- History and physical
- Diagnostic bilateral mammogram
- Pathology review

**Risk Reduction**

- Counseling regarding risk reduction, see NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction

**Surveillance**

- Surveillance as per
  - NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction
  - NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis

**Note:**

- All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
- Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

---

*a* LCIS is present on initial biopsy (needle or surgical) or on final excision with or without other proliferative changes (atypical ductal or lobular hyperplasia).

*b* Some variants of LCIS ("pleomorphic LCIS") may have a similar biological behavior to that of DCIS. Clinicians may consider complete excision with negative margins for pleomorphic LCIS, but outcome data regarding the efficacy of surgical excision to negative margins and/or radiotherapy are lacking.

*c* Multifocal/extensive LCIS involving >4 terminal ductal lobular units on a core biopsy may be associated with increased risk of invasive cancer on surgical excision.
### PRIMARY TREATMENT

- **Lumpectomy**\(\text{e,f}^\) without lymph node surgery\(\text{g}^\) + whole breast radiation therapy\(\text{h,i,j,k,l}^\) (category 1)
- or
- Total mastectomy with or without sentinel node biopsy\(\text{g,j}^\) ± reconstruction\(\text{m}^\)
- or
- Lumpectomy\(\text{e,f}^\) without lymph node surgery\(\text{g}^\) without radiation therapy\(\text{h,i,j,k,l}^\) (category 2B)

---

**DCIS Stage 0**

**Tis, N0, M0**\(\text{a}^\)

- **History and physical exam**
- **Diagnostic bilateral mammogram**
- **Pathology review**\(\text{b}^\)
- **Determination of tumor estrogen receptor (ER) status**
- **Genetic counseling if patient is high risk for hereditary breast cancer**\(\text{c}^\)
- **Breast MRI**\(\text{d}^\) (optional)

---

**See NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis.**

\(\text{a}^\) The panel endorses the College of American Pathologists Protocol for pathology reporting for all invasive and noninvasive carcinomas of the breast. [http://www.cap.org](http://www.cap.org).

\(\text{b}^\) See NCCN Guidelines for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian.

\(\text{c}^\) See Margin Status in DCIS (DCIS-A).

\(\text{d}^\) See Principles of Dedicated Breast MRI Testing (BINV-B).

\(\text{e}^\) Re-resection(s) may be performed in an effort to obtain negative margins in patients desiring breast-conserving therapy. Patients not amenable to margin-free lumpectomy should have total mastectomy.

\(\text{f}^\) See Principles of Radiation Therapy (BINV-I).

\(\text{g}^\) Complete axillary lymph node dissection should not be performed in the absence of evidence of invasive cancer or proven metastatic disease in women with apparent pure DCIS. However, a small proportion of patients with apparent pure DCIS will be found to have invasive cancer at the time of their definitive surgical procedure. Therefore, the performance of a sentinel lymph node procedure should be strongly considered if the patient with apparent pure DCIS is to be treated with mastectomy or with excision in an anatomic location compromising the performance of a future sentinel lymph node procedure.

\(\text{h}^\) See Principles of Radiation Therapy (BINV-I).

\(\text{i}^\) Complete resection should be documented by analysis of margins and specimen radiography. Post-excision mammography should also be performed whenever uncertainty about adequacy of excision remains.

\(\text{j}^\) Patients found to have invasive disease at total mastectomy or re-excision should be managed as having stage I or stage II disease, including lymph node staging.

\(\text{k}^\) See Special Considerations to Breast-Conserving Therapy (BINV-G).

\(\text{l}^\) Whole-breast radiation therapy following lumpectomy reduces recurrence rates in DCIS by about 50%. Approximately half of the recurrences are invasive and half are DCIS. A number of factors determine that local recurrence risk: palpable mass, larger size, higher grade, close or involved margins, and age <50 years. If the patient and physician view the individual risk as “low,” some patients may be treated by excision alone. All data evaluating the three local treatments show no differences in patient survival.

\(\text{m}^\) See Principles of Breast Reconstruction Following Surgery (BINV-H).

---

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

**Clinical Trials:** NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Risk reduction therapy for ipsilateral breast following breast-conserving surgery:
Consider tamoxifen\(^n\) for 5 years for:
- Patients treated with breast-conserving therapy (lumpectomy) and radiation therapy\(^n\) (category 1), especially for those with ER-positive DCIS. The benefit of tamoxifen for ER-negative DCIS is uncertain
- Patients treated with excision alone\(^n\)

Risk reduction therapy for contralateral breast:
- Counseling regarding risk reduction\(^n\)

See NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction

\(^n\)Some SSRIs like fluoxetine and paroxetine decrease the formation of endoxifen and 4-OH tamoxifen, active metabolites of tamoxifen, and may impact efficacy. Caution is advised about coadministration of these drugs with tamoxifen. However, citalopram and venlafaxine appear to have minimal impact on tamoxifen metabolism. At this time, based on current data the panel recommends against CYP2D6 testing for women being considered for tamoxifen therapy. Coadministration of strong inhibitors of CYP2D6 should be used with caution.

\(^o\)Available data suggest tamoxifen provides risk reduction in the ipsilateral breast treated with breast conservation and in the contralateral breast in patients with mastectomy or breast conservation with ER-positive primary tumors. Since a survival advantage has not been demonstrated, individual consideration of risks and benefits is important (See also NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
MARGIN STATUS IN DCIS

Substantial controversy exists regarding the definition of a negative pathologic margin in DCIS. Controversy arises out of the heterogeneity of the disease, difficulties in distinguishing the spectrum of hyperplastic conditions, anatomic considerations of the location of the margin, and inadequate prospective data on prognostic factors in DCIS.

Margins greater than 10 mm are widely accepted as negative (but may be excessive and may lead to a less optimal cosmetic outcome).

Margins less than 1 mm are considered inadequate.

With pathologic margins between 1-10 mm, wider margins are generally associated with lower local recurrence rates. However, close surgical margins (<1 mm) at the fibroglandular boundary of the breast (chest wall or skin) do not mandate surgical re-excision but can be an indication for higher boost dose radiation to the involved lumpectomy site (category 2B).
# Invasive Breast Cancer

## Clinical Stage

### WORKUP

- History and physical exam
- CBC, platelets
- Liver function tests and alkaline phosphatase
- Diagnostic bilateral mammogram, ultrasound as necessary
- Pathology review<sup>a</sup>
- Determination of tumor estrogen/progesterone receptor (ER/PR) status and HER2 status<sup>b</sup>
- Genetic counseling if patient is high risk for hereditary breast cancer<sup>c</sup>
- Breast MRI<sup>d</sup> (optional), with special consideration for mammographically occult tumors
- Consider fertility counseling if indicated<sup>e</sup>

For clinical stage I-IIIB, consider additional studies only if directed by signs or symptoms:
- Bone scan indicated if localized bone pain or elevated alkaline phosphatase
- Abdominal ± pelvic diagnostic CT or MRI indicated if elevated alkaline phosphatase, abnormal liver function tests, abdominal symptoms, or abnormal physical examination of the abdomen or pelvis
- Chest diagnostic CT (if pulmonary symptoms present)

If clinical stage IIIA (T3, N1, M0) consider:
- Chest diagnostic CT
- Abdominal ± pelvic diagnostic CT or MRI
- Bone scan or sodium fluoride PET/CT<sup>g</sup> (category 2B)
- FDG PET/CT<sup>h,i</sup> (optional, category 2B)


<sup>b</sup>See Principles of HER2 Testing (BINV-A).

<sup>c</sup>See NCCN Guidelines for Genetics/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian.

<sup>d</sup>See Principles of Dedicated Breast MRI Testing (BINV-B).

<sup>e</sup>See Fertility and Birth Control After Adjuvant Breast Cancer Treatment (BINV-C).

<sup>f</sup>Routine systemic staging is not indicated for early breast cancer in the absence of symptoms.

<sup>g</sup>If FDG PET/CT is performed and clearly indicates bone metastasis, on both the PET and CT component, bone scan or sodium fluoride PET/CT may not be needed.

<sup>h</sup>FDG PET/CT can be performed at the same time as diagnostic CT. The use of PET or PET/CT scanning is not indicated in the staging of clinical stage I, II, or operable III breast cancer. FDG PET/CT is most helpful in situations where standard staging studies are equivocal or suspicious, especially in the setting of locally advanced or metastatic disease.

<sup>i</sup>FDG PET/CT may also be helpful in identifying unsuspected regional nodal disease and/or distant metastases in locally advanced breast cancer when used in addition to standard staging studies.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage I</th>
<th>T1, N0, M0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>or</td>
<td>Stage IIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0, N1, M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1, N1, M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2, N0, M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or</td>
<td>Stage IIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2, N1, M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3, N0, M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or</td>
<td>Stage IIIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3, N1, M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
LOCOREGIONAL TREATMENT OF CLINICAL STAGE I, IIA, OR IIB DISEASE OR T3, N1, M0

Radiation therapy to whole breast with or without boost\(^p\) (by photons, brachytherapy, or electron beam) to tumor bed (category 1), infraclavicular region and supraclavicular area. Strongly consider radiation therapy to internal mammary nodes\(^q\) (category 2B). Radiation therapy should follow chemotherapy when chemotherapy is indicated.

Radiation therapy to whole breast with or without boost\(^p\) (by photons, brachytherapy, or electron beam) to tumor bed (category 1) following chemotherapy when chemotherapy is indicated. Strongly consider radiation therapy to infraclavicular region and supraclavicular area (category 2B). Strongly consider radiation therapy to internal mammary nodes\(^q\) (category 2B). Radiation therapy should follow chemotherapy when chemotherapy is indicated.

Radiation therapy to whole breast with or without boost\(^p\) (by photons, brachytherapy, or electron beam) to tumor bed or consideration of partial breast irradiation (PBI) in selected patients.\(^p,r\) Radiation therapy should follow chemotherapy when chemotherapy is indicated.\(^s\)

Lumpectomy with surgical axillary staging\(^j,k,l\) (category 1)\(^m\)

\(\geq 4\) positive axillary nodes

1-3 positive axillary nodes

Negative axillary nodes

Total mastectomy with surgical axillary staging\(^j,k,m\) (category 1) ± reconstruction\(^n\)

or

If T2 or T3 and fulfills criteria for breast-conserving therapy except for size\(^l\)

Consider Preoperative Chemotherapy Guideline (BINV-10)

See Locoregional Treatment (BINV-3)

See Surgical Axillary Staging (BINV-D).

See Axillary Lymph Node Staging (BINV-E) and Margin Status in Infiltrating Carcinoma (BINV-F).

See Special Considerations to Breast-Conserving Therapy (BINV-G).

Except as outlined in the NCCN Guidelines for Genetics/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian and the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction, prophylactic mastectomy of a breast contralateral to a known unilateral breast cancer is discouraged. When considered, the small benefits from contralateral prophylactic mastectomy for women with unilateral breast cancer must be balanced with the risk of recurrent disease from the known ipsilateral breast cancer, psychological and social issues of bilateral mastectomy, and the risks of contralateral mastectomy. The use of a prophylactic mastectomy contralateral to a breast treated with breast-conserving therapy is very strongly discouraged.

\(^1\) See Surgical Axillary Staging (BINV-D).

\(^2\) See Axillary Lymph Node Staging (BINV-E) and Margin Status in Infiltrating Carcinoma (BINV-F).

\(^3\) See Special Considerations to Breast-Conserving Therapy (BINV-G).

\(^4\) Except as outlined in the NCCN Guidelines for Genetics/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian and the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction, prophylactic mastectomy of a breast contralateral to a known unilateral breast cancer is discouraged. When considered, the small benefits from contralateral prophylactic mastectomy for women with unilateral breast cancer must be balanced with the risk of recurrent disease from the known ipsilateral breast cancer, psychological and social issues of bilateral mastectomy, and the risks of contralateral mastectomy. The use of a prophylactic mastectomy contralateral to a breast treated with breast-conserving therapy is very strongly discouraged.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
LOCOREGIONAL TREATMENT OF CLINICAL STAGE I, IIA, OR IIB DISEASE OR T3, N1, M0

≥4 positive axillary nodes

Postchemotherapy radiation therapy to chest wall (category 1) + infraclavicular and supraclavicular areas. P Strongly consider radiation therapy P, q to internal mammary nodes (category 2B).

1-3 positive axillary nodes

Strongly consider postchemotherapy radiation therapy to chest wall + infraclavicular and supraclavicular areas; P if radiation therapy is given, strongly consider internal mammary node radiation therapy P, q (category 2B).

Total mastectomy with surgical axillary staging j, k (category 1) ± reconstruction n

Consider imaging for systemic staging, including diagnostic CT or MRI, bone scan, and optional FDG PET/CT (category 2B). See BINV-1.

| Negative axillary nodes and tumor >5 cm or margins positive | Consider radiation therapy to chest wall ± infraclavicular and supraclavicular nodes. Strongly consider radiation therapy P to internal mammary nodes (category 2B). |
| Negative axillary nodes and tumor ≤5 cm and close margins (<1 mm) | Consider postchemotherapy radiation therapy P to chest wall. |
| Negative axillary nodes and tumor ≤5 cm and margins ≥1 mm | No radiation therapy |

See Surgical Axillary Staging (BINV-D).
See Axillary Lymph Node Staging (BINV-E) and Margin Status in Infiltrating Carcinoma (BINV-F).
See Principles of Breast Reconstruction Following Surgery (BINV-H).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

J See Surgical Axillary Staging (BINV-D).
K See Axillary Lymph Node Staging (BINV-E) and Margin Status in Infiltrating Carcinoma (BINV-F).
N See Principles of Breast Reconstruction Following Surgery (BINV-H).
O Consider imaging for systemic staging, including diagnostic CT or MRI, bone scan, and optional FDG PET/CT (category 2B) (See BINV-1).
P See Principles of Radiation Therapy (BINV-I).
Q Radiation therapy should be given to the internal mammary lymph nodes that are clinically or pathologically positive, otherwise the treatment to the internal mammary nodes is at the discretion of the treating radiation oncologist. CT treatment planning should be utilized in all cases where radiation therapy is delivered to the internal mammary lymph nodes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HISTOLOGY</th>
<th>HORMONE RECEPTOR STATUS</th>
<th>HER2 STATUS</th>
<th>SYSTEMIC ADJUVANT TREATMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ductal†, Lobular, Mixed, Metaplastic</td>
<td>ER positive and/or PR positive</td>
<td>HER2-positive⁹</td>
<td>See Systemic Adjuvant Treatment - Hormone Receptor Positive - HER2 Positive Disease (BINV-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ER negative and PR negative</td>
<td>HER2-negative⁹</td>
<td>See Systemic Adjuvant Treatment - Hormone Receptor Positive - HER2 Negative Disease (BINV-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubular, Mucinous</td>
<td>ER positive and/or PR positive</td>
<td>HER2-positive⁹</td>
<td>See Systemic Adjuvant Treatment - Hormone Receptor Negative - HER2 Positive Disease (BINV-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ER negative and PR negative</td>
<td>HER2-negative⁹</td>
<td>See Systemic Adjuvant Treatment - Hormone Receptor Negative - HER2 Negative Disease (BINV-8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁹ See Principles of HER2 Testing (BINV-A).
†This includes medullary and micropapillary subtypes.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
SYSTEMIC ADJUVANT TREATMENT - HORMONE RECEPTOR-POSITIVE - HER2-POSITIVE DISEASE

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

See Adjuvant Endocrine Therapy (BINV-J) and Neoadjuvant/Adjuvant Chemotherapy (BINV-K)

- **Node positive (one or more metastases >2 mm to one or more ipsilateral axillary lymph nodes)**
  - Adjuvant endocrine therapy + adjuvant chemotherapy with trastuzumab (category 1)\(^{v,w,x}\)

- **Tumor 0.6-1.0 cm**
  - Consider adjuvant endocrine therapy
    - Adjuvant endocrine therapy or Adjuvant chemotherapy\(^{v,w,x}\) with trastuzumab followed by endocrine therapy\(^{y}\)
    - Adjuvant endocrine therapy ± adjuvant chemotherapy\(^{v,w,x}\) with trastuzumab\(^{y}\)

- **Tumor >1 cm**
  - Adjuvant endocrine therapy + adjuvant chemotherapy with trastuzumab (category 1)\(^{v,w,x}\)

- **pT1\(^{y}\), pT2, or pT3; and pN0 or pN1mi (≤ 2 mm axillary node metastasis)**
  - pN0
    - Adjuvant endocrine therapy
  - pN1mi
    - Adjuvant endocrine therapy + adjuvant chemotherapy with trastuzumab (category 1)\(^{v,w,x}\)

- **Tumor 0.5 cm or Microinvasive**

- **Histology:**
  - Ductal
  - Lobular
  - Mixed
  - Metaplastic

- **Evidence supports that the magnitude of benefit from surgical or radiation ovarian ablation in premenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer is similar to that achieved with CMF alone. Early evidence suggests similar benefits from ovarian suppression (ie, LHRH agonist) as from ovarian ablation. The combination of ovarian ablation/suppression plus endocrine therapy may be superior to suppression alone. The benefit of ovarian ablation/suppression in premenopausal women who have received adjuvant chemotherapy is uncertain.**

- **There are limited data to make chemotherapy recommendations for those >70 y old. Treatment should be individualized with consideration of comorbid conditions.**

- **The prognosis of patients with T1a and T1b tumors that are node negative is uncertain even when HER2 is amplified or overexpressed. This is a population of breast cancer patients that was not studied in the available randomized trials. The decision for use of trastuzumab therapy in this cohort of patients must balance the known toxicities of trastuzumab, such as cardiac toxicity, and the uncertain, absolute benefits that may exist with trastuzumab therapy.**

- **Chemotherapy and endocrine therapy used as adjuvant therapy should be given sequentially with endocrine therapy following chemotherapy. Available data suggest that sequential or concurrent endocrine therapy with radiation therapy is acceptable.**

- **There are limited data to make chemotherapy recommendations for those >70 y old. Treatment should be individualized with consideration of comorbid conditions.**

- **The prognosis of patients with T1a and T1b tumors that are node negative is uncertain even when HER2 is amplified or overexpressed. This is a population of breast cancer patients that was not studied in the available randomized trials. The decision for use of trastuzumab therapy in this cohort of patients must balance the known toxicities of trastuzumab, such as cardiac toxicity, and the uncertain, absolute benefits that may exist with trastuzumab therapy.**

See Principles of HER2 Testing (BINV-A).

Mixed lobular and ductal carcinoma as well as metaplastic carcinoma should be graded based on the ductal component and treated based on this grading. The metaplastic or mixed component does not alter prognosis.

\(^{u}\) Mixed lobular and ductal carcinoma as well as metaplastic carcinoma should be graded based on the ductal component and treated based on this grading. The metaplastic or mixed component does not alter prognosis.

\(^{v}\) Evidence supports that the magnitude of benefit from surgical or radiation ovarian ablation in premenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer is similar to that achieved with CMF alone. Early evidence suggests similar benefits from ovarian suppression (ie, LHRH agonist) as from ovarian ablation. The combination of ovarian ablation/suppression plus endocrine therapy may be superior to suppression alone. The benefit of ovarian ablation/suppression in premenopausal women who have received adjuvant chemotherapy is uncertain.

\(^{w}\) Chemotherapy and endocrine therapy used as adjuvant therapy should be given sequentially with endocrine therapy following chemotherapy. Available data suggest that sequential or concurrent endocrine therapy with radiation therapy is acceptable.

\(^{x}\) There are limited data to make chemotherapy recommendations for those >70 y old. Treatment should be individualized with consideration of comorbid conditions.

\(^{y}\) The prognosis of patients with T1a and T1b tumors that are node negative is uncertain even when HER2 is amplified or overexpressed. This is a population of breast cancer patients that was not studied in the available randomized trials. The decision for use of trastuzumab therapy in this cohort of patients must balance the known toxicities of trastuzumab, such as cardiac toxicity, and the uncertain, absolute benefits that may exist with trastuzumab therapy.
SYSTEMIC ADJUVANT TREATMENT - HORMONE RECEPTOR-POSITIVE - HER2-NEGATIVE DISEASE

**Histology:**
- Ductal
- Lobular
- Mixed
- Metaplastic

**Node positive (one or more metastases >2 mm to one or more ipsilateral axillary lymph nodes):**
- Adjuvant endocrine therapy + adjuvant chemotherapy (category 1)

**Not done:**
- Adjuvant endocrine therapy + adjuvant chemotherapy (category 1)

**Low recurrence score (< 18):**
- Adjuvant endocrine therapy + adjuvant chemotherapy (category 1)

**Intermediate recurrence score (18-30):**
- Adjuvant endocrine therapy + adjuvant chemotherapy (category 1)

**High recurrence score (≥31):**
- Adjuvant endocrine therapy + adjuvant chemotherapy (category 1)

---

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

---

**See Principles of HER2 Testing (BINV-A).**

**u** Mixed lobular and ductal carcinoma as well as metaplastic carcinoma should be graded based on the ductal component and treated based on this grading. The metaplastic or mixed component does not alter prognosis.

**v** Evidence supports that the magnitude of benefit from surgical or radiation ovarian ablation in premenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer is similar to that achieved with CMF alone. Early evidence suggests similar benefits from ovarian suppression (ie, LHRH agonist) as from ovarian ablation. The combination of ovarian ablation/suppression plus endocrine therapy may be superior to suppression alone. The benefit of ovarian ablation/suppression in premenopausal women who have received adjuvant chemotherapy is uncertain.

**w** Chemotherapy and endocrine therapy used as adjuvant therapy should be given sequentially with endocrine therapy following chemotherapy. Available data suggest that sequential or concurrent endocrine therapy with radiation therapy is acceptable.

**x** There are limited data to make chemotherapy recommendations for those >70 y old. Treatment should be individualized with consideration of comorbid conditions.
**SYSTEMIC ADJUVANT TREATMENT - HORMONE RECEPTOR-NEGATIVE - HER2-POSITIVE DISEASE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor</th>
<th>px0 or Microinvasive</th>
<th>pN0</th>
<th>No adjuvant therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor 0.6-1.0 cm</td>
<td>Consider adjuvant chemotherapy with trastuzumab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor &gt;1 cm</td>
<td>Consider adjuvant chemotherapy with trastuzumab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Node positive (one or more metastases &gt;2 mm to one or more ipsilateral axillary lymph nodes)</td>
<td>Adjuvant chemotherapy with trastuzumab (category 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Histology:**
  - Ductal
  - Lobular
  - Mixed
  - Metaplastic

- **Tumor ≥1 cm:**
  - pN0 or pN1mi (≤2 mm axillary node metastasis)
  - Node positive (one or more metastases >2 mm to one or more ipsilateral axillary lymph nodes)

**Note:**
- All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
- Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**See:**
- Follow-Up (BINV-16)
- Neoadjuvant/Adjuvant Chemotherapy (BINV-K)

**See Principles of HER2 Testing (BINV-A).**

**u** Mixed lobular and ductal carcinoma as well as metaplastic carcinoma should be graded based on the ductal component and treated based on this grading. The metaplastic or mixed component does not alter prognosis.

**x** There are limited data to make chemotherapy recommendations for those >70 y old. Treatment should be individualized with consideration of comorbid conditions.

**y** The prognosis of patients with T1a and T1b tumors that are node negative is uncertain even when HER2 is amplified or overexpressed. This is a population of breast cancer patients that was not studied in the available randomized trials. The decision for use of trastuzumab therapy in this cohort of patients must balance the known toxicities of trastuzumab, such as cardiac toxicity, and the uncertain, absolute benefits that may exist with trastuzumab therapy.

**Version 1.2013, 02/01/13 © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc., All Rights Reserved.**
SYSTEMIC ADJUVANT TREATMENT - HORMONE RECEPTOR-NEGATIVE - HER2-NEGATIVE DISEASE

Histology:
- Ductal
- Lobular
- Mixed
- Metaplastic

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

Node positive (one or more metastases >2 mm to one or more ipsilateral axillary lymph nodes)

\[ \text{Tumor } \leq 0.5 \text{ cm or } \leq \text{Microinvasive} \]

\[ \text{pN0} \rightarrow \text{No adjuvant therapy} \]

\[ \text{pN1mi} \rightarrow \text{Consider adjuvant chemotherapy} \]

\[ \text{Consider adjuvant chemotherapy} \]

\[ \text{Adjuvant chemotherapy} \]

\[ \text{(category 1)} \]

\[ \text{See Neoadjuvant/Adjuvant Chemotherapy (BINV-K)} \]

\[ \text{See Follow-Up (BINV-16)} \]

\[ \text{See Principles of HER2 Testing (BINV-A)} \]

\[ \text{Mixed lobular and ductal carcinoma as well as metaplastic carcinoma should be graded based on the ductal component and treated based on this grading. The metaplastic or mixed component does not alter prognosis.} \]

\[ \text{There are limited data to make chemotherapy recommendations for those } >70 \text{ y old. Treatment should be individualized with consideration of comorbid conditions.} \]
**Histology:**
- Tubular
- Mucinous

**ER-positive and/or PR-positive**

- **pT1, pT2, or pT3; and pN0 or pN1mi (≤2 mm axillary node metastasis)**
  - <1 cm: No adjuvant therapy
  - 1-2.9 cm: Consider adjuvant endocrine therapy
  - ≥3 cm: Adjuvant endocrine therapy

- **Node positive (one or more metastasis >2 mm to one or more ipsilateral axillary lymph nodes)**
  - Adjuvant endocrine therapy
  - ± adjuvant chemotherapy

**ER-negative and PR-negative**

- Repeat determination of ER/PR status

- **ER-positive and/or PR-positive**
  - Follow appropriate pathway above

- **ER-negative and PR-negative**
  - Treat as usual breast cancer histology (See BINV-7 and BINV-8)

---

**SYSTEMIC ADJUVANT TREATMENT - FAVORABLE HISTOLOGIES**

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Preoperative Chemotherapy Guideline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLINICAL STAGE</th>
<th>WORKUP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage IIA</strong></td>
<td>T2, N0, M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History and physical exam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBC, platelets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver function tests and alkaline phosphatase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic bilateral mammogram, ultrasound as necessary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathology review ( ^a )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determination of tumor ER/PR status and HER2 status ( ^b )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic counseling if patient is high risk for hereditary breast cancer ( ^c )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast MRI ( ^d ) (optional), with special consideration for mammographically occult tumors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider fertility counseling if indicated ( ^e )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If clinical stage IIA (T3, N1, M0) consider: \( ^f \)
- Chest diagnostic CT
- Abdominal \( \pm \) pelvic diagnostic CT or MRI
- Bone scan or sodium fluoride PET/CT \( ^g \) (category 2B)
- FDG PET/CT \( ^h, i \) (optional, category 2B)

Optional studies as directed by signs or symptoms: \( ^f \)
- Bone scan indicated if localized bone pain or elevated alkaline phosphatase
- Abdominal \( \pm \) pelvic diagnostic CT or MRI indicated if elevated alkaline phosphatase, abnormal liver function tests, abdominal symptoms, or abnormal physical examination of the abdomen or pelvis
- Chest diagnostic CT if pulmonary symptoms present

---


\( ^b \) See Principles of HER2 Testing (BINV-A).

\( ^c \) See NCCN Guidelines for Genetics/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian.

\( ^d \) See Principles of Dedicated Breast MRI Testing (BINV-B).

\( ^e \) See Fertility and Birth Control After Adjuvant Breast Cancer Treatment (BINV-C).

\( ^f \) Routine systemic staging is not indicated for early breast cancer in the absence of symptoms.

\( ^g \) If FDG PET/CT is performed and clearly indicates bone metastasis, on both the PET and CT component, bone scan or sodium fluoride PET/CT may not be needed.

\( ^h \) FDG PET/CT can be performed at the same time as diagnostic CT. The use of PET or PET/CT scanning is not indicated in the staging of clinical stage I, II, or operable III breast cancer. FDG PET/CT is most helpful in situations where standard staging studies are equivocal or suspicious, especially in the setting of locally advanced or metastatic disease.

\( ^i \) FDG PET/CT may also be helpful in identifying unsuspected regional nodal disease and/or distant metastases in locally advanced breast cancer when used in addition to standard staging studies.
Preoperative Chemotherapy Breast and Axillary Evaluation

Desires breast preservation

Core biopsy with placement of image-detectable marker(s), if not previously performed, should be considered to demarcate the tumor bed for post-chemotherapy surgical management

Clinically negative axillary lymph node(s), consider sentinel lymph node procedure
Consider axillary ultrasound; if clinically positive see below

Clinically positive axillary lymph node(s), consider core biopsy or FNA; or consider sentinel lymph node procedure if FNA or core biopsy negative

Does not desire breast preservation

See Locoregional Treatment of Clinical Stage I, IIA, or IIB Disease or T3, N1, M0 (BINV-3)

See Preoperative Chemotherapy - Primary Treatment (BINV-12)

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
**Preoperative Chemotherapy Guideline**

**PRIMARY TREATMENT**

- **Preoperative chemotherapy**
  - (endocrine therapy alone may be considered for receptor-positive disease in postmenopausal patients)

**RESPONSE**

- Confirmed progressive disease at any time
- Partial response, lumpectomy not possible
- Partial response, lumpectomy possible or Complete response

---

**Preoperative chemotherapy**

- A number of combination and single-agent chemotherapy regimens have activity in the preoperative setting. In general, those chemotherapy regimens recommended in the adjuvant setting may be considered in the preoperative setting. If treated with endocrine therapy, an aromatase inhibitor is preferred for postmenopausal women.

- Patients with HER2-positive tumors should be treated with preoperative chemotherapy incorporating trastuzumab for at least 9 weeks of preoperative therapy.

- Administration of all chemotherapy prior to surgery is preferred.

- The accurate assessment of in-breast tumor or regional lymph node response to preoperative chemotherapy is difficult, and should include physical examination and performance of imaging studies that were abnormal at the time of initial tumor staging. Selection of imaging methods prior to surgery should be determined by the multidisciplinary team.

---

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Preoperative Chemotherapy Guideline

LOCAL TREATMENT

Mastectomy and surgical axillary staging ± reconstruction. If sentinel lymph node biopsy performed prechemotherapy and negative findings, may omit axillary lymph node staging.

ADJUVANT TREATMENT

- Complete planned chemotherapy regimen course if not completed preoperatively plus endocrine treatment if ER-positive and/or PR-positive (sequential chemotherapy followed by endocrine therapy).
- Adjuvant radiation therapy post-mastectomy is based on prechemotherapy tumor characteristics as per BINV-3 and
  Endocrine therapy if ER-positive and/or PR-positive (category 1)
- Complete up to one year of trastuzumab therapy if HER2-positive (category 1). May be administered concurrently with radiation therapy and with endocrine therapy if indicated.
  See Adjuvant Endocrine Therapy (BINV-J)

Lumpectomy with surgical axillary staging. If sentinel lymph node biopsy performed prechemotherapy and negative findings, may omit axillary lymph node staging.

- Complete planned chemotherapy regimen course if not completed preoperatively plus endocrine treatment if ER-positive and/or PR-positive (sequential chemotherapy followed by endocrine therapy).
- Adjuvant radiation therapy post-lumpectomy is based on prechemotherapy tumor characteristics as per BINV-2 and
  Endocrine therapy if ER-positive and/or PR-positive (category 1)
- Complete up to one year of trastuzumab therapy if HER2-positive (category 1). May be administered concurrently with radiation therapy and with endocrine therapy if indicated.
  See Adjuvant Endocrine Therapy (BINV-J)

p See Principles of Radiation Therapy (BINV-I).
q Chemotherapy and endocrine therapy used as adjuvant therapy should be given sequentially with endocrine therapy following chemotherapy. Available data suggest that sequential or concurrent endocrine therapy with radiation therapy is acceptable.
f Axillary staging may include sentinel node biopsy (category 3) or level I/II dissection.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
LOCALLY ADVANCED INVASIVE BREAST CANCER (NON-INFLAMMATORY)

CLINICAL STAGE | WORKUP
---|---
Stage II A
T0, N2, M0 | • History and physical exam
T1, N2, M0 |
T2, N2, M0 |
T3, N2, M0 | • CBC, platelets
• Liver function tests and alkaline phosphatase
• Diagnostic bilateral mammogram, ultrasound as necessary
• Pathology review
• Determination of tumor ER/PR status and HER2 status
• Genetic counseling if patient is at high risk for hereditary breast cancer
• Breast MRI (optional), with special consideration for mammographically occult tumors
• Consider fertility counseling if indicated

Stage II B
T4, N0, M0 | Consider systemic staging:
T4, N1, M0 | • Chest diagnostic CT
T4, N2, M0 | • Abdominal ± pelvic diagnostic CT or MRI

Stage II C
Any T, N3, M0 | • Bone scan or sodium fluoride PET/CT (category 2B)
• FDG PET/CT (optional, category 2B)

Stage IV
Any T, any N, M1 | Optional studies as directed by signs or symptoms:
See Initial Workup for Stage IV Disease (BINV-16)

a The panel endorses the College of American Pathologists Protocol for pathology reporting for all invasive and noninvasive carcinomas of the breast. See Principles of HER2 Testing (BINV-A).
b See NCCN Guidelines for Genetics/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian.
c See Principles of Dedicated Breast MRI Testing (BINV-B).
d See Fertility and Birth Control After Adjuvant Breast Cancer Treatment (BINV-C).
e See Preoperative Chemotherapy (BINV-15).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
**Preoperative Chemotherapy for Locally Advanced Invasive Breast Cancer (Non-Inflammatory)**

### Locoregional Treatment

- **Response**
  - Total mastectomy + level I/II axillary dissection + radiation therapy to chest wall and infraclavicular and supraclavicular nodes (plus internal mammary nodes if involved, strongly consider internal mammary nodes if not clinically involved [category 2B]) ± delayed breast reconstruction
  - Consider lumpectomy + level I/II axillary dissection + radiation therapy to breast and infraclavicular and supraclavicular nodes (plus internal mammary nodes if involved)

- **No response**
  - Consider additional systemic chemotherapy and/or preoperative radiation

### Adjuvant Treatment

- **Response** - See above pathway
- **No response**
  - Individualized treatment

### Note

*All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

**Clinical Trials:** NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**See Principles of Breast Reconstruction Following Surgery (BINV-H).**

**See Principles of Radiation Therapy (BINV-I).**

- **aa** A number of combination and single-agent chemotherapy regimens have activity in the preoperative setting. Those chemotherapy regimens recommended in the adjuvant setting (See BINV-K) may be considered in the preoperative setting. If treated with endocrine therapy, an aromatase inhibitor is preferred for postmenopausal women.

- **bb** Patients with HER2-positive tumors should be treated with preoperative chemotherapy incorporating trastuzumab for at least 9 weeks of preoperative therapy (See BINV-K).
SURVEILLANCE/FOLLOW-UP

- History and physical exam every 4-6 mo for 5 y, then every 12 mo
- Annual mammography
- Women on tamoxifen: annual gynecologic assessment every 12 mo if uterus present
- Women on an aromatase inhibitor or who experience ovarian failure secondary to treatment should have monitoring of bone health with a bone mineral density determination at baseline and periodically thereafter
- Assess and encourage adherence to adjuvant endocrine therapy
- Evidence suggests that active lifestyle and achieving and maintaining an ideal body weight (20-25 BMI) may lead to optimal breast cancer outcomes

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

99 The use of estrogen, progesterone, or selective estrogen receptor modulators to treat osteoporosis or osteopenia in women with breast cancer is discouraged. The use of a bisphosphonate is generally the preferred intervention to improve bone mineral density. Optimal duration of bisphosphonate therapy has not been established. Factors to consider for duration of anti-osteoporosis therapy include bone mineral density, response to therapy, and risk factors for continued bone loss or fracture. Women treated with a bisphosphonate should undergo a dental examination with preventive dentistry prior to the initiation of therapy, and should take supplemental calcium and vitamin D.

See Recurrent Disease (BINV-17)
**RECURRENT/STAGE IV DISEASE**

**CLINICAL STAGE**

**WORKUP**

- History and physical exam
- CBC, platelets
- Liver function tests and alkaline phosphatase
- Chest diagnostic CT
- Abdominal ± pelvic diagnostic CT or MRI
- Brain MRI if suspicious CNS symptoms
- Bone scan or sodium fluoride PET/CT (category 2B)
- FDG PET/CT (optional, category 2B)
- X-rays of symptomatic bones and long and weight-bearing bones abnormal on bone scan
- First recurrence of disease should be biopsied
- Determination of tumor ER/PR and HER2 status if unknown, originally negative or not overexpressed
- Genetic counseling if patient is high risk for hereditary breast cancer

---

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

**Clinical Trials:** NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
## Invasive Breast Cancer

### Local only recurrence

- Initial treatment with lumpectomy + radiation therapy
- Initial treatment with mastectomy + level I/II axillary dissection and prior radiation therapy
- Initial treatment with mastectomy no prior radiation therapy

### Regional only or Local and regional recurrence

- Axillary recurrence
- Supraclavicular recurrence
- Internal mammary node recurrence

### Bone disease present

- Add denosumab, zoledronic acid, or pamidronate

### Bone disease not present

See NCCN Guidelines for Palliative Care

### Systemic Treatment of Recurrent or Stage IV Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Treatment/Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial treatment with lumpectomy + radiation therapy</td>
<td>Total mastectomy + axillary lymph node staging if level I/II axillary dissection not previously done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial treatment with mastectomy + level I/II axillary dissection and prior radiation therapy</td>
<td>Surgical resection if possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial treatment with mastectomy no prior radiation therapy</td>
<td>Surgical resection if possible + radiation therapy to chest wall and supraclavicular and infraclavicular nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axillary recurrence</td>
<td>Surgical resection if possible + radiation therapy if possible to chest wall, supraclavicular and infraclavicular nodes and axilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supraclavicular recurrence</td>
<td>Radiation therapy if possible to chest wall and supraclavicular and infraclavicular nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal mammary node recurrence</td>
<td>Radiation therapy if possible to chest wall, supraclavicular and infraclavicular nodes and internal mammary nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone disease present</td>
<td>ER and/or PR positive; HER2 negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone disease not present</td>
<td>ER and/or PR positive; HER2 positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Considerations

- **See Principles of HER2 Testing (BINV-A).**
- **See BINV-18.**
- **See BINV-19.**
- **See BINV-20.**
- **See BINV-21.**

### Note

- All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
- Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
SYSTEMIC TREATMENT OF RECURRENT OR STAGE IV DISEASE
ER and/or PR POSITIVE; HER2 NEGATIVE OR POSITIVE

Prior endocrine therapy within 1 y

ER and/or PR positive; HER2 negative

Postmenopausal dd

Visceral crisis

Consider initial chemotherapy qq
(See BINV-20 and BINV-21)

Ovarian ablation or suppression, plus endocrine therapy as for postmenopausal women oo pp

Premenopausal dd

Visceral crisis

Ovarian ablation or suppression, plus endocrine therapy as for postmenopausal women oo pp

or Selective ER modulators pp

Premenopausal dd

No prior endocrine therapy within 1 y

Visceral crisis

Consider initial chemotherapy qq
(See BINV-20 and BINV-21)

Postmenopausal dd, nn

Aromatase inhibitor pp, rr

or Selective ER modulators or selective ER down-regulator pp

ER and/or PR positive; HER2 positive

Prior endocrine therapy within 1 y

Limited studies document a progression-free survival advantage of adding trastuzumab or lapatinib to aromatase inhibition in postmenopausal patients with ER-positive, HER2-positive disease. However, no overall survival advantage has been demonstrated.

A single study (S0226) in women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer and no prior chemotherapy, biological therapy, or endocrine therapy for metastatic disease demonstrated that the addition of fulvestrant to anastrozole resulted in prolongation of time to progression (HR for recurrence 0.80; 95% CI, 0.68-0.94; stratified log-rank P = 0.007) and improvement in overall survival (HR 0.81; 95% CI, 0.65-1.00; stratified log-rank P = 0.049). Subset analysis suggested that patients without prior adjuvant tamoxifen and more than 10 years since diagnosis experienced the greatest benefit. Two studies with similar design (FACT and SOFEA) demonstrated no advantage in time to progression with the addition of fulvestrant to anastrozole.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

See Principles of HER2 Testing (BINV-A).

Definition of Menopause (BINV-L).

See Subsequent Endocrine Therapy for Systemic Disease (BINV-N).

See Chemotherapy Regimens for Recurrent or Metastatic Breast Cancer (BINV-O).
SYSTEMIC TREATMENT OF RECURRENT OR STAGE IV DISEASE
ER and PR NEGATIVE; or ER and/or PR POSITIVE and ENDOCRINE REFRACTORY; HER2 NEGATIVE

ER and PR negative; or ER and/or PR positive and endocrine refractory; and HER2 negative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bone or soft tissue only or Asymptomatic visceral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider additional trial of endocrine therapy, if not endocrine refractory or Chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No response to 3 sequential regimens or ECOG performance status ≥3

Consider no further cytotoxic therapy; transition to palliative care

See Endocrine Therapy (BINV-19)

See Principles of HER2 Testing (BINV-A).

False-negative ER and/or PR determinations occur, and there may be discordance between the ER and/or PR determination between the primary and metastatic tumor(s). Therefore, endocrine therapy with its low attendant toxicity may be considered in patients with non-visceral or asymptomatic visceral tumors, especially in patients with clinical characteristics predicting for a hormone receptor-positive tumor (eg, long disease-free interval, limited sites of recurrence, indolent disease, older age).

See Subsequent Endocrine Therapy for Systemic Disease (BINV-N).

See Chemotherapy Regimens for Recurrent or Metastatic Breast Cancer (BINV-O).

See Principles of Monitoring Metastatic Disease (BINV-M).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
SYSTEMIC TREATMENT OF RECURRENT OR STAGE IV DISEASE
ER and PR NEGATIVE; or ER and/or PR POSITIVE and ENDOCRINE REFRACTORY; and HER2 POSITIVE

Consider trial of endocrine therapy, if not endocrine refractory ii, oo, ss

Yes

Bone or soft tissue only or Asymptomatic visceral

Pertuzumab + trastuzumab + taxane (preferred) qq, ss, tt or Trastuzumab ± chemotherapy qq, ss, tt, uu

Continue HER2 targeted therapy: or in combination with other chemotherapy vv or trastuzumab + lapatinib

No response to 3 sequential regimens or ECOG performance status ≥3

Consider no further cytotoxic therapy; transition to palliative care (See NCCN Guidelines for Palliative Care)

ER and PR negative; or ER and/or PR positive and endocrine refractory; and HER2 positive b

Yes

No

Consider trial of endocrine therapy, if not endocrine refractory ii, oo, ss

b See Principles of HER2 Testing (BINV-A).
ii False-negative ER and/or PR determinations occur, and there may be discordance between the ER and/or PR determination between the primary and metastatic tumor(s). Therefore, endocrine therapy with its low attendant toxicity may be considered in patients with non-visceral or asymptomatic visceral tumors, especially in patients with clinical characteristics predicting for a hormone receptor-positive tumor (eg, long disease-free interval, limited sites of recurrence, indolent disease, older age).

See Subsequent Endocrine Therapy for Systemic Disease (BINV-N).
qq See Chemotherapy Regimens for Recurrent or Metastatic Breast Cancer (BINV-O).
ss See Principles of Monitoring Metastatic Disease (BINV-M).
tt Continued trastuzumab following progression on first-line trastuzumab-containing chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer. The optimal duration of trastuzumab in patients with long-term control of disease is unknown.
uu Trastuzumab given in combination with an anthracycline is associated with significant cardiac toxicity.
vv Patients previously treated with chemotherapy plus trastuzumab in the absence of pertuzumab may be considered for one line of therapy including both trastuzumab plus pertuzumab in combination with or without cytotoxic therapy (such as vinorelbine or taxane). Further research is needed to determine the ideal sequencing strategy for anti-HER2 therapy.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
FOLLOW-UP THERAPY FOR ENDOCRINE TREATMENT OF RECURRENT OR STAGE IV DISEASE

Continue endocrine therapy until progression or unacceptable toxicity → Progression

No clinical benefit after 3 consecutive endocrine therapy regimens or Symptomatic visceral disease

Yes → Chemotherapy

No → Trial of new endocrine therapy

See Subsequent Endocrine Therapy for Systemic Disease (BINV-N).

See Chemotherapy Regimens for Recurrent or Metastatic Breast Cancer (BINV-O).

See Principles of Monitoring Metastatic Disease (BINV-M).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
**PRINCIPLES OF HER2 TESTING**

1. **Initial testing by IHC**
   - Laboratory meets quality assurance standards for IHC HER2 testing methodology
     - Yes: IHC testing
       - IHC 0, 1+
         - Send sample to reference laboratory
       - IHC 2+
         - Borderline result
       - IHC 3+
         - HER2 (+)
     - No: Send sample to reference laboratory

2. **Initial testing by ISH**
   - Laboratory meets quality assurance standards for ISH HER2 testing methodology
     - Yes: ISH testing
       - ISH(-) < 2
         - HER2 (-)
       - ISH(+) ≥ 2
         - HER2 (+)
     - No: Send sample to reference laboratory

---

1. NCCN endorses the ASCO CAP recommendations for quality control performance of HER2 testing and interpretation of IHC and ISH results.
3. HER2 testing should be done only in laboratories accredited to perform such testing. Ongoing proficiency testing and full reporting of HER2 assay methods and results are required. A laboratory may perform only those tests that have been demonstrated to conform to these quality assurance standards. All other HER2 testing should be sent to a qualified reference laboratory.
4. Either an immunohistochemistry (IHC) assay or an in situ hybridization (ISH) assay can be used to make an initial assessment of HER2 tumor status. All HER2 assays, whether FDA-approved or not, must be validated. Validation of a HER2 test is defined as at least 95% concordance when the testing method performed in a laboratory is compared with one of the following: a validated HER2 testing method performed in the same laboratory; a validated HER2 testing method performed in another laboratory; or validated reference lab results. Borderline samples should not be included in the validation study. These algorithms are based on the assumption that all validated HER2 tests have been shown to be at least 95% concordant with the complementary form of the HER2 test, either by direct testing or association with the levels of concordance between complementary testing achieved by the validating laboratory.
5. If both IHC and ISH are performed, and one or the other or both are positive, then consider HER2 positive.
6. Borderline IHC samples (e.g., IHC 2+) are subjected to reflex testing by a validated complementary (e.g., in situ hybridization [ISH]) method that has shown at least 95% concordance between IHC 0, 1+ results and ISH non-amplified results, and IHC 3+ results and ISH amplified results.
7. Borderline in situ hybridization (ISH) samples (e.g., an average HER2 gene/chromosome 17 ratio of 1.8 - < 2 or an average HER2 gene copy number of > 4 - < 6) should undergo: counting of additional cells, retesting by ISH, or reflex testing by a validated IHC method.

---

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

**Clinical Trials:** NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
PRINCIPLES OF DEDICATED BREAST MRI TESTING

See NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis for indications for screening MRI in women at increased breast cancer risk.

Personnel, Facility, and Equipment
- Breast MRI examinations should be performed and interpreted by an expert breast imaging team working in concert with the multidisciplinary treatment team.
- Breast MRI examinations require a dedicated breast coil and breast imaging radiologists familiar with the optimal timing sequences and other technical details for image interpretation. The imaging center should have the ability to perform MRI-guided needle sampling and/or wire localization of MRI-detected findings.

Clinical Indications and Applications
- May be used for staging evaluation to define extent of cancer or presence of multifocal or multicentric cancer in the ipsilateral breast, or as screening of the contralateral breast cancer at time of initial diagnosis (category 2B). There are no high-level data to demonstrate that the use of MRI to facilitate local therapy decision-making improves local recurrence or survival.1
- May be helpful for breast cancer evaluation before and after neoadjuvant therapy to define extent of disease, response to treatment, and potential for breast-conserving therapy.
- May be useful to detect additional disease in women with mammographically dense breast, but available data do not show differential detection rates by any subset by breast pattern (breast density) or disease type (eg, DCIS, invasive ductal cancer, invasive lobular cancer)
- May be useful for identifying primary cancer in women with axillary nodal adenocarcinoma or with Paget's disease of the nipple with breast primary not identified on mammography, ultrasound, or physical examination.
- False-positive findings on breast MRI are common. Surgical decisions should not be based solely on the MRI findings. Additional tissue sampling of areas of concern identified by breast MRI is recommended.
- The utility of MRI in follow-up screening of women with prior breast cancer is undefined. It should generally be considered only in those whose lifetime risk of a second primary breast cancer is greater than 20% based on models largely dependent on family history, such as in those with the risk associated with inherited susceptibility to breast cancer.

FERTILITY AND BIRTH CONTROL AFTER ADJUVANT BREAST CANCER TREATMENT

- All premenopausal patients should be informed about the potential impact of chemotherapy on fertility and asked about their desire for potential future pregnancies. Patients who may desire future pregnancies should be referred to fertility specialists before chemotherapy.
- Although amenorrhea frequently occurs during or after chemotherapy, it appears that the majority of women younger than 35 y resume menses within 2 y of finishing adjuvant chemotherapy.
- Menses and fertility are not necessarily linked. Absence of regular menses, particularly if the patient is taking tamoxifen, does not necessarily imply lack of fertility. Conversely, the presence of menses does not guarantee fertility. There are limited data regarding continued fertility after chemotherapy.
- Patients should not become pregnant during treatment with radiation therapy, chemotherapy, or endocrine therapy.
- Although data are limited, hormone-based birth control is discouraged regardless of the hormone receptor status of the patient's cancer.
- Alternative methods of birth control include intrauterine devices (IUDs), barrier methods, or, for patients with no intent of future pregnancies, tubal ligation or vasectomy for the partner.
- No therapy has been shown to preserve fertility in patients receiving chemotherapy.
- Breastfeeding following breast-conserving cancer treatment is not contraindicated. However, the quantity and quality of breast milk produced by the breast conserved may not be sufficient or may be lacking some of the nutrients needed. Breastfeeding during active treatment with chemotherapy and endocrine therapy is not recommended.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
SURGICAL AXILLARY STAGING - STAGE I, IIA, IIB and IIIA T3, N1, M0

Clinically node positive at time of diagnosis\(^1\)
- FNA or core biopsy positive
- FNA or core biopsy negative
  - Sentinel node negative\(^3\)
  - Sentinel node positive\(^3\)
    - Sentinel node not identified
    - Meets ALL of the following criteria:
      - T1 or T2 tumor
      - 1 or 2 positive SLNs
      - Breast-conserving therapy
      - Whole-breast RT planned
      - No neoadjuvant chemotherapy

Clinically node negative at time of diagnosis
- Sentinel lymph node mapping and excision \(^2,3\)

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
AXILLARY LYMPH NODE STAGING

In the absence of definitive data demonstrating superior survival from the performance of axillary lymph node dissection, patients who have particularly favorable tumors, patients for whom the selection of adjuvant systemic therapy is unlikely to be affected, for the elderly, or those with serious comorbid conditions, the performance of axillary lymph node dissection may be considered optional. In the absence of gross disease in level II nodes, lymph node dissection should include tissue inferior to the axillary vein from the latissimus dorsi muscle laterally to the medial border of the pectoralis minor muscle (Level I/II). Level III dissection to the thoracic inlet should be performed only in cases with gross disease in level II.

Sentinel lymph node biopsy is the preferred method of axillary lymph node staging if there is an experienced sentinel node team and the patient is an appropriate sentinel lymph node biopsy candidate (See BINV-D).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
MARGIN STATUS IN INFILTRATING CARCINOMA

The use of breast-conserving therapy is predicated on achieving a pathologically negative margin of resection. Cases where there is a positive margin should generally undergo further surgery, either a re-excision to achieve a negative margin or a mastectomy. If re-excision is technically feasible to allow for breast-conserving therapy, this can be done with resection of the involved margin guided by the orientation of the initial resection specimen or re-excision of the entire original excision cavity. If multiple margins remain positive, mastectomy may be required for optimal local control.

It may be reasonable to treat selected cases with breast-conserving therapy with a microscopically focally positive margin in the absence of an extensive intraductal component.¹ For these patients, the use of a higher radiation boost dose to the tumor bed should be considered.

Margins should be evaluated on all surgical specimens from breast-conserving surgery. Requirements for optimal margin evaluation include:

- Orientation of the surgical specimens
- Description of the gross and microscopic margin status
- Reporting of the distance, orientation, and type of tumor (invasive or DCIS) in relation to the closest margin

¹An extensive intraductal component is defined as an infiltrating ductal cancer where greater than 25% of the tumor volume is DCIS and DCIS extends beyond the invasive cancer into surrounding normal breast parenchyma.
SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS TO BREAST-CONSERVING THERAPY REQUIRING RADIATION THERAPY

Contraindications for breast-conserving therapy requiring radiation therapy include:

Absolute:
- Prior radiation therapy to the breast or chest wall
- Radiation therapy during pregnancy
- Diffuse suspicious or malignant-appearing microcalcifications
- Widespread disease that cannot be incorporated by local excision through a single incision that achieves negative margins with a satisfactory cosmetic result
- Positive pathologic margin

Relative:
- Active connective tissue disease involving the skin (especially scleroderma and lupus)
- Tumors >5 cm (category 2B)
- Focally positive margin
- Women with a known or suspected genetic predisposition to breast cancer:
  - May have an increased risk of ipsilateral breast recurrence or contralateral breast cancer with breast-conserving therapy
  - Prophylactic bilateral mastectomy for risk reduction may be considered.
  (See NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
PRINCIPLES OF BREAST RECONSTRUCTION FOLLOWING SURGERY

• The breast can be reconstructed in conjunction with mastectomy using breast implants, autologous tissue (“flaps”), or a combination of the two (eg, latissimus/implant composite reconstructions).

• Breast reconstruction for mastectomy can be performed at the same time as mastectomy (“immediate”) or at some time following the completion of cancer treatment (“delayed”).

• As with any mastectomy, there is a risk of local and regional cancer recurrence, and evidence suggests skin-sparing mastectomy is probably equivalent to standard mastectomy in this regard. Skin-sparing mastectomy should be performed by an experienced breast surgery team that works in a coordinated, multidisciplinary fashion to guide proper patient selection for skin-sparing mastectomy, determine optimal sequencing of the reconstructive procedure(s) in relation to adjuvant therapies, and perform a resection that achieves appropriate surgical margins. Post-mastectomy radiation as outlined in these guidelines should be applied in cases treated by skin-sparing mastectomy. The nipple-areolar complex (NAC) is sacrificed with skin-sparing mastectomy for cancer therapy. Current data are inadequate to support the routine use of NAC-sparing procedures for breast cancer therapy.

• When post-mastectomy radiation is required, delayed reconstruction is generally preferred after completion of radiation therapy in autologous tissue reconstruction because of reported loss in reconstruction cosmesis (category 2B). When implant reconstruction is used, immediate rather than delayed reconstruction is preferred to avoid tissue expansion of radiated skin flaps. Immediate implant reconstruction in patients requiring postoperative radiation has an increased rate of capsular contracture. Surgery to exchange the tissue expanders with permanent implants can be performed prior to radiation or after completion of radiation therapy. Some experienced breast cancer teams have employed protocols in which immediate reconstructions are followed by radiation therapy (category 2B). Tissue expansion of irradiated skin can result in a significantly increased risk of capsular contracture, malposition, poor cosmesis, and implant exposure. In the previously radiated patient the use of tissue expanders/implants is relatively contraindicated.

• Reconstruction selection is based on an assessment of cancer treatment, patient body habitus, smoking history, comorbidities, and patient concerns. Smoking increases the risk of complications for all types of breast reconstruction whether with implant or flap. Smoking is therefore considered a relative contraindication to breast reconstruction and patients should be made aware of increased rates of wound healing complications and partial or complete flap failure among smokers.

• An evaluation of the likely cosmetic outcome of lumpectomy should be performed prior to surgery and a preoperative evaluation of reconstructive options for mastectomy should be considered.

• Women who are not satisfied with the cosmetic outcome following completion of breast cancer treatment should be offered a plastic surgery consultation.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY

Whole Breast Radiation:
Target definition includes the majority of the breast tissue, and is best done by both clinical assessment and CT-based treatment planning. A uniform dose distribution and minimal normal tissue toxicity are the goals and can be accomplished using compensators such as wedges, forward planning using segments, intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT), respiratory gating, or prone positioning. The breast should receive a dose of 45-50 Gy in 1.8-2 Gy per fraction, or 42.5 Gy at 2.66 Gy per fraction. A boost to the tumor bed is recommended in patients at higher risk (age <50 and high-grade disease). This can be achieved with brachytherapy or electron beam or photon fields. Typical doses are 10-16 Gy at 2 Gy/fx. All dose schedules are given 5 days per week.

Chest Wall Radiation (including breast reconstruction):
The target includes the ipsilateral chest wall, mastectomy scar, and drain sites where possible. Depending on whether the patient has been reconstructed or not, several techniques using photons and/or electrons are appropriate. CT-based treatment planning is encouraged in order to identify lung and heart volumes, and minimize exposure of these organs. Special consideration should be given to the use of bolus material when photon fields are used, to ensure the skin dose is adequate.

Regional Nodal Radiation:
Target delineation is best achieved by the use of CT-based treatment planning. For the paraclavicular and axillary nodes, prescription depth varies based on the size of the patient. For internal mammary node identification, the internal mammary artery and vein location can be used as a surrogate for the nodal locations, which usually are not visible on imaging.

Dose is 50-50.4 Gy, given as 1.8-2.0 Gy fraction size (± scar boost at 2 Gy per fraction to a total dose of approximately 60 Gy); all dose schedules are given 5 days per week. If internal mammary lymph nodes are clinically or pathologically positive, radiation therapy should be given to the internal mammary nodes. Otherwise the treatment to the internal mammary nodes is at the discretion of the treating radiation oncologist. CT treatment planning should be utilized in all cases where radiation therapy is delivered to the internal mammary lymph node field.

Accelerated Partial Breast Irradiation (APBI):
Preliminary studies of APBI suggest that rates of local control in selected patients with early-stage breast cancer may be comparable to those treated with standard whole breast RT. Follow-up, however, is limited and studies are ongoing. Patients are encouraged to participate in clinical trials. If not trial eligible, per the consensus statement from the American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO), patients who may be suitable APBI are women 60 y and older who are not carriers of BRCA 1/2 mutation treated with primary surgery for a unifocal T1N0 ER-positive cancer. Histology should be infiltrating ductal or a favorable ductal subtype and not associated with EIC or LCIS, and margins should be negative. Thirty-four Gy in 10 fractions delivered twice per day with brachytherapy or 38.5 Gy in 10 fractions delivered twice per day with external beam photon therapy is prescribed to the tumor bed. Other fractionation schemes are currently under investigation.

Optimizing Delivery of Individual Therapy:
It is important to individualize delivery of radiation therapy and considerations such as patient positioning (ie, prone vs. supine) during administration of radiation therapy.

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy:
Indications for radiation therapy and fields of treatment should be based on the pretreatment tumor characteristics in patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy.
NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2013
Invasive Breast Cancer

ADJUVANT ENDOCRINE THERAPY

Premenopausal\(^1\) at diagnosis

- Tamoxifen\(^2\) for 5 y (category 1)
- ± ovarian suppression or ablation (category 2B)

Postmenopausal\(^1\)

- Aromatase inhibitor for 5 y\(^3\) (category 1)

Premenopausal\(^1\)

- No further endocrine therapy

Postmenopausal\(^1\) at diagnosis

- Tamoxifen\(^2\) for 4.5-6 y

Women with a contraindication to aromatase inhibitors, who decline aromatase inhibitors, or who are intolerant of the aromatase inhibitors

- Aromatase inhibitor for 5 y\(^3\) (category 1)

Aromatase inhibitor to complete 5 y\(^3\) of endocrine therapy (category 1)

- Tamoxifen\(^2\) to complete 5 y of endocrine therapy (category 1)

Aromatase inhibitor for 5 y\(^3\) (category 1)

- Up to 5 y of an aromatase inhibitor\(^3\) (category 2B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>See Definition of Menopause (BINV-L).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

\(^1\)See Definition of Menopause (BINV-L).

\(^2\)Some SSRIs like fluoxetine and paroxetine decrease the formation of endoxifen, 4-OH tamoxifen, and active metabolites of tamoxifen, and may impact its efficacy. Caution is advised about coadministration of these drugs with tamoxifen. However, citalopram and venlafaxine appear to have minimal impact on tamoxifen metabolism. At this time, based on current data the panel recommends against CYP2D6 testing for women being considered for tamoxifen therapy. Coadministration of strong inhibitors of CYP2D6 should be used with caution.

\(^3\)The panel believes the three selective aromatase inhibitors (i.e., anastrozole, letrozole, exemestane) have shown similar anti-tumor efficacy and toxicity profiles in randomized studies in the adjuvant and neoadjuvant settings. The optimal duration of aromatase inhibitors in adjuvant therapy is uncertain.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
### Invasive Breast Cancer

#### NEOADJUVANT/ADJUVANT CHEMOTHERAPY¹,²,³,⁴,⁵

**Non-trastuzumab-containing regimens (all category 1)**

**Preferred regimens:**
- Dose-dense AC (doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide) followed by paclitaxel every 2 weeks
- Dose-dense AC (doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide) followed by weekly paclitaxel
- TC (docetaxel and cyclophosphamide)

**Other regimens:**
- AC (doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide)
- FAC/CAF (fluorouracil/doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide)
- FEC/CEF (cyclophosphamide/epirubicin/fluorouracil)
- CMF (cyclophosphamide/methotrexate/fluorouracil)
- AC followed by docetaxel every 3 weeks
- EC (epirubicin/cyclophosphamide)
- FEC/CEF followed by T
  - (fluorouracil/epirubicin/cyclophosphamide followed by docetaxel) or
  - (fluorouracil/epirubicin/cyclophosphamide followed by weekly paclitaxel)
- FAC followed by T
  - (fluorouracil/doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide followed by weekly paclitaxel)
- TAC (docetaxel/doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide)

**Trastuzumab-containing regimens (all category 1)**

**Preferred regimens:**
- AC followed by T + concurrent trastuzumab
  - (doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel plus trastuzumab, various schedules)
- TCH (docetaxel/carboplatin/trastuzumab)

**Other regimens:**
- Docetaxel + trastuzumab followed by FEC
  - (fluorouracil/epirubicin/cyclophosphamide)
- Chemotherapy followed by trastuzumab sequentially
- AC followed by docetaxel + trastuzumab

**Neoadjuvant only:**
- T + trastuzumab followed by CEF + trastuzumab
  - (paclitaxel plus trastuzumab followed by cyclophosphamide/epirubicin/fluorouracil plus trastuzumab)

---

¹Retrospective evidence suggests that anthracycline-based chemotherapy regimens may be superior to non-anthracycline-based regimens in patients with HER2-positive tumors.

²In patients with HER2-positive and axillary lymph node-positive breast cancer, trastuzumab should be incorporated into the adjuvant therapy. (category 1) Trastuzumab should also be considered for patients with HER2-positive lymph node-negative tumors ≥1 cm (category 1). Trastuzumab may be given beginning either concurrently with paclitaxel as part of the AC followed by paclitaxel regimen, or alternatively after the completion of chemotherapy. Trastuzumab should not be given concurrently with an anthracycline because of cardiac toxicity, except as part of the neoadjuvant trastuzumab with paclitaxel followed by CEF regimen. Trastuzumab should be given for one year (with the exception of the docetaxel + trastuzumab followed by FEC regimen in which trastuzumab is given for 9 weeks), with cardiac monitoring, and by either the weekly or every-three-week schedule.

³CMF and radiation therapy may be given concurrently, or the CMF may be given first. All other chemotherapy regimens should be given prior to radiotherapy.

⁴Chemotherapy and tamoxifen used as adjuvant therapy should be given sequentially with tamoxifen following chemotherapy.

⁵Randomized clinical trials demonstrate that the addition of a taxane to anthracycline-based chemotherapy provides an improved outcome.

---

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

**Clinical Trials:** NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
## DOSING SCHEDULES FOR NON-TRASTUZUMAB-CONTAINING COMBINATIONS

### Preferred regimens:

**Dose-dense AC followed by paclitaxel chemotherapy**
- Doxorubicin 60 mg/m² IV day 1
- Cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² IV day 1
  Cycled every 14 days for 4 cycles.
  Followed by
  - Paclitaxel 175 mg/m² by 3 h IV infusion day 1
  Cycled every 14 days for 4 cycles.
  (All cycles are with filgrastim support)

**Dose-dense AC followed by weekly paclitaxel chemotherapy**
- Doxorubicin 60 mg/m² IV day 1
- Cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² IV day 1
  Cycled every 14 days for 4 cycles.
  Followed by
  - Paclitaxel 80 mg/m² by 1 h IV infusion weekly for 12 wks.

**TC chemotherapy**
- Docetaxel 75 mg/m² IV day 1
- Cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² IV day 1
  Cycled every 21 days for 4 cycles.
  (All cycles are with filgrastim support)

**Other regimens:***

**AC chemotherapy**
- Doxorubicin 60 mg/m² IV day 1
- Cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² IV day 1
  Cycled every 21 days for 4 cycles.

**TAC chemotherapy**
- Docetaxel 75 mg/m² IV day 1
- Doxorubicin 50 mg/m² IV day 1
- Cyclophosphamide 500 mg/m² IV day 1
  Cycled every 21 days for 6 cycles.
  (All cycles are with filgrastim support)

---

### Other regimens (continued):

**FAC chemotherapy**
- 5-fluorouracil 500 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8 or days 1 & 4
- Doxorubicin 50 mg/m² IV day 1
  (or by 72-h continuous infusion)
- Cyclophosphamide 500 mg/m² IV day 1
  Cycled every 21 days for 6 cycles.

**CAF chemotherapy**
- Cyclophosphamide 100 mg/m² PO days 1-14
- Doxorubicin 30 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
- 5-fluorouracil 500 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
  Cycled every 28 days for 6 cycles.

**CEF chemotherapy**
- Cyclophosphamide 75 mg/m² PO days 1-14
- Epirubicin 60 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
- 5-fluorouracil 500 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
  With cotrimoxazole support.
  Cycled every 28 days for 6 cycles.

**CMF chemotherapy**
- Cyclophosphamide 100 mg/m² PO days 1-14
- Methotrexate 40 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
- 5-fluorouracil 600 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
  Cycled every 28 days for 6 cycles.

**AC followed by docetaxel chemotherapy**
- Doxorubicin 60 mg/m² IV on day 1
- Cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² IV day 1
  Cycled every 21 days for 4 cycles.
  Followed by
  - Docetaxel 100 mg/m² IV on day 1
  Cycled every 21 days for 4 cycles.

**EC chemotherapy**
- Epirubicin 100 mg/m² IV day 1
- Cyclophosphamide 830 mg/m² IV day 1
  Cycled every 21 days for 8 cycles.

**FEC followed by docetaxel chemotherapy**
- 5-fluorouracil 500 mg/m² IV day 1
- Epirubicin 100 mg/m² IV day 1
- Cyclophosphamide 500 mg/m² IV day 1
  Cycled every 21 days for 3 cycles.
  Followed by
  - Docetaxel 100 mg/m² IV day 1
  Cycled every 21 days for 3 cycles.

**FEC followed by weekly paclitaxel**
- 5-fluorouracil 600 mg/m² IV day 1
- Epirubicin 90 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
- Cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² IV day 1
  Cycled every 21 days for 4 cycles.
  Followed by:
  - 3 weeks of no treatment
  Followed by:
  - Paclitaxel 100 mg/m² IV
  Cycled every 21 days for 8 cycles.

**FAC followed by weekly paclitaxel**
- 5-fluorouracil 500 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8 or days 1 & 4
- Doxorubicin 50 mg/m² IV day 1
  (or by 72-h continuous infusion)
- Cyclophosphamide 500 mg/m² IV day 1
  Cycled every 21 days for 6 cycles.
  Followed by
  - Paclitaxel 80 mg/m² by 1 h IV infusion weekly for 12 wks.

### See References (BINV-K 5 of 5)

The selection, dosing, and administration of anti-cancer agents and the management of associated toxicities are complex. Modifications of drug dose and schedule and initiation of supportive care interventions are often necessary because of expected toxicities and individual patient variability, prior treatment, and comorbidity. The optimal delivery of anti-cancer agents therefore requires a health care delivery team experienced in the use of anti-cancer agents and the management of associated toxicities in patients with cancer.

---

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
DOSING SCHEDULE FOR TRASTUZUMAB-CONTAINING COMBINATIONS

Preferred regimens:

- AC followed by T chemotherapy with trastuzumab
  - Doxorubicin 60 mg/m² IV day 1
  - Cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² IV day 1
  - Cycled every 21 days for 4 cycles.
  - Followed by Paclitaxel 80 mg/m² by 1 h IV weekly for 12 wks
  - Trastuzumab 4 mg/kg IV with first dose of paclitaxel
  - Trastuzumab 2 mg/kg IV weekly to complete 1 y of treatment. As an alternative, trastuzumab 6 mg/kg IV every 3 wks may be used following the completion of paclitaxel, and given to complete 1 y of trastuzumab treatment.
  - Cardiac monitoring at baseline, 3, 6, and 9 mo.

- Dose-dense AC followed by paclitaxel chemotherapy
  - Doxorubicin 60 mg/m² IV day 1
  - Cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² IV day 1
  - Cycled every 14 days for 4 cycles.
  - Followed by Paclitaxel 175 mg/m² by 3 h IV infusion day 1
  - Cycled every 14 days for 4 cycles.
  - (All cycles are with filgrastim support).
  - Trastuzumab 4 mg/kg IV with first dose of paclitaxel
  - Trastuzumab 2 mg/kg IV weekly to complete 1 y of treatment. As an alternative, trastuzumab 6 mg/kg IV every 3 wks may be used following the completion of paclitaxel, and given to complete 1 y of trastuzumab treatment.
  - Cardiac monitoring at baseline, 3, 6, and 9 mo.

TCH chemotherapy
- Docetaxel 75 mg/m² IV day 1
- Carboplatin AUC 6 IV day 1
- Cycled every 21 days for 6 cycles
  - Trastuzumab 4 mg/kg IV wk 1
  - Followed by Trastuzumab 2 mg/kg IV for 17 wks
  - Followed by Trastuzumab 6 mg/kg IV every 3 wks to complete 1 year of trastuzumab therapy
  - Cardiac monitoring at baseline, 3, 6, and 9 mo.

See References (BINV-K 5 of 5)
DOsing Schedule for Trastuzumab-Containing Combinations

Other regimens:

- Docetaxel + trastuzumab followed by FEC chemotherapy
  - Docetaxel 100 mg/m² by 1 h IV day 1
  - Cycled every 21 days for 3 cycles
  - Trastuzumab 4 mg/kg IV with first dose of docetaxel day 1
  - Followed by
  - Trastuzumab 2 mg/kg IV weekly to complete 9 wks of trastuzumab.
  - Followed by
  - 5-fluorouracil 600 mg/m² IV day 1
  - Epirubicin 60 mg/m² IV day 1
  - Cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² day 1
  - Cycled every 21 days for 3 cycles
  - Cardiac monitoring at baseline, after last FEC cycle, and at 12 and 36 mo after chemotherapy.

- Chemotherapy followed by trastuzumab
  - Approved adjuvant chemotherapy regimen for at least 4 cycles
  - Followed by
  - Trastuzumab 8 mg/kg IV times 1 dose
  - Followed by
  - Trastuzumab 6 mg/kg IV every 21 days for 1 y
  - Cardiac monitoring at baseline, 3, 6, and 9 mo.

AC followed by docetaxel chemotherapy with trastuzumab
  - Doxorubicin 60 mg/m² IV day 1
  - Cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² day 1
  - Cycled every 21 days for 4 cycles
  - Followed by
  - Docetaxel 100 mg/m²
  - Cycled every 21 days for 4 cycles
  - With
  - Trastuzumab 4 mg/kg IV wk 1
  - Followed by
  - Trastuzumab 2 mg/kg IV weekly for 11 wks
  - Followed by
  - Trastuzumab 6 mg/kg IV every 21 days to complete 1 y of trastuzumab therapy
  - Cardiac monitoring at baseline, 3, 6, and 9 mo.

Neoadjuvant regimen:

- T followed by FEC chemotherapy with trastuzumab
  - Trastuzumab 4 mg/kg IV for one dose beginning just prior to first dose of paclitaxel
  - Followed by
  - Trastuzumab 2 mg/kg IV weekly for 23 wks
  - Paclitaxel 225 mg/m² by 24 h IV infusion every 21 days for 4 cycles
  - (alternatively paclitaxel may be administered as paclitaxel 80 mg/m² by 1 h IV infusion weekly for 12 wks)
  - Followed by
  - 5-fluorouracil 500 mg/m² IV on days 1 and 4
  - Epirubicin 75 mg/m² IV on day 1
  - Cyclophosphamide 500 mg/m² on day 1
  - Cycled every 21 days for 4 cycles.

See References (BINV-K 5 of 5)
REFERENCES FOR NEOADJUVANT/ADJUVANT CHEMOTHERAPY REGIMENS


DEFINITION OF MENOPAUSE

Clinical trials in breast cancer have utilized a variety of definitions of menopause. Menopause is generally the permanent cessation of menses, and as the term is utilized in breast cancer management includes a profound and permanent decrease in ovarian estrogen synthesis. Reasonable criteria for determining menopause include any of the following:

- Prior bilateral oophorectomy
- Age ≥60 y
- Age <60 y and amenorrheic for 12 or more months in the absence of chemotherapy, tamoxifen, toremifene, or ovarian suppression and FSH and estradiol in the postmenopausal range
- If taking tamoxifen or toremifene, and age <60 y, then FSH and plasma estradiol level in postmenopausal ranges

It is not possible to assign menopausal status to women who are receiving an LHRH agonist or antagonist. In women premenopausal at the beginning of adjuvant chemotherapy, amenorrhea is not a reliable indicator of menopausal status as ovarian function may still be intact or resume despite anovulation/amenorrhea after chemotherapy. For these women with therapy-induced amenorrhea, oophorectomy or serial measurement of FSH and/or estradiol are needed to ensure postmenopausal status if the use of aromatase inhibitors is considered as a component of endocrine therapy.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
PRINCIPLES OF MONITORING METASTATIC DISEASE

Monitoring of patient symptoms and cancer burden during treatment of metastatic breast cancer is important to determine whether the treatment is providing benefit and that the patient does not have toxicity from an ineffective therapy.

Components of Monitoring:
Monitoring includes periodic assessment of varied combinations of symptoms, physical examination, routine laboratory tests, imaging studies, and blood biomarkers where appropriate. Results of monitoring are classified as response/continued response to treatment, stable disease, uncertainty regarding disease status, or progression of disease. The clinician typically must assess and balance multiple different forms of information to make a determination regarding whether disease is being controlled and the toxicity of treatment is acceptable. Sometimes, this information may be contradictory.

Definition of Disease Progression:
Unequivocal evidence of progression of disease by one or more of these factors is required to establish progression of disease, either because of ineffective therapy or acquired resistance of disease to an applied therapy. Progression of disease may be identified through evidence of growth or worsening of disease at previously known sites of disease and/or of the occurrence of new sites of metastatic disease.

- Findings concerning for progression of disease include:
  - Worsening symptoms such as pain or dyspnea
  - Evidence of worsening or new disease on physical examination
  - Declining performance status
  - Unexplained weight loss
  - Increasing alkaline phosphatase, ALT, AST, or bilirubin
  - Hypercalcemia
  - New radiographic abnormality or increase in the size of pre-existing radiographic abnormality
  - New areas of abnormality on functional imaging (eg, bone scan, PET/CT scan)
  - Increasing tumor markers (eg, CEA, CA15-3, CA27.29)¹

¹Rising tumor markers (eg, CEA, CA15-3, CA27.29) are concerning for tumor progression, but may also be seen in the setting of responding disease. An isolated increase in tumor markers should rarely be used to declare progression of disease. Changes in bone lesions are often difficult to assess on plain or cross-sectional radiology or on bone scan. For these reasons, patient symptoms and serum tumor markers may be more helpful in patients with bone-dominant metastatic disease.
Use of Objective Criteria for Response/Stability/Progression:

• The most accurate assessments of disease activity typically occur when previously abnormal studies are repeated on a serial and regular basis. Generally, the same method of assessment should be used over time (eg, an abnormality found on chest CT scan should generally be monitored with repeat chest CT scans).

• Some non-clinically important variation in measurement of abnormalities by all serial studies is common and expected. Therefore, the use of objective and widely accepted criteria for response, stability, and progression of disease are encouraged. Such systems include the Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST) guidelines (Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer 2009;45:228-247) and the WHO criteria (Miller AB, Hoogstraten B, Staquet M, and Winkler A. Reporting results of cancer treatment. Cancer 1981;47:207-214).

• Studies of functional imaging, such as radionuclide bone scans and PET imaging, are particularly challenging when used to assess response. In the case of bone scans, responding disease may result in a flare or increased activity on the scan that may be misinterpreted as disease progression, especially on the first follow-up bone scan after initiating a new therapy. PET imaging is challenging because of the absence of a reproducible, validated, and widely accepted set of standards for disease activity assessment.

Frequency of Monitoring:
The optimal frequency of repeat testing is uncertain, and is primarily based upon the monitoring strategies utilized in breast cancer clinical trials. The frequency of monitoring must balance the need to detect progressive disease, avoid unnecessary toxicity of any ineffective therapy, resource utilization, and determine cost. The following table is to provide guidance, and should be modified for the individual patient based upon sites of disease, biology of disease, length of time on treatment, etc. Reassessment of disease activity should be performed in patients with new or worsening signs or symptoms of disease, regardless of the time interval from previous studies.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
### PRINCIPLES OF MONITORING METASTATIC DISEASE

**Suggested intervals of follow-up for patients with metastatic disease**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline prior to new therapy</th>
<th>Chemotherapy</th>
<th>Endocrine therapy</th>
<th>Restaging if concern for progression of disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symptom assessment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prior to each cycle</td>
<td>Every 2-3 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical examination</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prior to each cycle</td>
<td>Every 2-3 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance status</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prior to each cycle</td>
<td>Every 2-3 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prior to each cycle</td>
<td>Every 2-3 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFTs, CBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prior to each cycle</td>
<td>Every 2-3 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT scan chest/abd/pelvis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Every 2-4 cycles</td>
<td>Every 2-6 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone scan</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Every 4 cycles</td>
<td>Every 4-6 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET/CT</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor markers</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 In patients who have long-term stable disease, the frequency of monitoring can be reduced.

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
SUBSEQUENT ENDOCRINE THERAPY FOR SYSTEMIC DISEASE

Premenopausal patients with ER-positive disease should have ovarian ablation/suppression and follow postmenopausal guidelines

Postmenopausal Patients
- Non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole, letrozole)
- Steroidal aromatase inactivator (exemestane)¹
- Fulvestrant
- Tamoxifen or Toremifene
- Megestrol acetate
- Fluoxymesterone
- Ethinyl estradiol

¹A single study (BOLERO-2) in women with hormone receptor-positive, HER-2 negative metastatic breast cancer and prior therapy with a nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor demonstrated improvement in progression-free survival with the addition of everolimus (an mTOR inhibitor) to exemestane (HR 0.43; 95% CI, 0.35-0.54; log-rank \( P < 0.001 \)) and with an increase in toxicity. No survival analysis is available. Consider the addition of everolimus to exemestane in women who fulfill the eligibility criteria of BOLERO-2.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
CHEMOTHERAPY REGIMENS FOR RECURRENT OR METASTATIC BREAST CANCER\(^1\)

**Preferred single agents:**

- **Anthracyclines**
  - Doxorubicin
  - Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin

- **Taxanes**
  - Paclitaxel

- **Anti-metabolites**
  - Capecitabine
  - Gemcitabine

- **Other microtubule inhibitors**
  - Vinorelbine
  - Eribulin

**Other single agents:**

- Cyclophosphamide
- Carboplatin
- Docetaxel
- Albumin-bound paclitaxel
- Cisplatin
- Epirubicin
- Ixabepilone

**Chemotherapy combinations:**

- CAF/FAC (cyclophosphamide/doxorubicin/fluorouracil)
- FEC (fluorouracil/epirubicin/cyclophosphamide)
- AC (doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide)
- EC (epirubicin/cyclophosphamide)
- CMF (cyclophosphamide/methotrexate/fluorouracil)
- Docetaxel/capecitabine
- GT (gemcitabine/paclitaxel)
- Gemcitabine/carboplatin
- Paclitaxel/bevacizumab\(^2\)

**Preferred first-line agents for HER2-positive disease:**

- Pertuzumab + trastuzumab + docetaxel (category 1)
- Pertuzumab + trastuzumab + paclitaxel

**Other first-line agents for HER2-positive disease:**

- Trastuzumab with:
  - Paclitaxel ± carboplatin
  - Docetaxel
  - Vinorelbine
  - Capecitabine

**Agents for trastuzumab-exposed HER2-positive disease:**

- Lapatinib + capecitabine
- Trastuzumab + capecitabine
- Trastuzumab + lapatinib (without cytotoxic therapy)
- Trastuzumab + other agents

---

1. There is no compelling evidence that combination regimens are superior to sequential single agents.

2. Randomized clinical trials in metastatic breast cancer document that the addition of bevacizumab to some first- or second-line chemotherapy agents modestly improves time to progression and response rates but does not improve overall survival. The time-to-progression impact may vary among cytotoxic agents and appears greatest with bevacizumab in combination with weekly paclitaxel.

---

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
DOSING SCHEDULES FOR CHEMOTHERAPY REGIMENS FOR RECURRENT OR METASTATIC BREAST CANCER

Preferred single agents:

**Anthracyclines:**
- Doxorubicin
  - 60-75 mg/m² IV day 1, cycled every 21 days
  - or
  - 20 mg/m² IV day 1 weekly

*Pegylated liposomal encapsulated doxorubicin*¹
- 50 mg/m² IV day 1
  - Cycled every 28 days.

**Taxanes:**
- Paclitaxel
  - 175 mg/m² IV day 1
  - Cycled every 21 days.
  - or
  - 80 mg/m² IV day 1 weekly

**Antimetabolites:**
- Capecitabine
  - 1000-1250 mg/m² PO twice daily days 1-14
  - Cycled every 21 days.

*Gemcitabine*²
- 800-1200 mg/m² IV days 1, 8, and 15
  - Cycled every 28 days.

**Other microtubule inhibitors:**
- Vinorelbine
  - 25 mg/m² IV day 1 weekly

*Eribulin*³
- 1.4 mg/m² IV days 1 and 8
  - Cycled every 21 days.

Other single agents:

- **Cyclophosphamide**⁴
  - 50 mg PO daily on days 1-21
  - Cycled every 28 days.

- **Carboplatin**⁵
  - AUC 6 IV on day 1
  - Cycled every 21-28 days.

- **Docetaxel**
  - 60-100 mg/m² IV day 1
  - Cycled every 21 days.⁶,⁷
  - or
  - 40 mg/m² IV weekly for 6 wks followed by a 2-week rest,
  - then repeat⁸

- **Albumin-bound paclitaxel**
  - 100 mg/m² or 150 mg/m² IV days 1, 8, and 15
  - Cycled every 28 days.⁹,¹⁰
  - or
  - 260 mg/m² IV
  - Cycled every 21 days.¹¹

- **Cisplatin**¹²
  - 75 mg/m² IV on day 1
  - Cycled every 21 days.

- **Epirubicin**¹³
  - 60-90 mg/m² IV day 1
  - Cycled every 21 days.

- **Ixabepilone**¹⁴
  - 40 mg/m² IV day 1
  - Cycled every 21 days.

The selection, dosing, and administration of anti-cancer agents and the management of associated toxicities are complex. Modifications of drug dose and schedule and initiation of supportive care interventions are often necessary because of expected toxicities and individual patient variability, prior treatment, and comorbidity. The optimal delivery of anti-cancer agents therefore requires a health care delivery team experienced in the use of anti-cancer agents and the management of associated toxicities in patients with cancer.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Chemotherapy combinations:

CAF chemotherapy
- Cyclophosphamide 100 mg/m² PO days 1-14
- Doxorubicin 30 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
- 5-fluorouracil 500 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
Cycled every 28 days.

FAC chemotherapy
- 5-fluorouracil 500 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8 or days 1 & 4
- Doxorubicin 50 mg/m² IV day 1
- Cyclophosphamide 500 mg/m² IV day 1
Cycled every 21 days.

FEC chemotherapy
- Cyclophosphamide 400 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
- Epirubicin 50 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
- 5-fluorouracil 500 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
Cycled every 28 days.

AC chemotherapy
- Doxorubicin 60 mg/m² IV day 1
- Cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² IV day 1
Cycled every 21 days.

EC chemotherapy
- Epirubicin 75 mg/m² IV day 1
- Cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² IV day 1
Cycled every 21 days.

CMF chemotherapy
- Cyclophosphamide 100 mg/m² PO days 1-14
- Methotrexate 40 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
- 5-fluorouracil 600 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8
Cycled every 28 days.

Docetaxel/capecitabine chemotherapy
- Docetaxel 75 mg/m² IV day 1
- Capecitabine 950 mg/m² PO twice daily days 1-14
Cycled every 21 days.

GT chemotherapy
- Paclitaxel 175 mg/m² IV day 1
- Gemcitabine 1250 mg/m² IV days 1 & 8 (following paclitaxel on day 1)
Cycled every 21 days.

Gemcitabine/carboplatin
- Gemcitabine 1000 mg/m² on days 1 & 8
- Carboplatin AUC 2 IV on days 1 & 8
Cycled every 21 days.

Paclitaxel plus bevacizumab
- Paclitaxel 90 mg/m² by 1 h IV days 1, 8, & 15
- Bevacizumab 10 mg/kg IV days 1 & 15
Cycled every 28 days.

See References (BINV-O, 6 of 7)

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
**Invasive Breast Cancer**

**Preferred first-line agents for HER2-positive disease:**

- **Pertuzumab + trastuzumab + docetaxel**
  - **Pertuzumab** 840 mg IV day 1 followed by 420 mg IV
  - **Trastuzumab** 8 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 6 mg/kg IV
  - **Docetaxel** 75-100 mg/m² IV day 1

  Cycled every 21 days.

- **Pertuzumab + trastuzumab + weekly paclitaxel**
  - **Pertuzumab** 840 mg IV day 1 followed by 420 mg IV
  - **Trastuzumab** 4 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 2 mg/kg IV weekly
  - **Paclitaxel** 80 mg/m² IV day 1 weekly.

Cycled every 21 days.

**Other first-line agents for HER2-positive disease:**

- **TCH chemotherapy**
  - **Carboplatin** AUC 6 IV day 1
  - **Paclitaxel** 175 mg/m² IV day 1

  Cycled every 21 days.

- **Trastuzumab**
  - **Paclitaxel**
    - 175 mg/m² IV day 1 cycled every 21 days
    - or
    - 80-90 mg/m² IV day 1 weekly
    - **Docetaxel**
      - 4 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 2 mg/kg IV weekly
      - or
      - 8 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 6 mg/kg IV every 21 days
    - **Trastuzumab**
      - 4 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 2 mg/kg IV weekly
      - or
      - 8 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 6 mg/kg IV every 21 days

**Weekly TCH chemotherapy**

- **Paclitaxel** 80 mg/m² IV days 1, 8, & 15
- **Carboplatin** AUC 2 IV days 1, 8, & 15

Cycled every 28 days.

- **Trastuzumab**
  - **Paclitaxel**
    - 80-100 mg/m² IV day 1 cycled every 21 days
    - or
    - 35 mg/m² IV days 1, 8, and 15 weekly
    - **Docetaxel**
      - 4 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 2 mg/kg IV weekly
      - or
      - 8 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 6 mg/kg IV every 21 days

- **Trastuzumab + vinorelbine**
  - **Vinorelbine** 25 mg/m² IV day 1 weekly
  - **Trastuzumab**
    - 4 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 2 mg/kg IV weekly
    - or
    - 8 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 6 mg/kg IV every 21 days

- **Trastuzumab + capecitabine**
  - **Capecitabine** 1000-1250 mg/m² PO twice daily days 1-14 cycled every 21 days
  - **Trastuzumab**
    - 4 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 2 mg/kg IV weekly
    - or
    - 8 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 6 mg/kg IV every 21 days

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**See References**

(BINV-O, 6 of 7)
DOSING SCHEDULES FOR CHEMOTHERAPY REGIMENS FOR HER-2 POSITIVE RECURRENT OR METASTATIC BREAST CANCER

Agents for trastuzumab-exposed HER2-positive disease:

Lapatinib + capecitabine
- Lapatinib 1250 mg PO daily days 1-21
- Capecitabine 1000 mg/m² PO twice daily days 1-14
  Cycled every 21 days.

Trastuzumab + capecitabine
- Capecitabine 1000-1250 mg/m² PO twice daily days 1-14
  Cycled every 21 days.
- Trastuzumab
  - 4 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 2 mg/kg IV weekly or
  - 8 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 6 mg/kg IV every 21 days

Trastuzumab + lapatinib
- Lapatinib 1000 mg PO daily
- Trastuzumab
  - 4 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 2 mg/kg IV weekly or
  - 8 mg/kg IV day 1 followed by 6 mg/kg IV every 21 days

See References (BINV-O, 6 of 7)
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REFERENCES FOR CHEMOTHERAPY REGIMENS FOR RECURRENT OR METASTATIC BREAST CANCER


# Phyllodes Tumor

## Clinical Presentation

Clinical suspicion of phyllodes tumor:
- Palpable mass
- Rapid growth
- Large size (>2 cm)
- Imaging with ultrasound suggestive of fibroadenoma except for size and/or history of growth

## Workup

- History and physical exam
- Ultrasound
- Mammogram for women ≥30 y

## Findings

- Fibroadenoma
  - History and physical exam
  - Ultrasound
  - Mammogram for women ≥30 y

- Phyllodes tumor includes benign, borderline, and malignant
  - Excisional biopsy

- Invasive or in situ cancer
  - Excisional biopsy

## Treatment

- Wide excision without axillary staging
- Observe
- See findings above
- Excisional biopsy
- See appropriate guidelines
- Wide excision without axillary staging
- See appropriate guidelines

---

### Notes

- FNA will not, and core biopsy may not distinguish fibroadenoma from a phyllodes tumor in most cases.
- Excisional biopsy includes complete mass removal, but without the intent of obtaining surgical margins.
- Wide excision means excision with the intention of obtaining surgical margins ≥1 cm. Narrow surgical margins are associated with heightened local recurrence risk, but are not an absolute indication for mastectomy when partial mastectomy fails to achieve margin width ≥1 cm.

---

### Clinical Trials

All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
## Phyllodes Tumor Recurrence

### Clinical Presentation

Locally recurrent breast mass following excision of phyllodes tumor

### Workup

- History and physical exam
- Ultrasound
- Mammogram
- Tissue sampling (histology preferred)
- Consider chest imaging

### Findings

- No metastatic disease
- Metastatic disease

### Treatment

- No metastatic disease: Re-excision with wide margins without axillary staging
- Metastatic disease: Management following principles of soft tissue sarcoma (See NCCN Guidelines for Soft Tissue Sarcoma)

---

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

---

\[d\] There are no prospective randomized data supporting the use of radiation treatment with phyllodes tumors. However, in the setting where additional recurrence would create significant morbidity (eg, chest wall recurrence following salvage mastectomy), radiation therapy may be considered, following the same principles that are applied to the treatment of soft tissue sarcoma.
Clinical suspicion of Paget's disease

- Clinical breast exam
- Diagnostic bilateral mammogram, ultrasound as necessary

Examination or imaging positive for breast lesion

Examination and imaging negative for breast lesion

See PAGET-2

aNipple or areolar eczema, ulceration, bleeding, or itching.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
**WORKUP**

**Examination or imaging positive for breast lesion**
- Core biopsy of breast lesion and full-thickness skin biopsy of involved NAC

**Breast and NAC biopsy negative**
- Clinical follow-up
  - Re-biopsy if not healing

**Breast DCIS and NAC Paget's**
- Mastectomy ± axillary staging (See BINV-D) 
  - or
  - Excision of breast tumor and excision NAC with whole breast radiation, consider boost to breast and NAC sites

**Breast invasive cancer and NAC Paget's**
- Mastectomy ± axillary staging (See BINV-D) 
  - or
  - Excision of breast tumor and excision NAC + axillary staging (See BINV-D) with whole breast radiation, consider boost to breast and NAC sites

**Breast negative for cancer and positive NAC Paget's**
- Consider MRI and tissue sampling

**NAC biopsy positive for Paget's**
- Mastectomy ± axillary staging (See BINV-D) 
  - or
  - Excision of NAC with whole breast radiation, consider boost to NAC sites

**NAC biopsy negative for Paget's**
- Clinical follow-up
  - Re-biopsy if not healing

**Examination and imaging negative for breast lesion**
- Full-thickness skin biopsy of involved NAC

**TREATMENT**

- To assess the extent of disease or to confirm additional disease, consider MRI (See BINV-B).
- Mastectomy is always an option with any manifestation of Paget's disease (See Discussion section).
- With Paget's disease and no associated peripheral cancer, or with associated ER-positive DCIS, consider tamoxifen 20 mg per day for 5 years.
- With associated invasive breast cancer, treat with appropriate systemic adjuvant therapy (See BINV-4).

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Considerations and selection of optimal local therapy and systemic therapy are similar to that recommended in non-pregnancy-associated breast cancer; see other sections of this guideline. However, the selection and timing of chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, and radiation therapy is different in the pregnant versus non-pregnant patient (See Discussion section). Chemotherapy should not be administered during the first trimester of pregnancy, and radiation therapy should not be administered during any trimester of pregnancy. Most experience with chemotherapy during pregnancy for breast cancer is from regimens that utilize various combinations of doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, and fluorouracil. Considerations for post-partum chemotherapy are the same as for non-pregnancy-associated breast cancer.

Use of blue dye is contraindicated in pregnancy; radiolabeled sulfur colloid appears to be safe for sentinel node biopsy in pregnancy. See Surgical Axillary Staging (BINV-D).

There are insufficient safety data to recommend general use of taxanes during pregnancy. Use of paclitaxel weekly administration after the first trimester is acceptable if clinically indicated by disease status. The use of trastuzumab is contraindicated during pregnancy.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Inflammatory breast cancer (IBC) is a clinical syndrome in women with invasive breast cancer that is characterized by erythema and edema (peau d’orange) of a third or more of the skin of the breast and with a palpable border to the erythema. The differential diagnosis includes cellulitis of the breast or mastitis. Pathologically, a tumor is typically present in the dermal lymphatics of the involved skin, but dermal lymphatic involvement is neither required, nor sufficient for by itself, for a diagnosis of inflammatory breast cancer.

The panel endorses the College of American Pathologists Protocol for pathology reporting for all invasive and noninvasive carcinomas of the breast.

If FDG PET/CT is performed and clearly indicates bone metastasis on both the PET and CT component, bone scan or fluoride PET/CT may not be needed.

FDG PET/CT may also be helpful in identifying unsuspected regional nodal disease and/or distant metastases in locally advanced breast cancer when used in addition to standard staging studies.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
**NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2013**

**Inflammatory Breast Cancer**

**TREATMENT**

Response

- Total mastectomy + level I/II axillary dissection + radiation therapy to chest wall and supraclavicular nodes (plus internal mammary nodes if involved; consider internal mammary nodes if not clinically involved [category 3]) ± delayed breast reconstruction

**Response**

- Complete planned chemotherapy regimen course if not completed preoperatively plus endocrine treatment if ER-positive and/or PR-positive (sequential chemotherapy followed by endocrine therapy).

- Complete up to one year of trastuzumab therapy if HER2-positive (category 1). May be administered concurrently with radiation therapy and with endocrine therapy if indicated.

**No response**

- Consider additional systemic chemotherapy and/or preoperative radiation

**Response**

- See above pathway

**No response**

- Individualized treatment

---

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
# Table 1

## American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM Staging System for Breast Cancer

**Primary Tumor (T)** The T classification of the primary tumor is the same regardless of whether it is based on clinical or pathologic criteria, or both. Size should be measured to the nearest millimeter. If the tumor size is slightly less than or greater than a cutoff for a given T classification, it is recommended that the size be rounded to the millimeter reading that is closest to the cutoff. For example, a reported size of 1.1 mm is reported as 1 mm, or a size of 2.01 cm is reported as 2.0 cm. Designation should be made with the subscript “c” or “p” modifier to indicate whether the T classification was determined by clinical (physical examination or radiologic) or pathologic measurements, respectively. In general, pathologic determination should take precedence over clinical determination of T size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T Classification</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>Primary tumor cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>No evidence of primary tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis</td>
<td>Carcinoma in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis (DCIS)</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis (LCIS)</td>
<td>Lobular carcinoma in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis (Paget’s)</td>
<td>Paget’s disease of the nipple NOT associated with invasive carcinoma and/or carcinoma in situ (DCIS and/or LCIS) in the underlying breast parenchyma. Carcinomas in the breast parenchyma associated with Paget’s disease are categorized based on the size and characteristics of the parenchymal disease, although the presence of Paget’s disease should still be noted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Tumor ≤20 mm or less in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>Tumor ≤1 mm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>Tumor &gt;1 mm but ≤5 mm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1c</td>
<td>Tumor &gt;5 mm but ≤10 mm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1d</td>
<td>Tumor &gt;10 mm but ≤20 mm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Tumor &gt;20 mm but ≤50 mm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Tumor &gt;50 mm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>Tumor of any size with direct extension to the chest wall and/or to the skin (ulceration or skin nodules).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4a</td>
<td>Extension to the chest wall, not including only pectoralis muscle adherence/invasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4b</td>
<td>Ulceration and/or ipsilateral satellite nodules and/or edema (including peau d’orange) of the skin, which do not meet the criteria for inflammatory carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4c</td>
<td>Both T4a and T4b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4d</td>
<td>Inflammatory carcinoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Invasion of the dermis alone does not qualify as T4*.
### Table 1 (continued)

#### Regional Lymph Nodes (N)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical</th>
<th>Pathologic (pN)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NX</td>
<td>Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed (e.g., previously removed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>No regional lymph node metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Metastases to movable ipsilateral level I, II axillary lymph node(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>Metastases in ipsilateral level I, II axillary lymph nodes that are clinically fixed or matted; or in clinically detected* ipsilateral internal mammary nodes in the absence of clinically evident axillary lymph node metastases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2a</td>
<td>Metastases in ipsilateral level I, II axillary lymph nodes fixed to one another (matted) or to other structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2b</td>
<td>Metastases only in clinically detected* ipsilateral internal mammary nodes and in the absence of clinically evident level I, II axillary lymph node metastases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>Metastases in ipsilateral infraclavicular (level III axillary) lymph node(s) with or without level I, II axillary lymph node involvement; or in clinically detected* ipsilateral internal mammary lymph node(s) with clinically evident level I, II axillary lymph node metastases; or metastases in ipsilateral supraclavicular lymph node(s) with or without axillary or internal mammary lymph node involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3a</td>
<td>Metastasis in ipsilateral infraclavicular lymph node(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3b</td>
<td>Metastasis in ipsilateral internal mammary lymph node(s) and axillary lymph node(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3c</td>
<td>Metastasis in ipsilateral supraclavicular lymph node(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Clinically detected* is defined as detected by imaging studies (excluding lymphoscintigraphy) or by clinical examination and having characteristics highly suspicious for malignancy or a presumed pathologic macrometastasis based on fine needle aspiration.

Not: Isolated tumor cell clusters (ITC) are defined as small clusters of cells not greater than 0.2 mm, or single tumor cells, or a cluster of fewer than 200 cells in a single histologic cross-section. ITCs may be detected by routine histology or by immunohistochemical (IHC) methods. Nodes containing only ITCs are excluded from the total positive node count for purposes of N classification but should be included in the total number of nodes evaluated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathologic (pN)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pNX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN0(i-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN0(I+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN0(mol-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN0(mol+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Classification is based on axillary lymph node dissection with or without sentinel lymph node biopsy. Classification based solely on sentinel lymph node biopsy without subsequent axillary lymph node dissection is designated (sn) for “sentinel node,” for example, pN0(sn).

** RT-PCR: reverse transcriptase/polymerase chain reaction.
### Table 1 (continued)

#### Pathologic (pN) (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pN</th>
<th>Metastasis Descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pN1</td>
<td>Micrometastases; or metastases in 1–3 axillary lymph nodes; and/or in internal mammary nodes with metastases detected by sentinel lymph node biopsy but not clinically detected***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN1mi</td>
<td>Micrometastases (greater than 0.2 mm and/or more than 200 cells, but none greater than 2.0 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN1a</td>
<td>Metastases in 1–3 axillary lymph nodes, at least one metastasis greater than 2.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN1b</td>
<td>Metastases in internal mammary nodes with micrometastases or macrometastases detected by sentinel lymph node biopsy but not clinically detected***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN1c</td>
<td>Metastases in 1–3 axillary lymph nodes and in internal mammary lymph nodes with micrometastases or macrometastases detected by sentinel lymph node biopsy but not clinically detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN2</td>
<td>Metastases in 4–9 axillary lymph nodes; or in clinically detected*** internal mammary lymph nodes in the absence of axillary lymph node metastases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN2a</td>
<td>Metastases in 4–9 axillary lymph nodes (at least one tumor deposit greater than 2.0 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN2b</td>
<td>Metastases in clinically detected*** internal mammary lymph nodes in the absence of axillary lymph node metastases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN3</td>
<td>Metastases in ten or more axillary lymph nodes; or in infraclavicular (level III axillary) lymph nodes; or in clinically detected*** ipsilateral internal mammary lymph nodes in the presence of one or more positive level I, II axillary lymph nodes; or in more than three axillary lymph nodes and in internal mammary lymph nodes with micrometastases or macrometastases detected by sentinel lymph node biopsy but not clinically detected***; or in ipsilateral supraclavicular lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN3a</td>
<td>Metastases in ten or more axillary lymph nodes (at least one tumor deposit greater than 2.0 mm); or metastases to the infraclavicular (level III axillary lymph) nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN3b</td>
<td>Metastases in clinically detected**** ipsilateral internal mammary lymph nodes in the presence of one or more positive axillary lymph nodes; or in more than three axillary lymph nodes and in internal mammary lymph nodes with micrometastases or macrometastases detected by sentinel lymph node biopsy but not clinically detected***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN3c</td>
<td>Metastasis in ipsilateral supraclavicular lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** "Not clinically detected" is defined as not detected by imaging studies (excluding lymphoscintigraphy) or not detected by clinical examination.

**** "Clinically detected" is defined as detected by imaging studies (excluding lymphoscintigraphy) or by clinical examination and having characteristics highly suspicious for malignancy or a presumed pathologic macrometastasis based on fine needle aspiration biopsy with cytologic examination.

#### Distant Metastasis (M)

- **M0**: No clinical or radiographic evidence of distant metastases
- **cM0(I+)**: No clinical or radiographic evidence of distant metastases, but deposits of molecularly or microscopically detected tumor cells in circulating blood, bone marrow, or other nonregional nodal tissue that are no larger than 0.2 mm in a patient without symptoms or signs of metastases
- **M1**: Distant detectable metastases as determined by classic clinical and radiographic means and/or histologically proven larger than 0.2 mm
**Table 1 (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANATOMIC STAGE/PROGNOSTIC GROUPS</th>
<th>Stage 0</th>
<th>Stage IIA</th>
<th>Stage IIIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0 N0 M0</td>
<td>T0 N2 M0</td>
<td>T0 N2 M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1* N0 M0</td>
<td>T1* N2 M0</td>
<td>T1* N2 M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0 N1** M0</td>
<td>T0 N2 M0</td>
<td>T0 N2 M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1* N1** M0</td>
<td>T1* N2 M0</td>
<td>T1* N2 M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 N0 M0</td>
<td>T2 N1 M0</td>
<td>T2 N1 M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 N0 M0</td>
<td>T3 N1 M0</td>
<td>T3 N1 M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* T0 and T1 tumors with nodal micrometastases only are excluded from Stage IIA and are classified Stage IB.

** Stage designation may be changed if postsurgical imaging studies reveal the presence of distant metastases, provided that the studies are carried out within 4 months of diagnosis in the absence of disease progression and provided that the patient has not received neoadjuvant therapy.

** Postneoadjuvant therapy is designated with “yc” or “yp” prefix. Of note, no stage group is assigned if there is a complete pathologic response (CR) to neoadjuvant therapy, for example, ypT0ypN0cM0.

### HISTOLOGIC GRADE (NOTTINGHAM COMBINED HISTOLOGIC GRADE IS RECOMMENDED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GX</td>
<td>Grade cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>Low combined histologic grade (favorable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>Intermediate combined histologic grade (moderately favorable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>High combined histologic grade (unfavorable)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### HISTOPATHOLOGIC TYPE

The histopathologic types are the following:

**In situ Carcinomas**

- Papillary (predominantly micropapillary pattern)
- Tubular
- Lobular
- Paget's disease and infiltrating
- Undifferentiated
- Squamous cell
- Adenoid cystic
- Secretory
- Cribriform

**Invasive Carcinomas**

- NOS (not otherwise specified)
- Ductal
- Inflammatory
- Medullary, NOS
- Medullary with lymphoid stroma
- Mucinous

---


Used with the permission of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago Illinois. The original and primary source for this information is the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, Seventh Edition (2010) published by Springer Science+Business Media, LLC (SBM). (For complete information and data supporting the staging tables, visit www.cancerstaging.net.) Any citation or quotation of this material must be credited to the AJCC as its primary source. The inclusion of this information herein does not authorize any reuse or further distribution without the expressed, written permission of Springer SBM, on behalf of the AJCC.
Discussion

NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus

Category 1: Based upon high-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 2A: Based upon lower-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 2B: Based upon lower-level evidence, there is NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 3: Based upon any level of evidence, there is major NCCN disagreement that the intervention is appropriate.

All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise noted.
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Overview

The Breast Cancer Clinical Practice Guidelines presented here are the work of the members of the NCCN Breast Cancer Clinical Practice Guidelines Panel. Categories of evidence were assessed and are noted on the algorithms and in the text. Although not explicitly stated at every decision point of the guidelines, patient participation in prospective clinical trials is the preferred option of treatment for all stages of breast cancer.

The American Cancer Society estimates that 234,580 Americans will be diagnosed with breast cancer and 40,030 will die of the disease in the United States in 2013. Breast cancer is the most common malignancy in women in the United States and is second only to lung cancer as a cause of cancer death.

The incidence of breast cancer has increased steadily in the United States over the past few decades, but breast cancer mortality appears to be declining, suggesting a benefit from early detection and more effective treatment.

The etiology of the vast majority of breast cancer cases is unknown. However, numerous risk factors for the disease have been established. These risk factors include: female gender; increasing patient age; family history of breast cancer at a young age; early menarche; late menopause; older age at first live childbirth; prolonged hormone replacement therapy; previous exposure to therapeutic chest wall irradiation; benign proliferative breast disease; increased mammographic breast density; and genetic mutations such as of the BRCA1/2 genes. However, except for female gender and increasing patient age, these risk factors are associated with only a minority of breast cancers. Women with a strong family history of breast cancer should be evaluated according to the NCCN Guidelines for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment. Women at increased risk for breast cancer (generally those with ≥1.67% 5-year risk for breast cancer using the Gail model of risk assessment) may consider risk reduction strategies (see NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction).

Proliferative abnormalities of the breast are limited to the lobular and ductal epithelium. In both the lobular and ductal epithelium, a spectrum of proliferative abnormalities may be seen, including hyperplasia, atypical hyperplasia, in situ carcinoma, and invasive carcinoma.

Approximately 85% to 90% of invasive carcinomas are ductal in origin. The invasive ductal carcinomas include unusual variants of breast cancer, such as mucinous, adenoid cystic, and tubular carcinomas, which have especially favorable natural histories.

Staging

All patients with breast cancer should be assigned a clinical stage of disease, and, if appropriate evaluation is available, a pathologic stage of disease. The routine use of staging allows for efficient identification of local treatment options, assists in identifying systemic treatment options, allows for the comparison of outcome results across institutions and clinical trials, and provides baseline prognostic information.

Effective January 2010, the AJCC implemented a revision of the Cancer Staging Manual (seventh edition) containing important changes and additions to the TNM staging system for breast cancer. This revision differs from the 2003 edition of the AJCC staging manual by providing more direction relating to the specific methods of clinical and pathologic tumor measurement; recommending that all invasive cancers should be assigned a combined histologic tumor grade using the Elston-Ellis modification of the Scarff-Bloom-Richardson grading system; providing clarification of the classification of isolated tumor cells in axillary lymph node (ALN) staging; subdividing stage I into stage IA and IB based...
upon the presence or absence of nodal micrometastases (N0 versus N0mi+); and defining a new category of M0(i+) disease referring to tumor cells detectable in bone marrow or circulating tumor cells or found incidentally in other tissues if not exceeding 0.2 mm. This version of the AJCC staging manual also recommends the collection of prognostic factors, including tumor grade, estrogen receptor (ER) content, progesterone receptor (PR) content, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status, although these characteristics do not specifically influence assigned stage of disease.

Pathology Assessment

A central component of the treatment of breast cancer is full knowledge of extent of disease and biologic features. These factors contribute to the determination of the stage of disease, assist in the estimation of the risk that the cancer will recur, and provide information that predicts response to therapy (eg, hormone receptors, HER2). These factors are determined by examination of excised tissue and are provided in a written pathology report. Accurate pathology reporting requires communication between the clinician and the pathologist relating to relevant patient history, prior breast biopsies, prior irradiation to the chest, pregnancy status, characteristics of the abnormality biopsied (eg, palpable, mammographically detected microcalcifications), clinical state of lymph nodes, presence of inflammatory change or other skin abnormality, and any prior treatment administered (eg, chemotherapy, radiation therapy). The specimens should be oriented for the pathologist, and specific requests for determination of biomarkers should be stated (eg, ER, PR, and HER2 status). The use of consistent, unambiguous standards for reporting is strongly encouraged. Data from both national and local surveys show that as many as 50% of pathology reports for breast cancer are missing some elements critical to patient management. Significant omissions include failure to orient and report surgical margins and failure to report tumor grade consistently.

ER status should be determined for all samples of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), and ER and PR tumor status should be determined for all samples of invasive breast cancer and retested on sites of first recurrence if previously unknown or negative. ER and PR tumor status is normally determined by immunohistochemistry (IHC) testing. Although this method is considered reliable when performed by experienced pathology personnel, there have been several reports indicating that the reliability of ER and PR determinations can vary widely from one laboratory to another. These interlaboratory differences may be attributable to the diverse methodologies and diverse interpretation schema used to evaluate tumor hormonal status. An NCCN Task Force and a panel of ASCO and College of American Pathologists (CAP) have reviewed this topic and issued recommendations on ER and PR testing in breast cancer. Breast cancers that have at least 1% of cells staining positive for ER should be considered ER-positive.

Along with ER and PR, the determination of HER2 tumor status for all newly diagnosed invasive breast cancers and for first recurrences of breast cancer whenever possible if previously unknown or negative is specified in the guidelines. HER2 status can be assessed by measuring the number of HER2 gene copies using in situ hybridization [ISH] techniques, or by a complementary method in which the quantity of HER2 cell surface receptors is assessed by IHC. Assignment of HER2 status based on mRNA assays or multigene arrays is not recommended. The accuracy of HER2 assays used in clinical practice is a major concern, and results from several studies have shown that false-positive as well as false-negative HER2 test results are common. An NCCN Task Force has reviewed this topic and issued...
recommendations on HER2 testing in breast cancer, which is summarized in the guidelines (see Principles of HER2 Testing in the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer). The Panel considers either an IHC or ISH test as an acceptable method for making an initial determination of HER2 tumor status provided that the test method has been validated and shown to be at least 95% concordant with another validated method. Evidence for 95% concordance between the HER2 assay used and a validated complementary HER2 testing method is also required. Breast cancer tumors are classified as HER2-positive if they demonstrate HER2 gene amplification by an ISH method or are scored as 3+ by an IHC method. Samples scored as 2+ by the IHC method are designated as equivocal (borderline). These samples should be subjected to reflex testing by an ISH method to assign HER2 status. Similarly, samples with equivocal results by an ISH assay (for example, scores of 1.8–2.0 HER2 genes/chromosome 17/cell or scores of >4 to <6 HER2 genes/cell depending on the tests used) must be confirmed by counting additional cells or repeating the ISH assay. If the results continue to be equivocal, then reflex testing with IHC is recommended to assign HER2 status.

HER2 testing should be performed in laboratories accredited to carry out such testing. Further, these laboratories should have standardized HER2 testing procedures in place, as well as programs to periodically evaluate the proficiency of personnel performing HER2 testing. Some of the information that HER2 test reports should provide include information on site of tumor; specimen type; histologic type; fixation method and time; block examined; and details on the HER2 testing method(s) used. Clinicians should be familiar with the significance of these criteria when making clinical recommendations for an individual patient.

A joint panel from ASCO and CAP has issued HER2 testing guidelines, which are fully consistent with the recommendations by the NCCN Panel and also provide detailed recommendations for a substantial ongoing quality assurance program for laboratory accreditation from CAP. The NCCN Breast Cancer Panel endorses CAP accreditation for anatomic pathology laboratories performing HER2 testing.

The CAP has developed pathology reporting protocols to promote complete and standardized reporting of malignant specimens. CAP provides a protocol for each disease site that includes cancer case summaries (checklists) along with background documentation. These checklists form the basis for a synoptic, standardized reporting of pathologic findings. The checklists are available without charge through the CAP web site at www.cap.org. Consistent, unambiguous, and complete pathology reporting is a cornerstone of quality breast cancer care, and the NCCN Breast Cancer Panel endorses the use of the CAP protocols for reporting the pathologic analysis of all breast cancer specimens.

**Treatment Approach**

Conceptually, the treatment of breast cancer includes the treatment of local disease with surgery, radiation therapy, or both, and the treatment of systemic disease with cytotoxic chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, biologic therapy, or combinations of these. The need for and selection of various local or systemic therapies are based on several prognostic and predictive factors. These factors include tumor histology, clinical and pathologic characteristics of the primary tumor, ALN status, tumor hormone receptor content, tumor HER2 status, multi-gene testing, presence or absence of detectable metastatic disease, patient comorbid conditions, patient age, and menopausal status. Breast cancer does occur in men, and men with breast cancer should be treated similarly to...
postmenopausal women, except that the use of aromatase inhibitors is ineffective without concomitant suppression of testicular steroidogenesis.\textsuperscript{24,25} Patient preference is a major component of the decision-making process, especially in situations in which survival rates are equivalent among the available treatment options.

In terms of treatment, breast cancer may be divided into 1) the pure noninvasive carcinomas, which include lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS) and DCIS (stage 0); 2) operable, localregional invasive carcinoma with or without associated noninvasive carcinoma (clinical stage I, stage II, and some stage IIIA tumors); 3) inoperable localregional invasive carcinoma with or without associated noninvasive carcinoma (clinical stage IIIB, stage IIIC, and some stage IIIA tumors); and 4) metastatic (stage IV) or recurrent carcinoma.

**Pure Noninvasive Carcinomas (Stage 0)**

Both LCIS and DCIS may be difficult to distinguish from atypical hyperplasia or from invasive carcinomas with early invasion.\textsuperscript{26,27} Therefore, pathology review of all cases is recommended. Bilateral diagnostic mammography should be performed to identify the presence of multiple primary tumors and to estimate the extent of the noninvasive lesion. Diagnostic evaluation of LCIS is described in the [NCCN Guidelines for Breast Screening and Diagnosis](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast_screening.pdf). Genetic counseling is recommended if the patient is considered to be at high risk for hereditary breast cancer as defined by the [NCCN Guidelines for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/genetic_cancer_screening.pdf). Testing for genetic mutations without formal genetic counseling is discouraged.

The goal of treatment of pure in situ carcinoma is either preventing the occurrence of invasive disease or diagnosing the development of an invasive component when still localized to the breast. Patients with invasive disease, even if microinvasive, on pathology review or during re-excision, mastectomy, or ALN staging should be treated according to the stage-appropriate guideline for invasive carcinoma.

**Lobular Carcinoma in Situ (Stage 0, Tis, N0, M0)**

**Workup**

Recommended workup includes history and physical examination, diagnostic bilateral mammography, and pathology review.

**Primary Treatment**

Controversy exists regarding whether an open surgical excision should be performed of the region of LCIS diagnosed by core biopsy and that is not associated with a mammographic structural abnormality or residual mammographic calcifications. Small retrospective studies have concluded that excision following the diagnosis of LCIS on core needle biopsy is not necessary.\textsuperscript{28-30} Other studies have shown that 17% to 27% of patients with LCIS diagnosed by core needle biopsy are upgraded to having invasive cancer or DCIS after larger excisional biopsy.\textsuperscript{31-35} Based on core needle biopsies, it is not possible to identify subsets of patients with LCIS who can be safely spared a surgical excision.\textsuperscript{30} There are some data of small groups of patients suggesting that LCIS subtypes, including pleomorphic LCIS and LCIS associated with necrosis, carry a risk for associated invasive carcinoma similar to high-grade DCIS. Therefore, according to the NCCN Panel, it is reasonable to perform surgical excision of LCIS found in a core biopsy to exclude an associated invasive cancer or DCIS. More than 4 foci of LCIS may also increase the risk for upstaging on surgical biopsy. The NCCN Panel recommends that LCIS of the usual type (involving <4 terminal ductal lobular units in a single core) found on core biopsy, as a result of routine screening for calcifications and without imaging discordance, may be managed by imaging follow-up.
There is evidence to support the existence of histologically aggressive
variants of LCIS (eg, “pleomorphic” LCIS), which may have a greater
potential than classic LCIS to develop into invasive lobular carcinoma. Clinicians may consider complete excision with negative margins for pleomorphic LCIS. However, outcome data regarding treatment of patients with pleomorphic LCIS are lacking, due, in part, to a paucity of histologic categorization of variants of LCIS. Therefore, recommendations on the treatment of pleomorphic LCIS as a distinct entity of LCIS have not been made by the Panel.

Patients with a confirmed diagnosis of LCIS should be counselled regarding reducing the risk of developing invasive cancer (see NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction).

**Surveillance**
Follow-up of patients with LCIS includes interval history and physical examinations every 6 to 12 months. Annual diagnostic mammography is recommended in patients being followed with clinical observation; see also the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis. Patients receiving a risk reduction agent should be monitored as described in the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction.

**Ductal Carcinoma in Situ**
*(Stage 0, Tis, N0, M0)*

**Workup**
The recommended workup and staging of DCIS includes: history and physical examination; bilateral diagnostic mammography; pathology review; and tumor ER determination. Genetic counseling is recommended if the patient is considered to be at high risk for hereditary breast cancer as defined by the NCCN Guidelines for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian.

Although HER2 status is of prognostic significance in invasive cancer, its importance in DCIS has not been elucidated. To date studies have either found unclear or weak evidence of HER2 status as a prognostic indicator in DCIS. The NCCN Panel concluded that knowledge of the HER2 status of DCIS does not alter the management strategy, and routinely should not be determined.

MRI has been prospectively shown to have a sensitivity of up to 98% for high-grade DCIS. In a prospective, observational study, 193 women with pure DCIS underwent both mammography and MRI imaging preoperatively; 93 (56%) women were diagnosed by mammography and 153 (92%) were diagnosed by MRI (*P* < .0001). Of the 89 women with high-grade DCIS, 43 (48%) who were not diagnosed by mammography were diagnosed by MRI alone. Another study evaluated the role of MRI in determining appropriate candidacy for partial breast irradiation for women with DCIS. Twenty percent of women with DCIS were identified as ineligible for partial breast irradiation after a bilateral breast MRI. However, large prospective clinical trials will be necessary to further investigate the clinical role of MRI for diagnosing DCIS and to investigate its effect on recurrence rates or mortality. The NCCN Panel has included breast MRI as optional during the initial workup of DCIS.

**Primary Treatment**
Apparent pure DCIS on core needle biopsy will be found in about 25% of patients to be associated with an invasive cancer on surgical excision.

For the vast majority of patients with limited disease where negative margins are achieved with the initial excision or with re-excision, breast-conserving therapy or total mastectomy are appropriate.
treatment options. Although mastectomy provides maximum local control, the long-term, cause-specific survival with mastectomy appears to be equivalent to that with excision and whole breast irradiation.44-46

Patients with DCIS and evidence of widespread disease (ie, disease in two or more quadrants) on mammography or other imaging, physical examination, or biopsy require a total mastectomy without lymph node dissection.

Prospective randomized trials have shown that the addition of whole breast irradiation to a margin-free excision of pure DCIS decreases the rate of in-breast disease recurrence, but does not affect survival44,45,47-51 or distant metastasis-free survival.52 Whole breast irradiation after breast-conserving surgery reduces the relative risk of a local failure by approximately one half. If whole breast radiation is used, the use of a radiation boost (by photons, brachytherapy, or electron beam) to the tumor bed is recommended to maximize local control, especially in patients 50 years of age or younger.

There is retrospective evidence suggesting that selected patients have a low risk of in-breast recurrence with excision alone without breast irradiation.53-56 For example, in a retrospective review, 10-year disease-free survival rates of 186 patients with DCIS treated with breast-conserving surgery alone were 94% for patients with low-risk DCIS and 83% for patients with both intermediate- and high-risk DCIS.53 In another retrospective study of 215 patients with DCIS treated with breast-conserving therapy without radiation therapy, endocrine therapy, or chemotherapy, the recurrence rate over 8 years was 0%, 21.5%, and 32.1% in patients with low-, intermediate- or high-risk DCIS, respectively.54 A multi-institutional, nonrandomized, prospective study of selected patients with low-risk DCIS treated without radiation has also provided some support for the use of excision without radiation in the treatment of DCIS.57 At a median follow-up of 6.2 years, the 5-year risk of ipsilateral breast recurrence was 6.1% (95% CI, 4.1%–8.2%) in the subset of patients with low-/intermediate-grade DCIS and median tumor size of 6 mm. Margin widths were ≥5 mm in 69.2% and 82.9% of patients in the low-/intermediate-risk and high-risk arms, respectively, with margin widths of ≥10 mm or no tumor on re-excision observed in 48.5% and 53.3% of patients in the respective groups. Although an acceptably low ipsilateral recurrence rate was observed in the low-/intermediate-grade arm of the study at 5 years, the 7-year ipsilateral recurrence rate in this group of patients was considerably higher (10.5%; 95% CI, 7.5%–13.6%), suggesting that these events may be delayed but not prevented in this population. Ipsilateral breast recurrences were approximately equally divided between invasive breast cancer and DCIS in the low-/intermediate-risk group but only about one-third of patients with an in-breast recurrence in the high-risk group had invasive disease.

Prospective randomized trials have not been carried out to analyze whether wider margins can replace the need for radiation therapy for DCIS. A retrospective series demonstrated that for margin width of 10 mm, radiation had no additional benefit in reducing the already low local recurrence rate of 4% at the end of 8 years.56 Also, if margin width was between 1 mm and <10 mm, the addition of radiation therapy led to a non-statistically significant reduction in local recurrence. However, when margins were <1 mm a significant benefit was seen.56

Another retrospective study reviewed 220 patients with DCIS treated with breast conservation surgery and radiation. Thirty-six percent received a radiation boost. At 46 months, none of the 79 patients who received a radiation boost experienced a local recurrence, whereas 8 of 141 patients who did not receive a boost experienced a local recurrence.58
Many factors, including patient age, tumor size, tumor grade, and margin width, impact recurrence risk. The definition of a negative margin has not been firmly established in DCIS. There appears to be a consensus that margins >10 mm are adequate and margins <1 mm are inadequate, but no uniform consensus exists for margin status between these values. Results from a retrospective study of 445 patients with pure DCIS treated by excision alone indicated that margin width was the most important independent predictor of local recurrence, although the trend for decreasing local recurrence risk with increasing margin width was most apparent with margins <1 mm and ≥6 mm. In a meta-analysis of 4660 patients with DCIS treated with breast-conserving surgery and radiation, a surgical margin of <2 mm was associated with increased rates of ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence (IBTR) compared with margins of 2 mm, although no significant differences were observed when margins of >2 mm to 5 mm or >5 mm were compared with 2 mm margins. The results of this study suggest that wide margins (≥2 mm), which can compromise cosmetic outcome, do not provide additional benefit in the population of patients with DCIS receiving radiation therapy following breast-conserving therapy. A recent, large, retrospective study found that narrow surgical resection margin (≤2 mm) does not increase local recurrence compared to a surgical resection margin of 2 mm. Further complicating the issue of margin width is the impact of the fibroglandular boundary—the pectoral fascia and the superficial skin where narrower tumor-free margins may provide adequate local control.

The choice of local treatment does not impact disease-related survival; therefore, the individual patient’s acceptance of the potential for an increased risk of local recurrence must be considered.

An analysis of specimen margins and specimen radiographs should be performed to ensure that all mammographically detectable DCIS has been excised. In addition, a post-excision mammogram should be considered where appropriate (eg, the mass and/or microcalcifications are not clearly within the specimen).

Axillary dissection is not recommended for patients with pure DCIS, and axillary nodal involvement in patients with pure DCIS in the breast is rare. However, a small proportion of women with apparent pure DCIS on initial biopsy will have invasive breast cancer at the time of the definitive surgical procedure and thus will ultimately require ALN staging. In patients with apparent pure DCIS to be treated with mastectomy or with excision in an anatomic location (eg, tail of the breast), which could compromise the performance of a future sentinel lymph node (SLN) procedure, an SLN procedure may be considered.

NCCN Recommendations

According to the NCCN Panel, primary treatment options for women with DCIS along with their respective categories of consensus are: lumpectomy plus radiation (category 1); total mastectomy, with or without reconstruction (category 2A); or lumpectomy alone followed by clinical observation (category 2B).

There is no evidence that survival differs between the three treatment options. Decreased rates of local recurrence following lumpectomy have been observed in randomized trials with the addition of whole breast radiation (category 1). Although randomized trials evaluating the effectiveness of total mastectomy in DCIS have not been performed, mastectomy is a highly effective strategy to decrease risk of local recurrence (category 2A). The option of lumpectomy alone should be considered only in cases where the patient and the physician view the individual risks as “low” (category 2B).
According to the NCCN Panel, complete resection should be documented by analysis of margins and specimen radiography. Post-excision mammography should also be performed whenever uncertainty about adequacy of excision remains. Clips are used by some NCCN Member Institutions to demarcate the biopsy area, because DCIS may be clinically occult and further surgery may be required pending the margin status review by pathology.

Women treated with mastectomy are appropriate candidates for breast reconstruction (see Principles of Breast Reconstruction Following Surgery in the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer). Contraindications to breast-conserving therapy with radiation therapy are listed in the algorithm (see Special Considerations to Breast-Conserving Therapy Requiring Radiation in the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer).

**Postsurgical Treatment**

DCIS falls between atypical ductal hyperplasia and invasive ductal carcinoma within the spectrum of breast proliferative abnormalities. The Breast Cancer Prevention Trial performed by National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project (NSABP) showed a 75% reduction in the occurrence of invasive breast cancer in patients with atypical ductal hyperplasia treated with tamoxifen. These data also showed that tamoxifen led to a substantial reduction in the risk of developing benign breast disease. The Early Breast Cancer Trialists’ Collaborative Group (EBCTCG) overview analysis showed that, with 5 years of tamoxifen therapy, women with ER-positive or receptor-unknown invasive tumors had a 39% reduction in the annual odds of recurrence of invasive breast cancer.

Similarly, the NSABP B-24 trial found a benefit from tamoxifen for women with DCIS after treatment with breast conservation surgery and radiation therapy. In that study, women with DCIS who were treated with breast-conserving therapy were randomized to receive placebo or tamoxifen. With 13.6 years median follow-up, the women treated with tamoxifen had a 3.4% absolute reduction in ipsilateral in-breast tumor recurrence risk (hazard ratio [HR], 0.30; 95% CI, 0.21–0.42; \( P < .001 \)) and a 3.2% absolute reduction in contralateral breast cancers (HR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.48–0.95; \( P = .023 \)). The women receiving tamoxifen had a 10-year cumulative rate of breast cancer in the ipsilateral breast of (4.6% invasive and 5.6% noninvasive breast cancer compared with a rate of breast cancer (7.3% invasive and 7.2% noninvasive in the placebo-treated women. The cumulative 10-year frequency of invasive and noninvasive breast cancer in the contralateral breast was 6.9% and 4.7% in the placebo and tamoxifen groups, respectively. No differences in overall survival (OS) were noted. A retrospective analysis of ER expression in NSABP B-24 suggests that increased levels of ER expression predict for tamoxifen benefit in terms of reduction of risk for the development of both ipsilateral and contralateral breast cancer following breast-conserving therapy.

A phase III trial for women with excised DCIS randomized subjects in a 2 x 2 fashion to tamoxifen or not and whole breast radiation therapy or not. With 12.7 years of median follow-up, the use of tamoxifen decreased all new breast events (HR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.58–0.88; \( P = .002 \)). The use of tamoxifen decreased ipsilateral and contralateral breast events in the subjects not given whole breast radiotherapy (ipsilateral HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.59–0.98; contralateral HR 0.27; 95% CI 0.12–0.59), but not in those receiving whole breast radiotherapy (ipsilateral HR, 0.93; 95% CI 0.50–1.75; \( P = .8 \); contralateral HR 0.99; 95% CI, 0.39–2.49; \( P = 1.0 \)).

**NCCN Recommendations**

According to the NCCN Panel, tamoxifen treatment may be considered as a strategy to reduce the risk of ipsilateral breast cancer recurrence in
women with ER-positive DCIS treated with breast-conserving therapy (category 1 for those undergoing breast-conserving surgery followed by radiation therapy; category 2A for those undergoing excision alone). The benefit of tamoxifen for ER-negative DCIS is not known.

Strategies for reducing the risk of recurrence to the contralateral breast are described in the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction.

**Surveillance**

According to the NCCN Panel, follow-up of women with DCIS includes interval history and physical examination every 6 to 12 months for 5 years and then annually, as well as yearly diagnostic mammography. In patients treated with breast-conserving therapy, the first follow-up mammogram should be performed 6 to 12 months after the completion of breast-conserving radiation therapy (category 2B). Patients receiving risk reduction agents should be monitored as described in the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction.

The majority of recurrences of DCIS are in-breast recurrences after breast-conserving therapy, and recurrences mostly occur close to the site of prior disease. In those women for whom the initial DCIS was treated with excision alone, the treatment for a recurrence of DCIS is similar to that followed previously. In women whom the initial DCIS was treated with breast-conserving surgery plus radiation therapy, mastectomy is usually necessary to treat a recurrence of DCIS. Local recurrences after mastectomy for DCIS should be treated with wide local excision with consideration for chest wall irradiation.

Overall, approximately half of the local recurrences after initial treatment for a pure DCIS are again DCIS, and the others are invasive cancer.

Those with local recurrences that are invasive should receive systemic treatment as appropriate for a newly diagnosed invasive breast cancer.

**Invasive Breast Cancer**

**Stage I, IIA, IIB, or T3N1M0 Invasive Breast Cancer**

**Staging and Workup**

The recommended workup and staging of invasive breast cancer includes: history and physical exam; a CBC count; liver function tests; bilateral diagnostic mammography; breast ultrasonography, if necessary; tumor ER and PR determinations; HER2 tumor status determination; and pathology review. Genetic counseling is recommended if the patient is considered to be at high risk for hereditary breast cancer as defined by the NCCN Guidelines for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian.

Use of MRI to evaluate women considering breast-conserving therapy is optional. If MRI imaging of the breast is performed, it should be done with a dedicated breast coil, with consultation with the multidisciplinary treatment team, and by a breast imaging team capable of performing MRI-guided biopsy. The limitations of breast MRI include a high percentage of false-positive findings. MRI imaging of the breast, therefore, should generally be considered in the staging of breast cancer for patients whose breasts cannot be imaged adequately with mammography and ultrasound (eg, women with very dense breast tissue; women with positive axillary nodal status and occult primary tumor presumed to originate in the breast; to evaluate the chest wall). No randomized, prospective assessment of the utility of MRI in staging or treatment decision making in breast cancer treatment is available. One retrospective study suggested an outcome benefit whereas another did not. One systematic review documented breast MRI staging to alter surgical treatment in 7.8% to 33.3% of women.
However, no differences in outcome, if any, can be demonstrated in that analysis. Patients should not be denied the option of breast conservation therapy based upon MRI findings alone without tissue sampling.

**Fertility**
Numerous epidemiologic studies have demonstrated that child-bearing after treatment for invasive breast cancer does not increase rates of recurrence or death from breast cancer. The offspring of pregnancies after treatment for breast cancer do not have an increased rate of birth defects or other serious childhood illness. However, treatment for breast cancer, especially with cytotoxic agents, may impair fertility. Therefore, it is reasonable and appropriate to consider fertility preservation prior to breast cancer treatment in young women who desire to bear children following breast cancer therapy. No high-level evidence demonstrates that ovarian suppression or other interventions decrease the toxicity of cytotoxic chemotherapy on the premenopausal ovary. However, many women, especially those younger than age 35, regain menstrual function within 2 years of completing chemotherapy. Resumption of menses does not necessarily correlate with fertility, and fertility may be preserved without menses.

All premenopausal patients should be informed about the potential impact of chemotherapy on fertility and asked about their desire for potential future pregnancies. Should a newly diagnosed premenopausal woman with breast cancer desire to bear children after breast cancer treatment, she should receive consultation with a physician with expertise in fertility prior to the initiation of chemotherapy. Multiple factors to consider in making a decision for fertility preservation include patient preference, the age of the woman, risk of premature ovarian failure based upon anticipated chemotherapy, and length of optimal endocrine therapy. It is important for fetal safety that women do not become pregnant during breast cancer treatment.

**Additional Workup for Stage I-IIB Disease**
For patients with stage I-IIB disease, additional tests may be considered based on the signs and symptoms. A bone scan is indicated for patients presenting with localized bone pain or elevated alkaline phosphatase. If pulmonary symptoms are present, chest diagnostic CT is indicated. Abdominal imaging using diagnostic CT or MRI is indicated if the patient has elevated alkaline phosphatase, abnormal results on liver function tests, abdominal symptoms, or abnormal physical examination of the abdomen or pelvis. These studies are not indicated in patients with stage I disease without signs/symptoms of metastatic disease, nor are they needed in many other patients with early-stage breast cancer. These recommendations are supported by a study evaluating patients with newly diagnosed breast cancer by bone scan, liver ultrasonography, and chest radiography. Metastases were identified by bone scan in 5.1%, 5.6%, and 14% of patients with stage I, II, and III disease, respectively, and no evidence of metastasis was detected by liver ultrasonography or chest radiography in patients with stage I or II disease.

The NCCN Panel recommends against the use of PET or PET/CT scanning in the staging of these patients. The recommendation against the use of PET scanning is supported by the high false-negative rate in the detection of lesions that are small (<1 cm) and/or low grade, the low sensitivity for detection of axillary nodal metastases, the low prior probability of these patients having detectable metastatic disease, and the high rate of false-positive scans.
Additional Workup for Stage IIIA (T3, N1, M0) Disease
For patients with clinical stage IIIA (T3, N1, M0) disease, additional staging studies including bone scan or sodium fluoride PET scan (category 2B), abdominal imaging using diagnostic CT or MRI, and chest imaging using diagnostic CT should be considered. Ultrasound is an alternative when diagnostic CT or MRI is unavailable.

Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET scan is optional (category 2B). FDG PET scan can be considered at the same time as diagnostic CT. If FDG PET and diagnostic CT are performed and both clearly indicate bone metastases, bone scan or sodium fluoride PET/CT may not be needed. PET/CT scanning is discussed in detail under the section titled Stage III Invasive Breast Cancer.

Local-Regional Treatment
Several randomized trials document that mastectomy with ALN dissection is equivalent to breast-conserving therapy with lumpectomy, axillary dissection, and whole breast irradiation, as primary breast treatment for the majority of women with stage I and stage II breast cancers (category 1).

The Panel recommends whole breast irradiation to include the majority of the breast tissue; breast irradiation should be performed following CT-based treatment planning to limit irradiation exposure of the heart and lungs, and to assure adequate coverage of the primary tumor and surgical site. Tissue wedging, forward planning with segments (step and shoot), or intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) is recommended. Dose/fraction schedules of either 50 Gy in 25 fractions over 35 days or 42.5 Gy in 16 fractions over 22 days have been prospectively evaluated and are comparable with respect to disease-free survival and OS in a study of women with node-negative early-stage breast cancer with a median follow-up of 69 months.

Randomized trials have demonstrated a decrease in in-breast recurrences with an additional boost dose of radiation (by photons, brachytherapy, or electron beam) to the tumor bed. The relative reduction in risk of local recurrence with the addition of a boost is similar across age groups (≤40 years – >60 years), while the absolute gain in local control is highest in the younger patients. There is a demonstrated benefit favoring a boost in patients with positive axillary nodes, lymphovascular invasion, or close margins. (See Principles of Radiation Therapy in the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer). For example, a subset analysis from an EORTC trial including only those patients (1724 patients out of 5318 total) for whom central pathology review of tumor margins was available demonstrated that the 10-year relapse rate was significantly lower when women with positive tumor margins received a boost (4% vs. 13%; P = .0001). However, a boost did not significantly lower the relapse rate in the group with negative margins. Hence, the Panel recommends consideration of a boost to the tumor bed after lumpectomy and whole breast irradiation. Administration of whole breast irradiation therapy with or without a boost to the tumor bed following lumpectomy is a category 1 recommendation for patients with node-positive disease and is recommended in patients with node-negative disease at high risk (age <50 and high-grade disease) (category 2A). The guideline includes a recommendation for regional lymph node irradiation in patients treated with breast-conserving surgery in situations analogous to those recommended for patients treated with post-mastectomy irradiation (see Principles of Radiation in the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer). Radiation therapy to the infraclavicular region and supraclavicular area is recommended for patients with 4 or more positive lymph nodes (category 2A) and should be strongly considered in those with 1 to 3 positive lymph nodes (category 2B). In addition, consideration should be given to irradiation of the internal mammary nodes (category 2B). Support for this
recommendation comes from the NCIC-CTG MA.20 trial that randomized women undergoing breast-conserving therapy with lumpectomy and whole breast irradiation to receive regional lymph node irradiation or not. With a median follow-up of 62 months, the addition of radiation therapy reduced locoregional recurrences (HR 0.59; \( P = .02 \)) and increased disease-free survival (HR 0.68; \( P = .003 \)), and there was a trend towards improved OS (HR 0.76; \( P = .07 \)).

The use of breast-conserving therapy is contraindicated for patients who have received previous moderate- or high-dose radiation to the breast or chest wall; are pregnant and would require radiation during pregnancy; have diffuse suspicious or malignant-appearing microcalcifications on mammography; have widespread disease that cannot be incorporated by local excision through a single incision with a satisfactory cosmetic result; or have positive pathologic margins. Patients with a pathologically positive margin should generally undergo re-excision(s) to achieve a negative pathologic margin. If the margins remain positive after re-excision(s), then mastectomy may be required for optimal local disease control. In order to adequately assess margins following lumpectomy, the Panel recommends that the surgical specimens be oriented and that the pathologist provide descriptions of the gross and microscopic margin status and the distance, orientation, and type of tumor (invasive or DCIS) in relation to the closest margin.

Relative contraindications to breast-conserving therapy include active connective tissue disease involving the skin (especially scleroderma and lupus), tumors greater than 5 cm (category 2B), and focally positive pathologic margins. Those patients with focally positive pathologic margins who do not undergo re-excision should be considered for a higher radiation boost dose to the tumor bed.

Several studies of women with early-stage breast cancer treated with breast-conserving therapy have identified young age as a significant predictor of an increased likelihood of IBTR after breast-conserving surgery. Risk factors, such as a family history of breast cancer or a genetic predisposition for breast cancer (eg, BRCA 1/2 or other mutation), are more likely to exist in the population of young women with breast cancer, thereby confounding the independent contributions of age and treatment to clinical outcome. Survival outcomes for young women with breast cancer receiving either breast-conserving therapy or mastectomy are similar.

Several studies have been reported using accelerated partial breast irradiation (APBI) rather than whole breast irradiation following complete surgical excision of in-breast disease. The Panel generally views the use of APBI as investigational, and encourages its use within the confines of a high-quality, prospective clinical trial. For patients who are not trial eligible, recommendations from the American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) indicate that APBI may be suitable in selected patients with early-stage breast cancer and may be comparable to treatment with standard whole-breast RT. Patients who may be suitable for APBI are women 60 years of age and older who are not carriers of a known BRCA1/2 mutation and have been treated with primary surgery for a unifocal stage I, ER-positive cancer. Tumors should be infiltrating ductal or have a favorable histology, should not be associated with an extensive intraductal component or LCIS, and should have negative margins. Thirty-four Gy in 10 fractions delivered twice per day with brachytherapy or 38.5 Gy in 10 fractions delivered twice per day with external beam photon therapy to the tumor bed is recommended. Other fractionation schemes are under investigation.
Studies have suggested that the ASTRO stratification guidelines may not adequately predict IBTR following APBI.\textsuperscript{112,113} Follow-up is limited, and studies are ongoing.

Only limited data are available on the survival impact of mastectomy contralateral to a unilateral breast cancer.\textsuperscript{114} Analysis of women included in the SEER database treated with mastectomy for a unilateral breast cancer from 1998 - 2002 showed that contralateral mastectomy performed at the time of treatment of a unilateral cancer was associated with a reduction in breast cancer-specific mortality only in the population of young women (18–49 years of age) with stage I/II, ER-negative breast cancer (HR 0.64; 95% CI, 0.44–0.94; \(P = .025\)).\textsuperscript{115} The Panel recommends that women with breast cancer who are \(\leq\) 35 years or premenopausal and carriers of a known \textit{BRCA} 1/2 mutation consider additional risk reduction strategies following appropriate risk assessment and counseling (see \textit{NCCN Guidelines for Breast Risk Reduction} and \textit{NCCN Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment Guidelines: Breast and Ovarian}). This process should involve multidisciplinary consultations prior to surgery, and include a discussion of the risks associated with development of a contralateral breast cancer as compared with the risks associated with recurrent disease from the primary cancer. Except as specifically outlined in these guidelines, prophylactic mastectomy of a breast contralateral to a known unilateral breast cancer treated with mastectomy is discouraged by the Panel. The use of a prophylactic mastectomy contralateral to a breast treated with breast-conserving surgery is very strongly discouraged in all patients.

Whole breast irradiation as a component of breast-conserving therapy is not always necessary in selected women 70 years of age or older. In a study of women with clinical stage I, ER-positive breast cancer who were \(\geq\) 70 years of age at diagnosis, patients were randomized to receive lumpectomy with whole breast radiation or lumpectomy alone, both with tamoxifen for five years. Local-regional recurrence rates were 1\% in the lumpectomy, radiation, and tamoxifen arm, and 4\% in the lumpectomy plus tamoxifen arm. There were no differences in OS, disease-free survival, or need for mastectomy.\textsuperscript{116} These results were confirmed in an updated analysis of this study with a median follow-up of 10.5 years.\textsuperscript{117} Similar results were obtained in another study of similar design.\textsuperscript{118} The NCCN Guidelines allow for the use of breast-conserving surgery (pathologically negative margin required) plus tamoxifen or an aromatase inhibitor without breast irradiation in women \(\geq\) 70 years of age with clinically negative lymph nodes and ER-positive, T1 breast cancer (category 1).

If adjuvant chemotherapy is indicated following breast-conserving surgery, radiation should be given after chemotherapy is completed.\textsuperscript{119,120} This recommendation is based on results of the “Upfront-Outback” trial in which patients who had undergone breast-conserving surgery and axillary dissection were randomly assigned to receive chemotherapy following radiation therapy or radiation therapy following chemotherapy. The initial results showed an increased rate of local recurrence in the group with delayed radiotherapy at a median follow-up of 58 months;\textsuperscript{120} however, differences in rates of distant or local recurrence were not statistically significant when the two arms were compared at 135-month follow-up.\textsuperscript{119}

The NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer include a section for surgical staging of the axilla for stages I, IIA, IIB, and IIIA T3, N1, M0 breast cancer. Pathologic confirmation of malignancy using ultrasound-guided FNA or core biopsy must be considered in patients with clinically positive nodes to determine whether axillary lymph node dissection is needed.
Performance of SLN mapping and resection in the surgical staging of the clinically negative axilla is recommended by the Panel for assessment of the pathologic status of the ALNs in patients with clinical stage I or stage II breast cancer.\textsuperscript{66,121-129} This recommendation is supported by results of randomized clinical trials showing decreased arm and shoulder morbidity (eg, pain, lymphedema, sensory loss) in patients with breast cancer undergoing SLN biopsy compared with patients undergoing standard ALN dissection.\textsuperscript{129,130} No significant differences in the effectiveness of the SLN procedure or level I and II dissection in determining the presence or absence of metastases in axillary nodes were seen in these studies. However, not all women are candidates for SLN resection. An experienced SLN team is mandatory for the use of SLN mapping and excision.\textsuperscript{131,132} Women who have clinical stage I or II disease and do not have immediate access to an experienced SLN team should be referred to an experienced SLN team for the definitive surgical treatment of the breast and surgical ALN staging. In addition, potential candidates for SLN mapping and excision should have clinically negative ALNs, or a negative core or fine-needle aspiration (FNA) biopsy of any clinically suspicious ALN(s). In many institutions, SLNs are assessed for the presence of metastases by both hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and cytokeratin IHC. The clinical significance of a lymph node that is negative by H&E staining but positive by cytokeratin IHC is not clear. Because the historical and clinical trial data on which treatment decisions are based have relied on H&E staining, the Panel does not recommend routine cytokeratin IHC to define node involvement and believes that current treatment decisions should be made based solely on H&E staining. This recommendation is further supported by a recently reported randomized clinical trial for patients with H&E negative nodes where further examination by cytokeratin IHC did not lead to significantly improved OS at 5 years.\textsuperscript{133} In the uncommon situation in which H&E staining is equivocal, reliance on the results of cytokeratin IHC is appropriate. Multiple attempts have been made to identify cohorts of women with involved SLNs who have a low enough risk for non-SLN involvement that complete axillary dissection might be avoided if the SLN is positive. Unfortunately, none of them can identify a low enough risk group of patients with positive SLN biopsies to eliminate the need for ALN dissection.\textsuperscript{134-140} A randomized trial (ACOSOG Z0011) compared SLN resection alone with ALN dissection in women ≥18 years of age with T1/T2 tumors, fewer than 3 positive SLNs, and undergoing breast-conserving surgery and whole breast irradiation. In this study, there was no difference in local recurrence, disease-free survival, or OS between the two treatment groups. Only ER-negative status, age <50, and lack of adjuvant systemic therapy were associated with decreased OS.\textsuperscript{141} At a median follow-up of 6.3 years, locoregional recurrences were noted in 4.1% of the ALN dissection group (n = 420) and 2.8% of the SLN dissection patients (n = 436) (P = .11). Median OS was approximately 92% in each group.\textsuperscript{142} Therefore, based on these results, following SLN mapping and excision, if a patient has a T1 or T2 tumor, has 1 to 2 positive SLNs, was not treated with neoadjuvant therapy, is undergoing breast conservation therapy, and whole breast radiation is planned, the Panel recommends considering no further axillary surgery.

Level I or II axillary dissection is the recommended staging study in women with stage III breast cancer. In addition, ALN dissection remains indicated in women with more than 2 ALNs involved with breast cancer on SLN excision. Traditional level I and level II ALN requires that at least 10 lymph nodes should be provided for pathologic evaluation to accurately stage the axilla.\textsuperscript{143,144} ALN should be extended to include level III nodes only if gross disease is apparent in the level II nodes.

Furthermore, without definitive data demonstrating superior survival with ALN dissection or SLN resection, these procedures may be considered
optional in patients who have particularly favorable tumors, patients for whom the selection of adjuvant systemic therapy will not be affected by the results of the procedure, elderly patients, and patients with serious comorbid conditions. Women who do not undergo ALN dissection or ALN irradiation are at increased risk for ipsilateral lymph node recurrence. Women who undergo mastectomy are appropriate candidates for breast reconstruction. Breast reconstruction following mastectomy is discussed further under the section titled Breast Reconstruction.

Preoperative Chemotherapy for Large Tumors
(Clinical stage IIA and IIB tumors and T3N1M0)

Preoperative chemotherapy should be considered for women with large clinical stage IIA, stage IIB, and T3N1M0 tumors who meet the criteria for breast-conserving therapy except for tumor size and who wish to undergo breast-conserving therapy. In the available clinical trials of preoperative chemotherapy, pretreatment biopsies have been limited to core needle biopsy or FNA cytology. Therefore, according to the NCCN Panel, in patients anticipated to receive preoperative chemotherapy, core biopsy of the breast tumor and placement of image-detectable marker(s) should be considered to demarcate the tumor bed for any future (post-chemotherapy) surgical management.

For patients with clinically negative ALNs, SLN biopsy can be considered. For those with clinically suspicious ALNs, the Panel recommends consideration of either a core biopsy or FNA of these nodes, along with a sentinel node biopsy if FNA or core biopsy results are negative. Preoperative chemotherapy is not indicated unless invasive breast cancer is confirmed.

Before administering preoperative chemotherapy, in women with clinically negative ipsilateral axillary nodes, the current guidelines list SLN resection as the preferred option for surgical axillary staging. If the SLN is histologically negative, omission of the axillary dissection may be considered at the time of local surgical therapy. If the SLN is histologically positive, then level I and II axillary dissection should be performed at the time of definitive surgical therapy. If a pre-chemotherapy SLN excision is not performed, then a level I and II axillary dissection (or SLN excision with level I and II axillary dissection if SLN is positive) should be performed at the time of definitive surgical therapy. The false-negative rate of SLN biopsy in either the pre- or post-chemotherapy settings is low. Nevertheless, the possibility remains that a pathologic complete response following chemotherapy may occur in lymph node metastases previously undetected by clinical exam. Therefore, the Panel generally recommends a pre-chemotherapy SLN excision because it provides additional information to guide local and systemic treatment decisions. In the event that SLN resection is performed after administration of preoperative chemotherapy, both the pre-chemotherapy clinical and the post-chemotherapy pathologic nodal stages must be used to determine the risk of local recurrence. Close communication between members of the multidisciplinary team, including the pathologist, is particularly important when any treatment strategy involving preoperative chemotherapy is planned.

In some patients, preoperative chemotherapy results in sufficient tumor response that makes breast-conserving therapy possible. Because complete or near-complete clinical responses are common, the use of percutaneously placed clips into the breast under mammographic or ultrasound guidance or other method of localizing pre-chemotherapy tumor volume aids in the post-chemotherapy resection of the original area of tumor and is encouraged. The results of the NSABP B-18 trial show that breast conservation rates are higher after preoperative chemotherapy. However, preoperative chemotherapy has no
demonstrated disease-specific survival advantage over postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with stage II tumors. NSABP B-27 is a three-arm, randomized, phase III trial of women with invasive breast cancer treated with preoperative chemotherapy with AC (doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide) for 4 cycles followed by local therapy alone, preoperative AC followed by preoperative docetaxel for 4 cycles followed by local therapy, or AC followed by local therapy followed by 4 cycles of postoperative docetaxel. Results from this study, which involved 2411 women, documented a higher rate of complete pathologic response at the time of local therapy in patients treated preoperatively with 4 cycles of AC followed by 4 cycles of docetaxel versus 4 cycles of preoperative AC. Disease-free survival and OS have not been shown to be superior with the addition of docetaxel treatment in B-27. A disease-free survival advantage was observed (HR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.55–0.91; *P* = .007) favoring preoperative versus postoperative docetaxel in the subset of patients experiencing a clinical partial response to AC.

Several chemotherapy regimens have been studied as preoperative chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant setting. The Panel believes that the regimens recommended in the adjuvant setting are appropriate to consider in the preoperative chemotherapy setting. The benefits of “tailoring” preoperative chemotherapy (ie, switching following limited response) or using preoperative chemotherapy to evaluate disease responsiveness have not been well studied.

In women with HER2-positive tumors treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy, the addition of neoadjuvant trastuzumab to paclitaxel followed by chemotherapy with FEC (cyclophosphamide/epirubicin/fluorouracil) was associated with an increase in the pathologic complete response rate from 26% to 65.2% (*P* = .016). Thus, the incorporation of trastuzumab into neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimens appears important in HER2-positive tumors.

The GEPARQUINTO phase III trial led by the German Breast Group studied 620 women with untreated, HER2-positive, primary invasive breast cancer. Patients were randomized to receive 4 cycles of epirubicin/cyclophosphamide followed by docetaxel administered concurrently with either trastuzumab or lapatinib. The primary endpoint, pathologic complete response, was achieved in 30.3% of patients who received trastuzumab plus chemotherapy compared with 22.7% of patients who received lapatinib plus chemotherapy (odds ratio 0.68 [95% CI, 0.47–0.97]; *P* < .04). Edema and dyspnea occurred more frequently in the trastuzumab group, while diarrhea and skin rash occurred more frequently in the lapatinib group. The NeoALTTO trial randomized 455 patients with HER2-positive primary breast cancer to receive lapatinib plus paclitaxel or trastuzumab plus paclitaxel or a combination of lapatinib and trastuzumab plus paclitaxel. The results showed that the pathologic complete response rate was 51.3% (95% CI 43.1–59.5) in the lapatinib plus trastuzumab combination arm compared to a rate of 24.7% (CI 18.1–32.3) for the lapatinib arm and 29.5% (CI 22.4–37.5) for the trastuzumab arm. The difference in pathologic complete response rate between the lapatinib plus trastuzumab arm compared to the trastuzumab arm was statistically significant (difference 21.1%, 9.1–34.2, *P* = .0001). The pathologic complete response rate difference between the lapatinib and trastuzumab arms was not statistically significant (difference 4.8%, -17.6–8.2; *P* = .34). Grade 3/4 liver enzyme abnormalities occurred more frequently with trastuzumab plus lapatinib or lapatinib alone compared to trastuzumab alone. These studies thus confirm that in the preoperative treatment of HER2-positive primary breast cancer, the use of HER2-targeted
therapy is important. There remains significant uncertainty regarding the optimal regimen of HER2-targeting.

Several randomized trials have assessed the value of neoadjuvant endocrine therapy in postmenopausal women with ER-positive breast cancer. These studies have generally compared the rates of objective response and rates of breast-conserving surgery among treatment with tamoxifen, anastrozole, anastrozole plus tamoxifen, or letrozole. These studies consistently demonstrate that the use of either anastrozole or letrozole alone provides superior rates of breast-conserving surgery and usually objective response when compared with tamoxifen.\(^\text{156,157}\) Based on these trials, if preoperative endocrine therapy is to be utilized, an aromatase inhibitor is preferred in the treatment of postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive disease.

Local therapy following a complete or partial response to preoperative chemotherapy is usually lumpectomy if possible along with surgical axillary staging. If lumpectomy is not possible or progressive disease is confirmed, mastectomy is performed along with surgical axillary staging with or without breast reconstruction. Surgical axillary staging may include SLN biopsy or level I/II dissection. If SLN biopsy was performed before administering preoperative chemotherapy and the findings were negative, then further ALN staging is not necessary. If a SLN procedure was performed before administering preoperative chemotherapy and the findings were positive, then a level I/II ALN dissection should be performed.

If after several cycles of preoperative chemotherapy the tumor fails to respond, the response is minimal, or the disease progresses at any point, an alternative chemotherapy should be considered followed by local therapy, usually a mastectomy plus axillary dissection, with or without breast reconstruction.

Postsurgical adjuvant treatment for these patients consists of completion of planned chemotherapy if not completed preoperatively followed by endocrine therapy (category 1) in women with ER- and/or PR-positive tumors. Up to one year of trastuzumab therapy should be completed if tumor is HER2-positive (category 1). Radiation therapy is recommended based on prechemotherapy characteristics to the chest wall and supraclavicular lymph nodes (see Principles of Radiation Therapy in the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer and the section below on Radiation after Mastectomy). The NCCN Panel recommends strongly considering the inclusion of the internal mammary lymph nodes in the radiation therapy field (category 2B). Endocrine therapy and trastuzumab can be administered concurrently with radiation therapy if indicated.

**Radiation Therapy after Mastectomy**

**Node-Positive Disease**

Three randomized clinical trials have shown that a disease-free and OS advantage is conferred by the addition of chest wall and regional lymph node irradiation in women with positive ALNs after mastectomy and ALN dissection.\(^\text{158-162}\) In these trials, the ipsilateral chest wall and the ipsilateral local-regional lymph nodes were irradiated. Based on these studies, the current guidelines recommend postmastectomy irradiation in women with 4 or more positive ALNs and strong consideration of postmastectomy irradiation in women with 1 to 3 positive ALNs. Two retrospective analyses have provided evidence for benefit of radiation therapy for only selected patients receiving preoperative chemotherapy prior to mastectomy.\(^\text{163,164}\)

However, the Panel recommends that decisions related to administration of radiation therapy for patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy should be made based on pre-chemotherapy tumor characteristics, irrespective of tumor response to preoperative
chemotherapy (ie, radiation therapy is recommended in patients with clinical stage III disease and a pathologic complete response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy).

Women with 4 or more positive ALNs are at substantially increased risk for locoregional recurrence of disease. The use of prophylactic chest wall irradiation in this setting substantially reduces the risk of local recurrence.\(^95\) The use of postmastectomy, post-chemotherapy chest wall irradiation, and regional lymph node irradiation is recommended (category 1).

The recommendation for strong consideration of chest wall and supraclavicular irradiation in women with 1 to 3 involved ALNs generated substantial controversy among Panel members. The use of regional nodal irradiation is supported by a subgroup analysis of studies from the Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group.\(^165\) In this analysis, a substantial survival benefit was associated with postmastectomy radiation therapy for women with 1 to 3 positive ALNs. Some Panel members believe chest wall and supraclavicular irradiation should be used routinely after mastectomy and chemotherapy in this subgroup of patients. However, other Panel members believe radiation should be considered in this setting but should not be mandatory, since studies do not show an advantage. This is an unusual situation in which high-level evidence exists but is contradictory.\(^95,160-162,165\) Women with 1 to 3 involved ALNs and tumors >5 cm or tumors with pathologic margins postmastectomy should receive radiation therapy to the chest wall and supraclavicular area.

The Panel also recommends strong consideration of ipsilateral internal mammary field radiation therapy in women with positive ALNs (category 2B).

Results from the randomized NCIC-CTG MA.20 trial demonstrate that additional regional node irradiation reduces the risk of locoregional and distant recurrence and improves disease-free survival.\(^103\) The study enrolled 1832 women; most (85%) had 1 to 3 positive lymph nodes, and a smaller proportion (10%) had high-risk, node-negative breast cancer. All women had been treated with breast-conserving surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy or endocrine therapy. The participants were randomized to receive either whole breast radiation therapy alone or whole breast radiation plus regional node radiation therapy. The interim data found that after a median follow-up of 62 months, there were statistically significant benefits for the group receiving the added regional node radiation therapy. These included improvement in disease-free survival (HR=.68; \(P=.003\), 5-year risk: 89.7% and 84.0%) and OS (HR=.76; \(P=.07\), 5-year risk: 92.3% and 90.7%).\(^103\) The consensus of the Panel is that radiation therapy should be given to clinically or pathologically positive ipsilateral internal mammary lymph nodes, with a strong consideration of treatment of the internal mammary lymph nodes.

Postmastectomy irradiation should be performed using CT-based treatment planning to assure reduced radiation dose to the heart and lungs. The recommended radiation is 50 Gy in fractions of 1.8 to 2.0 Gy to the ipsilateral chest wall, mastectomy scar, and drain sites. An additional boost dose of radiation to the mastectomy scar can be delivered (eg, 2 Gy fractionated in 5 doses, typically with electrons). Radiation dose to regional lymph nodes is 50 Gy given using 1.8 to 2.0 Gy fraction size.

**Node Negative Disease**

Features in node-negative tumors that predict a high rate of local recurrence include primary tumors greater than 5 cm and close (less than 1 mm) or positive pathologic margins. Chest wall irradiation is
recommended for these patients.\textsuperscript{166} Consideration should be given to radiation to the ipsilateral supraclavicular area and to the ipsilateral internal mammary lymph nodes (category 2B), especially in patients with inadequate axillary evaluation or extensive lymphovascular invasion. Postmastectomy radiation therapy is not recommended for patients with negative margins, tumors 5 cm or smaller, and no positive ALNs.

The Panel recommends that decisions related to administration of radiation therapy for patients receiving preoperative chemotherapy should be made based on preoperative chemotherapy tumor characteristics irrespective of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

Breast Reconstruction

Breast Reconstruction Following Mastectomy

Mastectomy results in loss of the breast for breast feeding, loss of sensation in the skin of the breast and nipple areolar complex (NAC), and loss of the breast for cosmetic, body image, and psychosocial purposes. The loss of the breast for cosmetic, body image, and psychosocial issues may be partially overcome through the performance of breast reconstruction with or without reconstruction of the NAC. Reconstruction can be performed either immediately following mastectomy and under the same anesthetic or in a delayed fashion following mastectomy.

Many factors must be considered in the decision-making about breast reconstruction following mastectomy. There are several different types of breast reconstruction that include the use of implants, autogenous tissues, or both.\textsuperscript{167} Reconstruction with implants can be performed either by immediate placement of a permanent subpectoral implant or initial placement of a subpectoral expander implant followed by gradual expansion of the implant envelope with stretching of the pectoralis major muscle and overlying skin followed by replacement of the expander with a permanent implant. A wide variety of implants are available that contain saline, silicone gel, or a combination of saline and silicone gel inside a solid silicone envelope. Autogenous tissue methods of reconstruction use various combinations of fat, muscle, skin and vasculature from donor sites (e.g., abdomen, buttock, back) that may be brought to the chest wall with their original blood supply (pedicle flap) or as free flaps with microvascular anastomoses to blood supply from the chest wall/thorax. Several procedures using autologous tissue are available including transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap, latissimus dorsi flap, and gluteus maximus myocutaneous flap reconstruction. Composite reconstruction techniques use implants in combination with autogenous tissue reconstruction to provide volume and symmetry. Patients with underlying diabetes or who smoke tobacco have increased rates of complications following autogenous tissue breast cancer reconstruction, presumably because of underlying microvascular disease.

“Skin-sparing” mastectomy procedures are appropriate for some patients and involve removal of the breast parenchyma including the NAC while preserving the majority of the original skin envelope and are followed by immediate reconstruction with autogenous tissue, a prosthetic implant, or a composite of autogenous tissue and an implant. Advantages of a skin-sparing procedure include an improved cosmetic outcome resulting in a reduction in the size of the mastectomy scar and a more natural breast shape, especially when autologous tissue is used in reconstruction,\textsuperscript{168} and the ability to perform immediate reconstruction. Although no randomized studies have been performed, results of several mostly retrospective studies have indicated that the risk of local recurrence is not increased when patients receiving skin-sparing mastectomies are compared with those undergoing nonskin-sparing mastectomies.
procedures; however, strong selection biases almost certainly exist in the identification of patients appropriate for skin-sparing procedures.\textsuperscript{169} Reconstruction of the NAC may also be performed in a delayed fashion if desired by the patient. Reconstructed nipples are devoid of sensation.

Plans for post-mastectomy radiation therapy can impact decisions related to breast reconstruction since there is a significantly increased risk of implant capsular contracture following irradiation of an implant. Furthermore, postmastectomy irradiation may have a negative impact on breast cosmesis when autologous tissue is used in immediate breast reconstruction, and may interfere with the targeted delivery of radiation when immediate reconstruction is performed using either autologous tissue or breast implants.\textsuperscript{174,175} Some studies, however, have not found a significant compromise in reconstruction cosmesis following irradiation.\textsuperscript{176} Although the Panel generally recommends delayed reconstruction for patients who will undergo postmastectomy radiation therapy, the preferred approach to breast reconstruction for these patients was a subject of controversy among the Panel. Several reconstructive approaches are summarized for these patients in the \textbf{NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer} under \textit{Principles of Breast Reconstruction Following Surgery}.

The decision regarding type of reconstruction includes patient preference, body habitus, smoking history, comorbidities, plans for irradiation, and expertise and experience of the reconstruction team. Reconstruction is an optional procedure that does not impact the probability of recurrence or death, but it is associated with an improved quality of life for many patients. It is sometimes necessary to perform surgery on the contralateral breast (eg, breast reduction, implantation) to achieve optimal symmetry between the ipsilateral reconstructed breast and the contralateral breast.

Skin-sparing mastectomy involving preservation of the skin of the NAC has become the subject of increased attention. Possible advantages of this procedure include improvements in breast cosmesis, body image, and nipple sensation following mastectomy, although the impact of this procedure on these quality-of-life issues has not been well studied.\textsuperscript{177-179} There are limited data from surgical series with short follow-up that suggest that performance of NAC-sparing mastectomy in selected patients is associated with low rates of both occult involvement of the NAC with breast cancer and local recurrence of disease.\textsuperscript{178,180,181} Nevertheless, the Panel recommends that mastectomy in the setting of breast cancer involve removal of the NAC since long-term follow-up is not available and selection criteria for appropriate candidates have not been defined. Several prospective trials are underway to evaluate NAC-sparing mastectomy in the setting of cancer, and enrollment in such trials is encouraged.

As breast reconstruction does not impact disease recurrence or survival, the expectations and desires of the patient are paramount in the decision making process. When breast reconstruction following mastectomy is planned, close prospective evaluation and collaboration between members of the breast cancer treatment team is essential, including the oncologic and reconstructive surgeons, other members of the multidisciplinary breast cancer team, and the patient.

\textbf{Breast Reconstruction Following Breast Conserving Surgery}

Issues related to breast reconstruction also pertain to women who undergo or have undergone a lumpectomy, particularly in situations where the surgical defect is large and/or expected to be cosmetically unsatisfactory. The evolving field of oncoplastic surgery includes the use of “volume displacement” techniques performed in conjunction with a large partial mastectomy.\textsuperscript{182} Oncoplastic volume displacement procedures combine the removal of generous regions of breast tissue...
Breast Cancer (typically designed to conform to the segmentally distributed cancer in the breast) with “mastopexy” techniques in which remaining breast tissues are shifted together within the breast envelope to fill the resulting surgical defect and thereby avoid the creation of significant breast deformity. Volume displacement techniques are generally performed during the same operative setting as the breast-conserving lumpectomy by the same surgeon who is performing the cancer resection.183,184

Advantages of oncoplastic volume displacement techniques are that they permit the removal of larger regions of breast tissue, thereby achieving wider surgical margins around the cancer, and at the same time better preserve the natural shape and appearance of the breast than do standard breast resections.185 Limitations of oncoplastic volume displacement techniques include lack of standardization among centers, performance at only a limited number of sites in the United States, and the possible necessity for subsequent mastectomy if pathologic margins are positive when further breast-conserving attempts are deemed impractical or unrealistic. Nevertheless, the consensus of the Panel is that these issues should be considered prior to surgery for women who are likely to have a surgical defect that is cosmetically unsatisfactory, and that women who undergo lumpectomy and are dissatisfied with the cosmetic outcome after treatment should be offered a consultation with a plastic surgeon to address the repair of resulting breast defects. Finally, it is important to note that the primary focus should be on treatment of the tumor, and such treatment should not be compromised when decisions regarding breast reconstruction are made.

Systemic Adjuvant Therapy
After surgical treatment, adjuvant systemic therapy should be considered. The published results of the EBCTCG overview analyses of adjuvant polychemotherapy and tamoxifen show convincing reductions in the odds of recurrence and of death in all age groups >70 years for polychemotherapy and in all age groups for tamoxifen.7 Thus, for those >70 years of age, the current guidelines recommend adjuvant therapy without regard to patient age (category 1). The decision to use systemic adjuvant therapy requires considering and balancing risk for disease recurrence with local therapy alone, the magnitude of benefit from applying adjuvant therapy, toxicity of the therapy, and comorbidity.186,187 The decision-making process requires a collaboration involving the health care team and the patient. The consensus of the Panel is that there are insufficient data to make definitive chemotherapy recommendations for those >70 years of age. Although AC or CMF (cyclophosphamide/methotrexate/fluorouracil) was superior to capecitabine in a randomized trial of women aged ≥65 years with early-stage breast cancer, enrollment in that study was discontinued early.188 There is also a possibility that AC/CMF is not superior to any chemotherapy in this cohort. Therefore, treatment should be individualized for women in this age group, with consideration given to comorbid conditions.

Estimating Risk of Relapse or Death and Benefits of Systemic Treatment
Several prognostic factors predict for future recurrence or death from breast cancer. The strongest prognostic factors are patient age, comorbidity, tumor size, tumor grade, number of involved ALNs, and possibly HER2 tumor status. Algorithms have been published estimating rates of recurrence,186 and a validated computer-based model (Adjuvant! Online; www.adjuvantonline.com) is available to estimate 10-year disease-free and OS that incorporates all of the above prognostic factors except for HER2 tumor status.187,189 These tools aid the clinician in objectively estimating outcome with local treatment only, and also assist in estimating the absolute benefits expected from.
systemic adjuvant endocrine therapy and chemotherapy. These estimates may be utilized by the clinician and patient in their shared decision-making regarding the toxicities, costs, and benefits of systemic adjuvant therapy.  

A determination of the HER2 status of the tumor is recommended for prognostic purposes for patients with node-negative breast cancer. More importantly, HER2 tumor status also provides predictive information used in selecting optimal adjuvant/neoadjuvant therapy and in the selection of therapy for recurrent or metastatic disease (category 1). For example, retrospective analyses have demonstrated that anthracycline-based adjuvant therapy is superior to non-anthracycline-based adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with HER2-positive tumors, and that the dose of doxorubicin may be important in the treatment of tumors that are HER2-positive. Prospective evidence of the predictive utility of HER2 status in early-stage and metastatic breast cancer is available for trastuzumab-containing therapies.

Use of DNA microarray technologies to characterize breast cancer has allowed for development of classification systems of breast cancer by gene expression profile. Five major subtypes of breast cancer have been identified by DNA microarray gene expression profiling: ER-positive/HER2-negative (luminal A and luminal B subtypes); ER-negative/HER2-negative (basal subtype); HER2-positive; and tumors that have characteristics similar to normal breast tissue. In retrospective analyses, these gene expression subtypes are associated with differing relapse-free survival and OS. Another gene-based approach is the 21-gene assay using reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) on RNA isolated from paraffin-embedded breast cancer tissue (Oncotype Dx). On retrospective analysis of two trials (NSABP B-14 and B-20) performed in women with hormone receptor-positive, ALN-negative invasive breast cancer, this assay system was able to quantify risk of recurrence as a continuous variable (eg, Oncotype Dx recurrence score) and to predict responsiveness to both tamoxifen and CMF or methotrexate/5-fluorouracil/leucovorin chemotherapy. A comparison of simultaneous analyses of breast cancer tumors using five different gene-expression models indicated that four of these methods (including MammaPrint and Oncotype Dx) provided similar predictions of clinical outcome.

A similar approach has been used to define more limited sets of genes for prognostic and predictive purposes. For example, the MammaPrint assay uses microarray technology to analyze a 70-gene expression profile from frozen breast tumor tissue as a means of selecting patients with early-stage breast cancer who are more likely to develop distant metastases. MammaPrint is approved by the FDA to assist in assignment of women younger than 61 years with ER-positive or ER-negative breast cancer into a high versus low risk for recurrence, but not for predicting benefit from adjuvant systemic therapy. Studies using MammaPrint as a prognostic and predictive tool are small and/or retrospective in nature. Multiple other multi-gene or multi-gene expression assay systems have been developed. These systems are generally based upon small, retrospective studies, and the Panel believes that none are currently sufficiently validated to warrant inclusion in the guideline. While many of the DNA microarray technologies are able to stratify patients into prognostic and/or predictive subsets on retrospective analysis, the gene subsets differ from study to study, and prospective clinical trials testing the utility of these techniques have yet to be reported. Currently, prospective randomized clinical trials are addressing the use of Oncotype DX and MammaPrint as predictive
and/or prognostic tools in populations of women with early-stage, lymph node-negative breast cancer.\textsuperscript{222-224} Pending the results of the prospective trials, the Panel considers the 21-gene RT-PCR assay as an option when evaluating patients with primary tumors characterized as 0.6 to 1.0 cm with unfavorable features or >1 cm, and node-negative, hormone receptor-positive, and HER2-negative (category 2A). In this circumstance, the recurrence score may be determined to assist in estimating likelihood of recurrence and benefit from chemotherapy. The Panel emphasizes that the recurrence score should be used for decision-making only in the context of other elements of risk stratification for an individual patient. Unplanned, retrospective subset analysis from a single randomized clinical trial in post-menopausal, ALN-positive, ER-positive breast cancer found that the 21-gene RT-PCR assay may provide predictive information for chemotherapy benefit in addition to tamoxifen.\textsuperscript{225} Patients with a high score in the study benefited from chemotherapy, whereas patients with a low score did not appear to benefit from the addition of chemotherapy regardless of the number of positive lymph nodes.\textsuperscript{225} Patient selection for assay use remains controversial.

The additional benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy in addition to endocrine therapy is currently unclear for intermediate-risk patients (as assessed by the gene-based assays). The TAILORx and RxPONDER trials are being conducted to help answer this question. In the TAILORx trial, patients with node-negative, hormone receptor–positive breast cancer classified as being at low risk based on the gene signature or Adjuvant! Online receive endocrine therapy alone, whereas patients deemed to be at high risk based on gene signature profiles or other characteristics receive chemotherapy in addition to endocrine therapy. Those classified with an intermediate risk are randomized to receive chemotherapy or no chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{223} The RxPONDER trial will confirm the SWOG-8814 trial data for women with ER-positive, node-positive disease treated with endocrine therapy with or without chemotherapy based on risk scores.\textsuperscript{222} The findings from these trials will help determine the benefit of treating patients at intermediate risk with adjuvant chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{222,223} The MINDACT trial is underway in Europe to compare the 70-gene signature with the commonly used clinical-pathologic criteria in selecting patients for adjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer with 0 to 3 positive nodes.\textsuperscript{224} The findings from this trial will help determine the prognostic value of MammaPrint and the benefit of treating intermediate-risk patients with adjuvant chemotherapy.

**Axillary Lymph Node-Negative Tumors**

Small tumors (up to 0.5 cm in greatest diameter) that do not involve the lymph nodes are so favorable that adjuvant systemic therapy is of minimal incremental benefit and is not recommended as treatment of the invasive breast cancer. Endocrine therapy may be considered to reduce the risk for a second contralateral breast cancer, especially in those with ER-positive disease. The NSABP database demonstrated a correlation between the ER status of a new contralateral breast tumor and the original primary tumor, which reinforced the notion that endocrine therapy is not an effective strategy to reduce the risk for contralateral breast cancer in patients diagnosed with ER-negative tumors.\textsuperscript{226} Patients with invasive ductal or lobular tumors 0.6 to 1 cm in diameter and no lymph node involvement may be divided into patients with a low risk of recurrence and those with unfavorable prognostic features that warrant consideration of adjuvant therapy. Unfavorable prognostic features include intramammary angiolymphatic invasion, high nuclear grade, high histologic grade, HER2-positive status, or hormone receptor-negative status (category 2B). The use of endocrine therapy and chemotherapy in these relatively lower risk subsets of
women must be based on balancing the expected absolute risk reduction and the individual patient's willingness to experience toxicity to achieve that incremental risk reduction.

Patients with lymph node involvement or with tumors greater than 1 cm in diameter are appropriate candidates for adjuvant systemic therapy (category 1). For women with lymph node-negative, hormone receptor-negative tumors greater than 1 cm in diameter, chemotherapy is recommended (category 1). For those with lymph node-negative, hormone receptor-positive breast cancer tumors greater than 1 cm, endocrine therapy with chemotherapy is recommended (category 1). Incremental benefit of combination chemotherapy in patients with lymph node-negative, hormone receptor-positive breast cancer may be relatively small. Therefore, the Panel recommends that tumor hormone receptor status be included as one of the factors considered when making chemotherapy-related treatment decisions for patients with node-negative, hormone receptor-positive breast cancer. Patients for whom this evaluation may be especially important are those with tumors characterized as 0.6 to 1.0 cm and hormone receptor-positive that are grade 2 or 3 or have unfavorable features, or greater than 1 cm and hormone receptor-positive and HER2-negative. However, chemotherapy should not be withheld from these patients solely based on ER-positive tumor status.

The use of genomic/gene expression array data that also incorporate additional prognostic/predictive biomarkers (eg, Oncotype DX recurrence score) may provide additional prognostic and predictive information beyond anatomic staging and determination of ER/PR and HER2 status. Assessment of the role of the genomic/gene expression array technology is difficult because of the retrospective nature of the studies, the evolution of chemotherapy and hormone therapy regimens, and the overall more favorable prognosis of the patients with lymph node-negative disease compared with those enrolled in the historically controlled clinical trials. Some NCCN Member Institutions consider performing RT-PCR analysis (eg, Oncotype DX assay) to further refine risk stratification for adjuvant chemotherapy for patients with node-negative, ER-positive, HER2-negative breast cancers >0.5 cm, whereas others do not.

**Axillary Lymph Node-Positive Tumors**

Patients with lymph node-positive disease are candidates for chemotherapy and, if the tumor is hormone receptor-positive, for the addition of endocrine therapy (category 1). In postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive disease, an aromatase inhibitor should be utilized either as initial adjuvant therapy, sequential with tamoxifen, or as extended therapy following tamoxifen, unless a contraindication exists or the woman declines such therapy. In premenopausal women, adjuvant tamoxifen is recommended. If both chemotherapy and tamoxifen are administered, data from the Intergroup trial 0100 suggest that delaying initiation of tamoxifen until after completion of chemotherapy improves disease-free survival compared with concomitant administration. Consequently, chemotherapy followed by endocrine therapy should be the preferred therapy sequence.

**Guideline Stratification for Systemic Adjuvant Therapy**

The current version of the guidelines first recognizes subsets of patients with early breast cancer of the usual histologies based upon responsiveness to endocrine therapy and trastuzumab (ie, hormone receptor status, HER2 status). Patients are then further stratified based on risk of disease recurrence based on anatomic and pathologic characteristics (ie, tumor grade, tumor size, ALN status, angiolymphatic invasion).
Adjuvant Endocrine Therapy

The NCCN guidelines call for the determination of ER and PR content in all primary invasive breast cancers. Patients with invasive breast cancers that are ER- or PR-positive should be considered for adjuvant endocrine therapy regardless of patient age, lymph node status, or whether adjuvant chemotherapy is to be administered. Selected studies suggest that HER2-positive breast cancers may be less sensitive to some endocrine therapies, although other studies have failed to confirm this finding. A retrospective analysis of tumor blocks collected in the ATAC trial indicated that HER2 amplification is a marker of relative endocrine resistance independent of type of endocrine therapy. However, given the favorable toxicity profile of the available endocrine therapies, the Panel recommends the use of adjuvant endocrine therapy in the majority of women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer regardless of menopausal status, age, or HER2 status of the tumor. Possible exceptions to the recommendation of adjuvant endocrine therapy for patients with hormone receptor-positive disease are those patients with lymph node-negative cancers ≤0.5 cm or 0.6 to 1.0 cm in diameter with favorable prognostic features where the prognosis is so favorable that the benefits of adjuvant endocrine therapy are very small.

The most firmly established adjuvant endocrine therapy is tamoxifen for both premenopausal and postmenopausal women. In women with ER-positive breast cancer, adjuvant tamoxifen decreases the annual odds of recurrence by 39% and the annual odds of death by 31% irrespective of the use of chemotherapy, patient age, menopausal status, or ALN status. Prospective, randomized trials demonstrate that the optimal duration of tamoxifen appears to be five years. In patients receiving both tamoxifen and chemotherapy, chemotherapy should be given first, followed by sequential tamoxifen.

The role of adjuvant ovarian ablation or suppression in premenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer is incompletely defined. Ovarian ablation may be accomplished by surgical oophorectomy or by ovarian irradiation. Ovarian suppression utilizes luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LH-RH) agonists that result in suppression of luteinizing hormone (LH) and release of follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) from the pituitary and reduction in ovarian estrogen production. Available LH-RH agonists in the United States include goserelin and leuprolide, and, when used for ovarian suppression, both agents should be given as monthly injections.

The EBCTCG performed a meta-analysis of randomized studies of ovarian ablation or suppression alone versus no adjuvant treatment in women >50 years, with many of the subjects in the trials unselected based upon hormone receptor status. In this study there were reductions in the annual odds of recurrence and of death favoring ovarian ablation/suppression over no adjuvant treatment (age <40 years: 25% reduction in recurrence rate and 29% reduction in death rate; age 40–49 years: 29% reduction in recurrence rate and 29% reduction in death rate). Analysis of ovarian suppression versus no adjuvant therapy did not demonstrate significant reduction in recurrence (HR reduction -28.4, 95% CI -50.5– 3.5; P = .08) or death (HR reduction -22, 95% CI -44.1– 6.4; P = .11). Studies in premenopausal women of ovarian ablation or suppression alone versus CMF chemotherapy alone generally demonstrate similar antitumor efficacy in patients with hormone receptor-positive tumors and superior outcomes with CMF in patients with hormone receptor-negative tumors. There is also the suggestion that the benefits to ovarian suppression/ablation may be greater in the younger premenopausal group.
Studies in premenopausal women of ovarian ablation/suppression plus tamoxifen versus chemotherapy alone generally demonstrate no difference in rates of recurrence or survival.\textsuperscript{240,251,252}

A large intergroup study in premenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive, node-positive breast cancer studied adjuvant CAF chemotherapy versus CAF plus ovarian suppression with goserelin (CAF-Z) versus CAF-Z plus tamoxifen (CAF-ZT).\textsuperscript{243} The results demonstrated no improvement in time to recurrence or OS comparing CAF with CAF-Z. There was improvement in time to recurrence (HR 0.73, 95% CI 0.59–0.90; \( P < .01 \)) but not OS with CAF-Z compared with CAF-ZT (HR 0.91, 95% CI 0.71–1.15; \( P = .21 \)). This study did not include a CAF plus tamoxifen arm, so the contribution of the goserelin to the improved time to recurrence in the CAF-ZT arm cannot be assessed. The addition of ovarian suppression/ablation has also been subjected to meta-analysis by the EBCTCG.\textsuperscript{240} They identified no statistically significant reduction in annual rates of recurrence or death with the addition of ovarian suppression or ablation to chemotherapy in women <40 years or 40 to 49 years.

Thus, at the current time there are selected studies that suggest benefit from the use of ovarian ablation or suppression in the adjuvant treatment of premenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer. However, the benefit of ovarian suppression or ablation when added to combination chemotherapy or to tamoxifen as would be widely utilized in the United States is uncertain.

Several studies have evaluated aromatase inhibitors in the treatment of postmenopausal women with early-stage breast cancer. These studies have utilized the aromatase inhibitors as initial adjuvant therapy, as sequential therapy following 2 to 3 years of tamoxifen, or as extended therapy following 4.5 to 6 years of tamoxifen. The aromatase inhibitors are not active in the treatment of women with functioning ovaries and should not be used in women whose ovarian function cannot reliably be assessed owing to treatment-induced amenorrhea. The results from two prospective, randomized, clinical trials have provided evidence of an OS benefit for patients with early-stage breast cancer receiving initial endocrine therapy with tamoxifen followed sequentially by anastrozole (HR 0.53; 95% CI, 0.28–0.99; \( P = .045 \)) or exemestane (HR 0.83; 95% CI, 0.69–1.00; \( P = .05 \) [excluding patients with ER-negative disease]) when compared with tamoxifen as the only endocrine therapy.\textsuperscript{253,254} In addition, the NCIC-CTG MA-17 trial demonstrated a survival advantage with extended therapy with letrozole compared with placebo in women with ALN-positive (but not lymph node-negative), ER-positive breast cancer.\textsuperscript{255} However, no survival differences have been reported for patients receiving initial adjuvant therapy with an aromatase inhibitor versus first-line tamoxifen.\textsuperscript{256,257} Tamoxifen and aromatase inhibitors have different side effect profiles. Both contribute to hot flashes and night sweats and may cause vaginal dryness. Aromatase inhibitors are more commonly associated with musculoskeletal symptoms, osteoporosis, and increased rate of bone fracture, while tamoxifen is associated with an increased risk for uterine cancer and deep venous thrombosis.

Two studies have examined initial adjuvant endocrine treatment with either tamoxifen or an aromatase inhibitor. The ATAC trial demonstrated that anastrozole is superior to tamoxifen or the combination of tamoxifen and anastrozole in the adjuvant endocrine therapy of postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer.\textsuperscript{258,259} With a median of 100 months follow-up, results in 5216 postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive, early-stage breast cancer enrolled in the ATAC trial demonstrated fewer recurrences (HR for disease-free survival = 0.85; 95% CI, 0.76–0.94; \( P \))
with anastrozole compared with tamoxifen. No difference in survival has been observed (HR 0.90; 95% CI, 0.75–1.07; \( P = .2 \)).

Patients in the combined tamoxifen and anastrozole group gained no benefit over those in the tamoxifen group, suggesting a possible deleterious effect from the weak estrogenic effect of tamoxifen in patients with near complete elimination of endogenous estrogen levels.\(^{259} \) ATAC trial sub-protocols show a lesser effect of anastrozole compared with tamoxifen on endometrial tissue;\(^ {260} \) similar effects of anastrozole and tamoxifen on quality of life, with most patients reporting that overall quality of life was not significantly impaired;\(^ {261} \) a greater loss of bone mineral density with anastrozole;\(^ {262} \) a small pharmacokinetic interference of anastrozole in the presence of tamoxifen of unclear significance;\(^ {263} \) and no evidence for an interaction between prior chemotherapy and anastrozole.\(^ {264} \)

BIG 1-98 is a randomized trial testing the use of tamoxifen alone for 5 years, letrozole alone for 5 years, or tamoxifen for 2 years followed sequentially by letrozole for 3 years, or letrozole for 2 years followed sequentially by tamoxifen for 3 years. An early analysis compared tamoxifen alone versus letrozole alone, including those patients in the sequential arms during their first 2 years of treatment only.\(^ {257} \) With 8010 women included in the analysis, disease-free survival was superior in the letrozole-treated women (HR 0.81; 95% CI 0.70–0.93; log rank \( P = .003 \)). No interaction between PR expression and benefit was observed. No difference in OS was been observed. A comparison of the cardiovascular side effects in the tamoxifen and letrozole arms of the BIG 1-98 trial showed that the overall incidence of cardiac adverse events was similar (letrozole, 4.8%; tamoxifen, 4.7%). However, the incidence of grade 3 to 5 cardiac adverse events was significantly higher in the letrozole arm, and both the overall incidence and incidence of grade 3 to 5 thromboembolic events was significantly higher in the tamoxifen arm.\(^ {265} \) In addition, a higher incidence of bone fracture was observed for women in the letrozole arm compared with those in the tamoxifen arm (9.5% versus 6.5%).\(^ {266} \) After a longer follow-up (median 71 months) no significant improvement in disease-free survival was noted with either tamoxifen followed by letrozole or the reverse sequence as compared with letrozole alone (HR for tamoxifen followed by letrozole, 1.05; 99% CI, 0.84–1.32; HR for letrozole followed by tamoxifen, 0.96; 99% CI, 0.76–1.21).\(^ {267} \)

Five trials have studied the use of tamoxifen for 2 to 3 years followed sequentially by a third-generation aromatase inhibitor versus continued tamoxifen. The Italian Tamoxifen Anastrozole (ITA) trial randomized 426 postmenopausal women with breast cancer who had completed 2 to 3 years of tamoxifen to either continue tamoxifen or to switch to anastrozole to complete a total of 5 years of endocrine therapy.\(^ {268} \) The HR for relapse strongly favored sequential treatment with anastrozole (HR 0.35; 95% CI, 0.18–0.68; \( P = .001 \)) with a trend towards fewer deaths (\( P = .10 \)).\(^ {268} \) Updated results from this study show the HR for relapse-free survival as 0.56 (95% CI, 0.35–0.89; \( P = .01 \)); P value for OS analysis remained at 0.1.\(^ {269} \) The IES trial randomized 4742 postmenopausal women with breast cancer who had completed a total of 2 to 3 years of tamoxifen to either continue tamoxifen or to switch to exemestane to complete a total of 5 years of endocrine therapy.\(^ {270} \) The results at a median of 55.7 months of follow-up demonstrated the superiority of sequential exemestane in disease-free survival (HR 0.76; 95% CI 0.66-0.88; \( P = .0001 \)) with a significant difference in OS in only patients with ER-positive tumors (HR 0.83; 95% CI 0.69–1.00; log rank \( P = .05 \)). A prospectively planned, combined analysis of 3224 patients enrolled in the ABCSG trial 8 and the Arimidex Nolvadex (ARNO 95) trial has also been reported.\(^ {271} \) Patients in this combined analysis had been randomized following 2 years of tamoxifen to complete 5 years of
adjuvant tamoxifen or to 3 years of anastrozole. With 28 months of median follow-up available, event-free survival was superior with cross-over to anastrozole (HR 0.60; 95% CI 0.44–0.81; \(P = .0009\)). No statistically significant difference in survival has been observed. An analysis of the ARNO 95 trial alone after 58 months of median follow-up demonstrated that switching from tamoxifen to anastrozole was associated with significant increases in both disease-free survival (HR 0.66; 95% CI, 0.44–1.00; \(P = .049\)) and OS (HR 0.53; 95% CI, 0.28–0.99; \(P = .045\)). A meta-analysis of ABCSG 8, ARNO 95, and ITA studies showed significant improvement in OS (HR 0.71, 95% CI, 0.52–0.98; \(P = .04\)) with a switch to anastrozole.\(^{272}\)

The TEAM trial compared sequential treatment of exemestane alone versus sequential therapy of tamoxifen for 2.5 to 3.0 years followed by exemestane to complete 5 years of hormone therapy.\(^{273}\) At the end of 5 years, 85% of patients in the sequential group versus 86% in the exemestane group were disease free (HR 0.97; 95% CI, 0.88–1.08; \(P = .60\)). This is consistent with the data from the BIG 1-98 trial,\(^{267}\) in which tamoxifen followed by letrozole or the reverse sequence of letrozole followed by tamoxifen was not associated with significant differences in efficacy versus letrozole monotherapy after a median follow-up of 71 months.

Results of the MA-17 trial in 5187 women who had completed 4.5 to 6 years of adjuvant tamoxifen demonstrated that extended therapy with letrozole provides benefit in postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive, early breast cancer.\(^{255,274}\) At a median follow-up of 2.5 years, the results showed fewer recurrences or new contralateral breast cancers with extended letrozole (HR 0.58; 95% CI, 0.45–0.76; \(P < .001\)). No difference in OS was demonstrated (HR 0.82; 95% CI, 0.57–1.19; \(P = .3\)), although there was a survival advantage in the subset of patients with ALN-positive disease (HR 0.61; 95% CI, 0.38–0.98; \(P = .04\)). In a separate cohort analysis of the MA-17 trial, the efficacy of letrozole versus placebo was evaluated after unblinding of the study in the 1579 women who had been randomly assigned to placebo after 4.5 to 6 years of tamoxifen.\(^{275,276}\) The median time since completion of tamoxifen was 2.8 years. Both disease-free survival and distant disease-free survival were significantly improved in the group receiving letrozole, thereby providing some evidence for the efficacy of letrozole in patients who had received 4.5 to 6 years of tamoxifen therapy followed by no endocrine therapy for an extended period. A formal quality-of-life analysis demonstrated reasonable preservation of quality of life during extended endocrine therapy, although women may experience ongoing menopausal symptoms and loss of bone mineral density.\(^{277,278}\)

In the extension study of ABCSG trial 6, hormone receptor-positive postmenopausal patients received 5 years of adjuvant tamoxifen and were randomized to 3 years of anastrozole or no further therapy.\(^{279}\) At a median follow-up of 62.3 months, women who received anastrozole (\(n = 387\)) were reported to have a statistically significantly reduced risk of recurrence compared with women who received no further treatment (\(n = 469\); HR 0.62; 95% CI, 0.40–0.96; \(P = .031\)).\(^{279}\) The differences in design and patient populations among the studies of the aromatase inhibitors do not allow for the direct comparison of the results of these studies. A meta-analysis of adjuvant trials of aromatase inhibitors versus tamoxifen alone versus after 2 or 3 years of tamoxifen documented lower recurrence rates with the aromatase inhibitor-containing regimen, with no clear impact on OS.\(^{280}\) It is not known whether initial, sequential, or extended use of adjuvant aromatase inhibitors is the optimal strategy.
The optimal duration of aromatase inhibitor treatment is also not known, nor is the optimal use vis-à-vis chemotherapy established. Further, the long-term (greater than 5-year) safety and efficacy of these agents are still under investigation. The various studies are consistent in demonstrating that the use of a third-generation aromatase inhibitor in postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer lowers the risk of recurrence, including IBTR, contralateral breast cancer, and distant metastatic disease when used as initial adjuvant therapy, sequential therapy, or extended therapy. The Panel finds no compelling evidence that there is meaningful efficacy or toxicity differences between the aromatase inhibitors, anastrozole, letrozole, and exemestane. All three have shown similar anti-tumor efficacy and toxicity profiles in randomized studies in the adjuvant settings. The current version of the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer recommends the following adjuvant endocrine therapy options for postmenopausal women with early breast cancer: an aromatase inhibitor as initial adjuvant therapy for 5 years (category 1); tamoxifen for 2 to 3 years followed by an aromatase inhibitor to complete 5 years of adjuvant endocrine therapy (category 1) or followed by 5 years of aromatase inhibitor therapy (category 2B); or tamoxifen for 5 years followed by 5 years of an aromatase inhibitor. In postmenopausal women, the use of tamoxifen alone for 5 years is limited to those who decline or who have a contraindication to aromatase inhibitors.

In premenopausal women, the aromatase inhibitors are associated with the development of benign ovarian pathology and do not adequately suppress ovarian estrogen synthesis. Premenopausal women should not be given therapy with an aromatase inhibitor outside the confines of a clinical trial. Women who are premenopausal at diagnosis and who become amenorrheic with chemotherapy may have continued estrogen production from the ovaries without menses. Serial assessment of circulating LH, FSH, and estradiol to assure a true postmenopausal status is mandatory if this subset of women is to be considered for therapy with an aromatase inhibitor. After 5 years of tamoxifen, for women postmenopausal at that time (including those who have become postmenopausal during the 5 years of tamoxifen therapy), the NCCN Panel recommends considering extended therapy with an aromatase inhibitor for up to 5 years.

The measurement of the nuclear antigen, Ki67 by IHC, gives an estimate of the tumor cells in the proliferative phase (G1, G2, and M phases) of the cell cycle. Studies have demonstrated the prognostic value of Ki67 as a biomarker and its usefulness in predicting response and clinical outcome. One small study suggests that measurement of Ki67 after short-term exposure to endocrine treatment may be useful to select patients resistant to endocrine therapy and those who may benefit from additional interventions. However, these data require larger analytic and clinical validation. In addition, standardization of tissue handling and processing is required to improve the reliability and value of Ki67 testing. At this time, there is no conclusive evidence that Ki67 alone, especially baseline Ki67 as an individual biomarker, helps to select the type of endocrine therapy for an individual patient. Therefore, the NCCN Breast Cancer Panel does not currently recommend assessment of Ki67.

The cytochrome P-450 (CYP) enzyme, CYP2D6, is involved in the conversion of tamoxifen to endoxifen. Over 100 allelic variants of CYP2D6 have been reported in the literature. Individuals with wild-type CYP2D6 alleles are classified as extensive metabolizers of tamoxifen. Those with one or two variant alleles with either reduced or no activity are designated as intermediate metabolizers and poor metabolizers, respectively. A large retrospective study of 1325 patients...
found that time to disease recurrence was significantly shortened in poor metabolizers of tamoxifen. However, the BIG 1-98 trial recently reported on the outcome based on CYP2D6 genotype in a subset of postmenopausal patients with endocrine-responsive early invasive breast cancer. The study found no correlation between CYP2D6 allelic status and disease outcome or between CYP2D6 allelic status and tamoxifen-related adverse effects. A genetic analysis of the ATAC trial found no association between CYP2D6 genotype and clinical outcomes. Given the limited and conflicting evidence at this time, the NCCN Breast Cancer Panel does not recommend CYP2D6 testing as a tool to determine the optimal adjuvant endocrine strategy. This recommendation is consistent with the ASCO Guidelines.

**Preferred Regimens**

Regimens listed as preferred include: dose-dense doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide (AC) with dose-dense sequential paclitaxel; dose-dense AC followed by sequential weekly paclitaxel; and docetaxel plus cyclophosphamide (TC).

The results of two randomized trials comparing AC chemotherapy with or without sequential paclitaxel chemotherapy in women with axillary node-positive breast cancer suggest improved disease-free rates, and results from one of the trials showed an improvement in OS, with the addition of paclitaxel. On retrospective analysis, the apparent advantage of the paclitaxel-containing regimen appears greater in women with ER-negative breast cancers.

A randomized trial evaluated the use of concurrent versus sequential chemotherapy (doxorubicin followed by paclitaxel followed by cyclophosphamide versus doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel) given either every 2 weeks with filgrastim support or every 3 weeks. The results show no significant difference between the two chemotherapy regimens, but demonstrate a 26% reduction in hazard of recurrence ($P = .01$) and a 31% reduction in the hazard of death ($P = .013$) for the dose-dense regimens.
The ECOG E1199 study was a four-arm trial that randomized 4950 women to receive AC chemotherapy followed by either paclitaxel or docetaxel given by either an every-3-week schedule or a weekly schedule. At a median 63.8 months of follow-up, no statistically significant differences in disease-free survival or OS were observed when comparing paclitaxel to docetaxel or weekly versus every-3-week administration. In a secondary series of comparisons, weekly paclitaxel was superior to every-3-week paclitaxel in disease-free survival (HR 1.27; 95% CI, 1.03–1.57; \( P = .006 \)) and OS (HR 1.32; 95% CI, 1.02–1.72; \( P = .01 \)), and every-3-week docetaxel was superior to every-3-week paclitaxel in disease-free survival (HR 1.23; 95% CI, 1.00–1.52; \( P = .02 \)) but not in OS. Based on these results, as well as the findings from the CALGB trial 9741 that showed dose-dense AC followed by paclitaxel every 2 weeks to have a survival benefit when compared with the regimen of AC followed by every-3-week paclitaxel, the every-3-week paclitaxel regimen has been removed from the guidelines.

Combination TC was compared with AC chemotherapy in a trial that randomized 1016 women with stage I to III breast cancer. At a median follow-up of 7 years, overall disease-free survival (81% vs. 75%; HR 0.74; 95% CI, 0.56–0.98; \( P = .033 \)) and OS (87% vs. 82%; HR 0.69; 95% CI, 0.50–0.97; \( P = .032 \)) were significantly improved with TC compared with AC.

Other Regimens
Other regimens included in the guidelines are: doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide (AC); fluorouracil, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide (FAC/CAF); cyclophosphamide, epirubicin, and fluorouracil (FEC/CEF); epirubicin and cyclophosphamide (EC); cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil (CMF); AC with sequential docetaxel administered every 3 weeks; doxorubicin, paclitaxel, FEC followed by docetaxel or weekly paclitaxel; FAC followed by taxol; and docetaxel, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide (TAC).

The AC regimen for four cycles has been studied in randomized trials, resulting in relapse-free survival and OS equivalent to CMF chemotherapy. No benefit from dose escalation of either doxorubicin or cyclophosphamide was shown.

Studies of CMF chemotherapy versus no chemotherapy have shown disease-free survival and OS advantages with CMF chemotherapy. Studies using CAF/FAC chemotherapy have shown that the use of full-dose chemotherapy regimens is important. In the EBCTCG overview of polychemotherapy, comparison of anthracycline-containing regimens with CMF showed a 12% further reduction in the annual odds of recurrence (\( P = .006 \)) and an 11% further reduction in the annual odds of death (\( P = .02 \)) with anthracycline-containing regimens. Based on these data, the Panel qualified the appropriate chemotherapy regimens by the statement that anthracycline-containing regimens are preferred for node-positive patients.

The EBCTCG analysis, however, did not consider the potential interaction between HER2 tumor status and efficacy of anthracycline-containing versus CMF chemotherapy regimens. Retrospective analysis has suggested that the superiority of anthracycline-containing chemotherapy may be limited to the treatment of those breast cancers that are HER2-positive. The retrospective finding across several clinical trials that anthracycline-based chemotherapy may be more efficacious in patients whose tumors are HER2-positive has led to a footnote stating that anthracycline-based chemotherapy may be superior to non-anthracycline-containing regimens in the adjuvant treatment of such patients.
Two randomized prospective trials of CEF chemotherapy in ALN-positive breast cancer are available. In one trial, premenopausal women with node-positive breast cancer were randomized to receive classic CMF therapy versus CEF chemotherapy using high-dose epirubicin. Both 10-year relapse-free survival (52% vs. 45%; \( P = .007 \)) and OS (62% vs. 58%; \( P = .085 \)) favored the CEF arm of the trial.\(^{308}\) The second trial compared CEF given intravenously every 3 weeks at 2 dose levels of epirubicin (50 mg/m\(^2\) vs. 100 mg/m\(^2\)) in premenopausal and postmenopausal women with node-positive breast cancer. Five-year disease-free survival (55% vs. 66%; \( P = .03 \)) and OS (65% vs. 76%; \( P = .007 \)) both favored the epirubicin 100 mg/m\(^2\) arm.\(^{309}\) Another trial compared 2 dose levels of EC chemotherapy with CMF chemotherapy in women with node-positive breast cancer.\(^{310}\) This study showed that higher-dose EC chemotherapy was equivalent to CMF chemotherapy and superior to moderate-dose EC in event-free survival and OS. Another randomized trial in women with ALN-positive breast cancer compared 6 cycles of FEC with 3 cycles of FEC followed by 3 cycles of docetaxel.\(^{251}\) Five-year disease-free survival (78.4% vs. 73.2%; adjusted \( P = .012 \)) and OS (90.7% vs. 86.7%; \( P = .017 \)) were superior with sequential FEC followed by docetaxel. However, no significant disease-free survival differences were seen in a large randomized study comparing adjuvant chemotherapy with 4 cycles of every-3-week FEC followed by 4 cycles of every-3-week docetaxel with standard anthracycline chemotherapy regimens (eg, FEC or epirubicin followed by CMF) in women with node-positive or high-risk node-negative operable breast cancer.\(^{311}\) The addition of weekly paclitaxel following FEC was shown to be superior to FEC alone in a randomized study of 1246 women with early-stage breast cancer.\(^{312}\) The former regimen was associated with a 23% reduction in the risk of relapse compared with FEC (HR 0.77; 95% CI, 0.62–0.95; \( P = .022 \)), although no significant difference in OS was seen when the two arms were compared at a median follow-up of 66 months.

Final results from a randomized trial of TAC versus FAC chemotherapy in ALN-positive breast cancer demonstrated that TAC is superior to FAC.\(^{313}\) Estimated 5-year disease-free survival with TAC was 75% and with FAC was 68% (HR 0.72; 95% CI, 0.59–0.88; \( P = .001 \)) and survival was 87% with TAC and 81% with FAC (HR 0.70; 95% CI, 0.53–0.91; \( P = .008 \)). Disease-free survival favored TAC in both ER-positive and ER-negative tumors. At a median follow-up of 73 months, results from the 3-arm randomized NSABP B-30 trial comparing TAC versus AT versus AC followed by docetaxel (AC→T) demonstrated that AC→T had significant advantage in disease-free survival (HR 0.83; \( P = .006 \)) but not in OS (HR 0.86; \( P = .086 \)) when compared with TAC. In addition, both disease-free (HR 0.80; \( P = .001 \)) and OS (HR 0.83; \( P = .034 \)) were significantly increased when AC→T was compared with AT, with AT demonstrating non-inferiority compared with TAC.\(^{314}\)

Several retrospective studies have evaluated the potential interaction of chemotherapy benefit and ER status.\(^{2,227}\) These studies assessed the effect of chemotherapy on the risk of breast cancer recurrence in patients with ER-positive tumors receiving adjuvant endocrine therapy when compared with patients with ER-negative tumor status not undergoing adjuvant endocrine therapy. These analyses suggest that the benefits of chemotherapy are significantly greater in patients with ER-negative disease. For example, the results of Berry et al. demonstrated that 22.8% more patients with ER-negative tumors survived without disease for 5 years if they received chemotherapy; this benefit was only 7% for patients with ER-positive tumors receiving chemotherapy.\(^{227}\) The guidelines therefore include a recommendation for endocrine therapy and consideration of chemotherapy for patients...
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with node-negative disease and tumors characterized as ER-positive that are greater than 1 cm and HER2-negative or tumors 0.6 to 1.0 cm that are grade 2 or 3 or with unfavorable features.

Adjuvant Trastuzumab-Based Therapy

Trastuzumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody with specificity for the extracellular domain of HER2. Results of several randomized trials testing trastuzumab as adjuvant therapy have been reported.

NSABP B-31 patients with HER2-positive, node-positive breast cancer were randomly assigned to 4 cycles of AC every 3 weeks followed by paclitaxel for 4 cycles every 3 weeks or the same regimen with 52 weeks of trastuzumab commencing with paclitaxel. In the NCCTG N9831 trial, patients with HER2-positive breast cancer that was node-positive, or, if node-negative, with primary tumors greater than 1 cm in size if ER- and PR-negative or greater than 2 cm in size if ER- or PR-positive, were similarly randomized except that paclitaxel was given by a low-dose weekly schedule for 12 weeks and a third arm delayed trastuzumab until the completion of paclitaxel.

The B-31 and NCCTG N9831 trials have been jointly analyzed with the merged control arms for both trials compared with the merged arms using trastuzumab begun concurrently with paclitaxel. There were 4045 patients included in the joint analysis performed at 3.9 years median follow-up. A 48% reduction in the risk of recurrence (HR 0.52; 95% CI, 0.45–0.60; P < .001) and a 39% reduction in the risk of death (HR 0.61; 95% CI, 0.50–0.75; log-rank P = .001) were documented. Similar significant effects on disease-free survival were observed when results of the NSABP B-31 and NCCTG N9831 trials were analyzed separately. Cardiac toxicity was increased in patients treated with trastuzumab.

In the adjuvant trastuzumab trials, the rates of grade III/IV congestive heart failure (CHF) or cardiac-related death in patients receiving treatment regimens containing trastuzumab ranged from 0% (FinHer trial) to 4.1% (NSABP B-31 trial). The frequency of cardiac dysfunction appears to be related to both age and baseline left ventricular ejection fraction. An analysis of data from N9831 showed the 3-year cumulative incidence of CHF or cardiac death to be 0.3%, 2.8%, and 3.3% in the arms of the trial without trastuzumab, with trastuzumab following chemotherapy, and with trastuzumab initially combined with paclitaxel, respectively. The acceptable rate of significant cardiac toxicity observed in the trastuzumab adjuvant trials in part reflects rigorous monitoring for cardiac dysfunction. Furthermore, concerns have been raised regarding the long-term cardiac risks associated with trastuzumab therapy based on results of follow-up evaluations of cardiac function in patients enrolled in some of these trials.

A third trial (HERA) (N = 5081) tested trastuzumab for 1 or 2 years compared to none following all local therapy and a variety of standard chemotherapy regimens in patients with node-positive disease or node-negative disease with tumor ≥1 cm. At a median follow-up of one year, a 46% reduction in the risk of recurrence was reported in those who received trastuzumab compared with those who did not (HR 0.54; 95%, CI 0.43–0.67; P < .0001), no difference in OS, and acceptable cardiac toxicity. The 2-year data indicate that 1 year of trastuzumab therapy is associated with an OS benefit when compared with observation (HR for risk of death = 0.66; 95% CI, 0.47–0.91; P = .0115). After this initial analysis, patients randomized to chemotherapy alone were allowed to cross over to receive trastuzumab. Intent-to-treat analysis including a cross-over patient has been reported at 4-year median follow-up. The primary endpoint of disease-free survival continued to be significantly higher in the trastuzumab-treated group (78.6%) versus the observation group (72.2; HR 0.76; 95%, CI...
0.66–0.87; \( P < .0001 \)). At a median follow-up of 8 years, the study reported no significant difference in disease-free survival, a secondary endpoint, in patients treated with trastuzumab for 2 years compared with 1 year.\(^{200}\)

The BCIRG 006 study randomized 3222 women with HER2-positive, node-positive, or high-risk node-negative breast cancer to AC followed by docetaxel, AC followed by docetaxel plus trastuzumab for one year, or carboplatin, docetaxel plus trastuzumab for one year.\(^{203}\) At 65-month follow-up, patients receiving AC followed by docetaxel with trastuzumab (AC\( \rightarrow \)TH) had an HR for disease-free survival of 0.64 (\( P < 0.001 \)) when compared with the group of patients in the control arm receiving the same chemotherapy regimen without trastuzumab (AC\( \rightarrow \)T). The HR for disease-free survival was 0.75 (\( P = .04 \)) when patients in the carboplatin/docetaxel/trastuzumab (TCH)-containing arm were compared to patients in the control arm. No statistically significant difference in the HR for disease-free survival was observed between the two trastuzumab-containing arms. An OS advantage was reported for patients in both trastuzumab-containing arms relative to the control arm (HR for AC-TH vs. AC-T = 0.63; \( P = .001 \); HR for TCH vs. AC-T = 0.77; \( P = .04 \)). Cardiac toxicity was significantly lower in the TCH arm (9.4% patients with >10% relative decline in left ventricular ejection fraction) compared with the AC-TH arm (18.6%; \( P < .0001 \)). CHF was also more frequent with AC-TH than TCH (2% versus 0.4%; \( P < .001 \)). Analysis of this trial by critical clinical event revealed more distant breast cancer recurrences with TCH (144 vs. 124), but fewer cardiac events with TCH compared with AC-TH (4 vs. 21).\(^{203}\) In the FinHer trial, 1010 women were randomized to 9 weeks of vinorelbine followed by 3 cycles of FEC chemotherapy versus docetaxel for 3 cycles followed by 3 cycles of FEC chemotherapy.\(^{198}\) Patients (n = 232) with HER2-positive cancers that were either node-positive or node-negative and ≥2 cm and PR-negative were further randomized to receive or not trastuzumab for 9 weeks during the vinorelbine or docetaxel portions of the chemotherapy only. With a median follow-up of 3 years, the addition of trastuzumab was associated with a reduction in risk of recurrence (HR 0.42; 95% CI, 0.21–0.83; \( P = .01 \)). No statistically significant differences in OS (HR 0.41; 95% CI, 0.16–1.08; \( P = .07 \)) or cardiac toxicity were observed with the addition of trastuzumab.\(^{198}\) At 5-year follow-up, a comparison of the two arms (ie, chemotherapy with and without trastuzumab) demonstrated that the HRs for distant disease-free survival (HR 0.65; 95% CI, 0.38–1.12; \( P = .12 \)) and OS (HR 0.55; 95% CI, 0.27–1.11; \( P = .094 \)) were higher relative to those reported at 3 years.\(^{323}\)

All of the adjuvant trials of trastuzumab have demonstrated clinically significant improvements in disease-free survival, and the combined analysis from the NSABP B31 and NCCTG N9831 trials, and the HERA trial, showed significant improvement in OS with the use of trastuzumab in patients with high-risk, HER2-positive breast cancer. Therefore, regimens from each of these trials are included as trastuzumab-containing adjuvant regimen choices in the guideline. The benefits of trastuzumab are independent of ER status.\(^{201,202}\)

Based on these studies, the Panel has designated use of trastuzumab with chemotherapy as a category 1 recommendation in patients with HER2-positive tumors >1 cm. The Panel recommends AC followed by paclitaxel with trastuzumab for 1 year commencing with the first dose of paclitaxel as a preferred trastuzumab-containing adjuvant regimen, since the efficacy of this regimen has been demonstrated in two randomized clinical trials and it has been associated with significant improvements in OS. The TCH regimen is also classified as a preferred regimen, especially in those with risk factors for cardiac toxicity, given the results of the BCIG 006 study that demonstrated superior...
disease-free survival in patients receiving either TCH or AC followed by docetaxel plus trastuzumab both compared with AC followed by docetaxel alone.

Since patients with borderline ISH scores of greater than 2.0 to 2.2 HER2 genes/chromosome 17/cell in early-stage breast cancer were eligible for the adjuvant trials, the Panel cannot recommend exclusion of these patients from adjuvant treatment with trastuzumab if HER2 tumor status remains equivocal following retesting by the same or a complementary method. The Panel has also included a recommendation for adjuvant trastuzumab in women with node-negative tumors that are 0.6 to 1.0 cm. Some support for this recommendation comes from results of a retrospective study of 1245 women with early-stage breast cancer tumors characterized as T1pN0. Ten-year breast cancer-specific survival and 10-year recurrence-free survival were 85% and 75%, respectively, in women with tumors characterized as HER2-positive, ER-positive, and 70% and 61%, respectively, in women with HER2-positive, ER-negative tumors. Two more retrospective studies have also investigated recurrence-free survival in this patient population. In one large study, 5-year recurrence-free survival rates of 77.1% and 93.7% (P < .001) were observed for patients with HER2-positive and HER2-negative T1a,b,N0,M0 breast tumors, respectively, with no recurrence-free survival differences seen in the HER2-positive group when hormonal receptor status was considered. In another retrospective study of women with small HER2-positive tumors, the risk of recurrence at 5 years was low, although disease-free survival was inferior in the group with HER2-positive, hormone receptor-positive disease. None of the patients in these two retrospective studies had received trastuzumab. Subgroup analyses from several of the randomized trials have shown consistent benefit of trastuzumab irrespective of tumor size or nodal status.

In the FNCLCC-PACS-04 trial, 528 women with HER2-positive, node-positive breast cancer were randomly assigned to receive trastuzumab or observation after completion of adjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy with or without docetaxel. No statistically significant disease-free survival or OS benefit was observed with the addition of trastuzumab. These results suggest that the sequential administration of trastuzumab following chemotherapy is not as efficacious as a schedule involving concomitant chemotherapy and trastuzumab.

Other trastuzumab-containing regimens included in the NCCN Guidelines are: dose-dense AC→T with trastuzumab; docetaxel plus trastuzumab followed by FEC; AC followed by docetaxel and trastuzumab; or appropriately selected chemotherapy followed by trastuzumab sequentially for up to 1 year.

**Adjuvant Therapy of Favorable Histology Tumors**

The guidelines provide systemic treatment recommendations for the favorable histology invasive breast cancers, such as tubular and mucinous cancers, based on tumor size and ALN status. If used, the treatment options for endocrine therapy, chemotherapy, and sequencing of treatment with other modalities are similar to those of the usual histology breast cancers. The vast majority of tubular breast cancers are both ER-positive and HER2-negative. Thus, the pathology evaluation and accuracy of the ER and/or HER2 determination should be reviewed if a tubular breast cancer is ER-negative and/or HER2-positive, or if a tumor with an ER- and PR-negative status is grade 1. Should a breast cancer be histologically identified as a tubular or mucinous breast cancer and be confirmed as ER-negative,
then the tumor should be treated according to the guideline for the usual histology, ER-negative breast cancers. The Panel acknowledges that prospective data regarding systemic adjuvant therapy of favorable histology tumors are lacking.

Medullary carcinoma is an uncommon variant of infiltrating ductal carcinoma characterized by high nuclear grade, lymphocytic infiltration, a pushing tumor border, and the presence of a syncytial growth pattern. It was previously thought that medullary carcinoma has a lower potential for metastases and a better prognosis than typical infiltrating ductal carcinoma. However, the best available evidence suggests that the risk of metastases equals that of other high-grade carcinomas, even for cases that meet all the pathologic criteria for typical medullary carcinoma. Furthermore, typical medullary carcinoma is uncommon, and there is marked interobserver variation in diagnosing this entity. Many cases classified as medullary carcinoma do not have all the pathologic features on subsequent pathologic review. Given these facts, there is concern that patients may be harmed if a high-grade infiltrating ductal carcinoma is misclassified as typical medullary carcinoma and this classification is used as the basis for withholding otherwise indicated adjuvant systemic therapy. Therefore, the NCCN Panel believes that including medullary carcinoma with other special histology cancers that carry a favorable prognosis and often do not require systemic therapy is not appropriate. The Panel recommends that cases classified as medullary carcinoma be treated as other infiltrating ductal carcinomas based on tumor size, grade, and lymph node status.

Post-Therapy Surveillance and Follow-up
See page MS-39.

Stage III Invasive Breast Cancer
Staging and Workup
The staging evaluation for most patients with stage III invasive breast cancer is similar to the one for patients with T3N1M0 disease. The workup includes history and physical exam, a CBC, liver function and alkaline phosphatase tests, chest imaging, pathology review, and pre-chemotherapy determination of tumor ER/PR receptor status and HER2 status. Diagnostic bilateral mammogram and breast ultrasound should be performed as clinically warranted. Genetic counseling is recommended if the patient is considered to be at high risk for hereditary breast cancer as defined by the NCCN Guidelines for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian Guidelines.

The performance of other studies, such as a breast MRI, a bone scan (category 2B), and abdominal imaging with diagnostic CT (with or without pelvic CT) or MRI (all category 2A) are optional unless directed by symptoms or other abnormal study results. PET/CT scan is also included as an optional additional study (category 2B). Ultrasound is an alternative when diagnostic CT or MRI is unavailable.

The consensus of the Panel is that FDG PET/CT is most helpful in situations where standard imaging results are equivocal or suspicious. However, limited studies support a potential role for FDG PET/CT to detect regional node involvement as well as distant metastases in locally advanced breast cancer, including T3, N1, M0 disease.

A retrospective study comparing bone scan with integrated FDG PET/CT, in women with stages I–III breast cancer with suspected metastasis, observed a high concordance (81%) between the two studies for reporting osseous metastases. The NCCN Panel suggests...
that bone scan may be omitted if FDG PET/CT results are positive for bone metastases.

Equivocal or suspicious sites identified by PET/CT scanning should be biopsied for confirmation whenever possible and if the site of disease would impact the course of treatment. In the past decade, the advent of PET/CT scanners has significantly changed the approach to PET imaging. However, the terminology has also created confusion regarding the nature of the scans obtained from a PET/CT device. PET/CT scanners have both a PET and CT scanner in the same gantry that allows precise co-registration of molecular (PET) and anatomic (CT) imaging. Almost all current clinical PET imaging is performed using combined PET/CT devices.

In PET/CT tomographs, the CT scanner has a second important role beyond diagnostic CT scanning. For PET applications, the CT scan is also used for photon attenuation correction and for anatomic localization of the PET imaging findings. For these tasks, the CT scan is usually taken without breathholding, to match PET image acquisition, and typically uses low-dose (non-diagnostic) CT. Radiation exposure for these non-diagnostic CT scans is lower than for diagnostic CT. Intravenous contrast is not needed for this task.

PET/CT scanners typically include a high-quality CT device that can also be used for stand-alone, optimized, and fully diagnostic CT. Diagnostic CT scans are acquired using breathholding for optimal chest imaging, and are often performed with intravenous contrast. For fully diagnostic CT, the CT beam current, and therefore patient radiation exposure, is considerably higher than for the low-dose CT needed for PET requirements. Radiation exposures for fully diagnostic CT are often greater than for the emission (PET) component of the study.

Currently, the approach to clinical PET/CT imaging varies widely across centers. Many centers perform low-dose CT as part of a PET/CT scan, and perform optimized, fully diagnostic CT only when diagnostic CT has also been requested in addition to PET/CT. Other centers combine diagnostic CT scans with PET on all of their PET/CT images. The CT scans described in the workup section of the guidelines refer to fully optimized diagnostic CT scans, while the PET or PET/CT scans refer to scans primarily directed towards the PET component, not necessarily using diagnostic-quality CT. It is important for referring physicians to understand the differences between PET/CT performed primarily for PET imaging and fully optimized CT performed as a stand-alone diagnostic CT examination. It may be convenient to perform PET/CT and diagnostic CT at the same time.

Operable Locally Advanced Breast Cancer
(Clinical stage T3N1M0)
Locally advanced breast cancer describes a subset of invasive breast cancer where the initial clinical and radiographic evaluation documents advanced disease confined to the breast and regional lymph nodes. The AJCC clinical staging system used in these guidelines and for the determination of operability is recommended, and locally advanced disease is represented by the stage III category. Patients with stage III disease may be further divided into: 1) those where an initial surgical approach is unlikely to successfully remove all disease or to provide long-term local control; and 2) those with disease where a reasonable initial surgical approach is likely to achieve pathologically negative margins and provide long-term local control. Thus, stage IIIA patients are divided into those who have clinical T3N1M0 disease versus those who have clinical TanyN2M0 disease, based on evaluation by a multidisciplinary team.
Postoperative systemic adjuvant therapy for patients with stage IIIA breast cancer who do not receive neoadjuvant chemotherapy is similar to that for patients with stage II disease.

**Inoperable Locally Advanced Breast Cancer**

*(Clinical stage IIIA [except for T3N1M0], clinical stage IIIB, or clinical stage IIIC)*

For patients with inoperable, non-inflammatory, locally advanced disease at presentation, the initial use of anthracycline-based preoperative chemotherapy with or without a taxane is standard therapy. Patients with locally advanced breast cancer that is HER2-positive should receive an initial chemotherapy program that incorporates preoperative trastuzumab. Local therapy following a clinical response to preoperative chemotherapy usually consists of: 1) total mastectomy with level I/II ALN dissection, with or without delayed breast reconstruction; or 2) lumpectomy and level I/II axillary dissection.

Both local treatment groups are considered to have sufficient risk of local recurrence to warrant the use of chest wall (or breast) and supraclavicular node irradiation. If internal mammary lymph nodes are involved, they should also be irradiated. Without detected internal mammary node involvement, consideration may be given to include the internal mammary lymph nodes in the radiation field (category 2B). Adjuvant therapy may involve completion of planned chemotherapy regimen course if not completed preoperatively, followed by endocrine therapy in patients with hormone receptor-positive disease. Up to one year of total trastuzumab therapy should be completed if the tumor is HER2-positive (category 1). Endocrine therapy and trastuzumab can be administered concurrently with radiation therapy if indicated.

Patients with an inoperable stage III tumor with disease progression during preoperative chemotherapy should be considered for palliative breast irradiation in an attempt to enhance local control. In all subsets of patients, further systemic adjuvant chemotherapy after local therapy is felt to be standard. Tamoxifen (or an aromatase inhibitor if postmenopausal) should be added for those with hormone receptor-positive tumors, and trastuzumab should be given to those with HER2-positive tumors. Post-treatment follow-up for women with stage III disease is the same as for women with early-stage invasive breast cancer.

**Post-Therapy Surveillance and Follow-up**

Post-therapy follow-up is optimally performed by members of the treatment team and includes the performance of regular history/physical examinations every 4 to 6 months for the first 5 years after primary therapy and annually thereafter. Mammography should be performed annually.

The routine performance of alkaline phosphatase and liver function tests are not included in the guidelines. In addition, the Panel notes no evidence to support the use of “tumor markers” for breast cancer, and routine bone scans, CT scans, MRI scans, PET scans, or ultrasound examinations in the asymptomatic patient provide no advantage in survival or ability to palliate recurrent disease and are, therefore, not recommended.

The use of dedicated breast MRI may be considered as an option for post-therapy surveillance and follow-up in women at high risk for bilateral disease, such as carriers of BRCA 1/2 mutations. Rates of contralateral breast cancer following either breast-conserving therapy or mastectomy have been reported to be increased in women with BRCA 1/2 mutations when compared with patients with sporadic breast cancer.
Assessment: Breast and Ovarian; NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis).

The Panel recommends that women with intact uteri who are taking adjuvant tamoxifen should have yearly gynecologic assessments and rapid evaluation of any vaginal spotting that might occur because of the risk of tamoxifen-associated endometrial carcinoma in postmenopausal women. The performance of routine endometrial biopsy or ultrasonography in the asymptomatic woman is not recommended. Neither test has demonstrated utility as a screening test in any population of women. The vast majority of women with tamoxifen-associated uterine carcinoma have early vaginal spotting.

If an adjuvant aromatase inhibitor is considered in women with amenorrhea following treatment, baseline levels of estradiol and gonadotropin followed by serial monitoring of these hormones should be performed if endocrine therapy with an aromatase inhibitor is initiated. Bilateral oophorectomy assures postmenopausal status in young women with therapy-induced amenorrhea and may be considered prior to initiating therapy with an aromatase inhibitor in a young woman.

Symptom management for women on adjuvant endocrine therapies often requires treatment of hot flashes and the treatment of concurrent depression. Venlafaxine has been studied and is an effective intervention in decreasing hot flashes. There is evidence suggesting that concomitant use of tamoxifen with certain SSRIs (eg, paroxetine, fluoxetine) may decrease plasma levels of endoxifen, an active metabolite of tamoxifen. These SSRIs may interfere with the enzymatic conversion of tamoxifen to endoxifen by inhibiting a particular isoform of CYP2D6. However, the SSRIs citalopram, escitalopram, fluvoxamine, gabapentin, sertraline, and venlafaxine appear to have no or only minimal effect on tamoxifen metabolism.

Follow-up also includes assessment of patient adherence to ongoing medication regimens such as endocrine therapies. Predictors of poor adherence to medication include the presence of side effects associated with the medication, and incomplete understanding by the patient of the benefits associated with regular administration of the medication. The Panel recommends the implementation of simple strategies to enhance patient adherence to endocrine therapy, such as direct questioning of the patient during office visits, as well as brief, clear explanations on the value of taking the medication regularly and the therapeutic importance of longer durations of endocrine therapy.

Evidence suggests that a healthy lifestyle may lead to better breast cancer outcomes. A nested case control study of 369 women with ER-positive tumors who developed a second primary breast cancer compared with 734 matched control patients who did not develop a second primary tumor showed an association between obesity (BMI ≥30), smoking, and alcohol consumption and contralateral breast cancer. A prospective study of 1490 women diagnosed with stage I–III breast cancer showed an association between high fruit and vegetable consumption, physical activity, and improved survivorship, regardless of obesity. Thus, the NCCN Panel recommends an active lifestyle and ideal body weight (BMI 20–25) for optimal overall health and breast cancer outcomes.

Many young women treated for breast cancer remain or regain premenopausal status following treatment for breast cancer. For these women, the NCCN Panel discourages the use of hormonal birth control methods, regardless of the hormone receptor status of the tumor. Alternative birth control methods are recommended, including intrauterine devices, barrier methods, and, for those with no intent of future pregnancy, tubal ligation or vasectomy for the partner. Breastfeeding during endocrine or chemotherapy treatment is not
Breastfeeding after breast-conserving treatment for breast cancer is not contraindicated. However, lactation from an irradiated breast may not be possible, or may occur only with a diminished capacity.\textsuperscript{359,360}

The Panel recommends that women on an adjuvant aromatase inhibitor or who experience ovarian failure secondary to treatment should have monitoring of bone health with a bone mineral density determination at baseline and periodically thereafter. The use of estrogen, progesterone, or selective ER modulators to treat osteoporosis or osteopenia in women with breast cancer is discouraged. The use of a bisphosphonate is generally the preferred intervention to improve bone mineral density. Optimal duration of bisphosphonate therapy has not been established. Factors to consider for duration of anti-osteoporosis therapy include bone mineral density, response to therapy, and risk factors for continued bone loss or fracture. Women treated with a bisphosphonate should undergo a dental examination with preventive dentistry prior to the initiation of therapy, and should take supplemental calcium and vitamin D.

**Stage IV Metastatic or Recurrent Breast Cancer**

**Staging and Workup**

The staging evaluation of women who present with metastatic or recurrent breast cancer includes history and physical exam; the performance of a CBC, liver function tests, chest diagnostic CT, bone scan, and radiographs of any long or weight-bearing bones that are painful or appear abnormal on bone scan; consideration of diagnostic CT of the abdomen (with or without diagnostic CT of the pelvis), or MRI scan of the abdomen; and biopsy documentation of first recurrence if possible. The Panel generally discourages the use of sodium fluoride PET or PET/CT scans for the evaluation of patients with recurrent disease, except in those situations where other staging studies are equivocal or suspicious. There is limited evidence (mostly from retrospective studies) to support the use of PET/CT scanning to guide treatment planning through determination of the extent of disease in select patients with recurrent or metastatic disease.\textsuperscript{91,92,361,362} The Panel considers biopsy of equivocal or suspicious sites to be more likely than PET/CT scanning to provide accurate staging information in this population of patients.

The consensus of the Panel is that FDG PET/CT is optional (category 2B) and most helpful in situations where standard imaging results are equivocal or suspicious. The NCCN Panel recommends bone scan or sodium fluoride PET/CT to detect bone metastases (category 2B). However, if the FDG PET results clearly indicate bone metastasis, these scans can be omitted.

The NCCN Panel recommends that metastatic disease at presentation or first recurrence of disease should be biopsied as a part of the workup for patients with recurrent or stage IV disease. This ensures accurate determination of metastatic/recurrent disease and tumor histology, and allows for biomarker determination and selection of appropriate treatment.

Determination of hormone receptor status (ER and PR) and HER2 status should be repeated in cases when it was previously unknown, originally negative, or not overexpressed. ER and PR assays may be falsely negative or falsely positive, and there may be discordance between the primary and metastatic tumors.\textsuperscript{363,364} The reasons for the discordance may relate to change in biology of disease, tumor heterogeneity, or imperfect accuracy and reproducibility of assays.\textsuperscript{364} Discordance between the receptor status of primary and recurrent disease has been reported in a number of studies. The discordance
rates are in the range of 3.4% to 60% for ER negative to ER positive; 7.2% to 31% for ER positive to ER negative; and 0.7% to 10% for HER-2.  

Discordance in receptor status between the primary tumor and recurrence may be a result of several factors, including a change in the biology of the disease, differential effect of prior treatment on clonal subsets, tumor heterogeneity, and less-than-perfect accuracy and reproducibility of receptor and gene amplification assays.

The NCCN Panel recommends that re-testing the receptor status of recurrent disease be performed, especially in cases when it was previously unknown, originally negative, or not overexpressed. For patients with clinical courses consistent with hormone receptor–positive breast cancer, or with prior positive hormone receptor results, the Panel has noted that a course of endocrine therapy is reasonable, regardless of whether the receptor assay is repeated or the result of the most recent hormone receptor assay.

Genetic counseling is recommended if the patient is considered to be at high risk for hereditary breast cancer, as defined by the NCCN Guidelines for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian.

Management of Local Disease Only

Patients with local recurrence only are divided into 3 groups: those who had been treated initially by mastectomy alone, those who had been treated initially by mastectomy with radiation therapy, and those who had received breast-conserving therapy.

In one retrospective study of local recurrence patterns in women with breast cancer who had undergone mastectomy and adjuvant chemotherapy without radiation therapy, the most common sites of local recurrence were at the chest wall and the supraclavicular lymph nodes.  

The recommendations for treatment of the population of patients experiencing a local recurrence only are supported by analyses of a combined database of patients from the EORTC 10801 and Danish Breast Cancer Group 82TM trials. The analyses compared breast-conserving therapy with mastectomy in patients with stage I and stage II disease. The 133 (approximately 8%) patients experiencing a local recurrence as an initial event were approximately equally divided between those who had undergone mastectomy and those who had received breast-conserving therapy as initial treatment for breast cancer. Of those in the former group, 51 (76%) were able to undergo radiation therapy with or without surgery as treatment for local disease recurrence. No difference in survival emerged when patients receiving salvage treatment after initial treatment with mastectomy or breast-conserving therapy were compared; approximately 50% of both groups were alive at 10-year follow-up.

Mastectomy-treated patients should undergo surgical resection of the local recurrence (if it can be accomplished without heroic surgery) and involved-field radiation therapy to the chest wall and supraclavicular area (if the chest wall was not previously treated or if additional radiation therapy may be safely administered). The use of surgical resection in this setting implies the use of limited excision of disease with the goal of obtaining clear margins of resection. Unresectable chest wall recurrent disease should be treated with radiation therapy if no prior radiation has been given. Women with a local recurrence of disease after initial breast-conserving therapy should undergo a total mastectomy and axillary staging if a level I/II axillary dissection was not previously performed. Limited data suggest that a repeat SLN biopsy following local recurrence of disease may be successfully performed in 80% of women who have previously undergone breast-conserving therapy and sentinel node biopsy. The consensus of the Panel is that
the preferred surgical approach for most women with a local recurrence following breast-conserving therapy and sentinel node biopsy is mastectomy and a level I/II axillary dissection, although sentinel node biopsy in lieu of a level I/II axillary dissection can be considered if prior axillary staging was done by sentinel node biopsy only.

After local treatment, women with local recurrences only should be considered for limited duration systemic chemotherapy or endocrine therapy similar to that outlined in the adjuvant chemotherapy section. The Panel emphasized the importance of individualizing treatment strategies in patients with a recurrence of disease limited to a local site.

**Management of Stage IV or Recurrent Metastatic Disease**

The systemic treatment of breast cancer recurrence or stage IV disease prolongs survival and enhances quality of life but is not curative. Therefore, treatments associated with minimal toxicity are preferred. Thus, the use of the minimally toxic endocrine therapies is preferred to the use of cytotoxic therapy whenever reasonable.376

**Guideline Stratification for Therapy in Systemic Disease**

Patients with recurrence of breast cancer or metastatic breast cancer at diagnosis are initially stratified according to whether bone metastasis is present. These two patient subsets are then stratified further by tumor hormone receptor and HER2 status.

**Supportive Therapy for Bone Metastases**

Treatment targeting osteoclast activity is of value in patients with metastatic breast cancer in bone to prevent bone fractures, bone pain requiring radiation therapy, spinal cord compression, and hypercalcemia (skeletal related events; SREs).377-379 The bisphosphonates zoledronic acid or pamidronate have been used for this purpose, and there is extensive clinical trial support for their efficacy in prevention of SREs (see section below on bisphosphonates). Recently, a single, randomized, active, controlled trial in metastatic breast cancer met the primary endpoint of equivalency and achieved a secondary endpoint of superiority of time to the occurrence of SRE with denosumab, a fully human monoclonal antibody directed against RANK ligand, a mediator of osteoclast function380 as compared with zoledronic acid.379 No study of bisphosphonate or denosumab has demonstrated an impact on OS in patients with metastatic disease.

The bisphosphonates and denosumab are associated with the occurrence of osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ). Poor baseline dental health or dental procedures during treatment are known risk factors for ONJ. Thus, a dental examination with preventive dentistry intervention is recommended prior to treatment with intravenous bisphosphonate or denosumab, and dental procedures during treatment should be avoided if at all possible. Additional risk factors for the development of ONJ include administration of chemotherapy or corticosteroids and poor oral hygiene with periodontal disease and dental abscesses.381

Confirmation of metastatic disease by imaging, including x-ray, diagnostic CT, or MRI; and initial evaluation of serum calcium, creatinine, phosphorous, and magnesium levels should be undertaken prior to the initiation of intravenous bisphosphonate treatment or subcutaneous denosumab treatment in patients with metastatic disease. Frequent measurement of calcium, phosphorous, and magnesium may be prudent since hypophosphatemia and hypocalcemia have been reported.

**Bisphosphonates**

An intravenous bisphosphonate (eg, pamidronate, zoledronic acid) in combination with oral calcium citrate and vitamin D supplementation should be used in women with bone metastasis, especially if lytic and/or
in weight-bearing bone, if expected survival is 3 months or longer, and if creatinine levels are below 3.0 mg/dL (category 1). Bisphosphonates are given in addition to chemotherapy or endocrine therapy. Zoledronic acid may be superior to pamidronate in lytic breast metastasis.

There are extensive data from randomized trials in support of the use of bisphosphonates for patients with metastatic disease to bone. The randomized clinical trial data include the use of zoledronic acid and pamidronate in the United States and ibandronate and clodronate in European countries. In metastatic bone disease, bisphosphonate treatment is associated with fewer skeletal-related events, fewer pathologic fractures, and less need for radiation therapy and surgery to treat bone pain.

The use of bisphosphonates in metastatic disease is a palliative care measure. No impact on OS has been observed in patients treated with bisphosphonates. The data indicate that zoledronic acid and pamidronate may be given on a 3- to 5-week schedule in conjunction with antineoplastic therapy (ie, endocrine therapy, chemotherapy, biologic therapy). The use of bisphosphonates should be accompanied by calcium and vitamin D supplementation with daily doses of calcium of 1200 to 1500 mg and vitamin D₃ 400 to 800 IU. Recommended agents for use in the United States are pamidronate 90 mg intravenously over 2 hours or zoledronic acid 4 mg intravenously over 15 minutes. The original studies continued treatment for up to 24 months; however, there are limited long-term safety data indicating treatment can continue beyond that time. The risk of renal toxicity necessitates monitoring of serum creatinine prior to administration of each dose and dose reduction or discontinuation if renal function is reduced. Current clinical trial results support the use of bisphosphonates for up to 2 years. Longer durations of bisphosphonate therapy may provide additional benefit, but this has not yet been tested in clinical trials.

ONJ, a complication of bisphosphonate treatment, has been described. In a review of more than 16,000 cancer patients, an increased risk of jaw or facial bone surgery along with an increased risk of being diagnosed with inflammatory conditions or osteomyelitis of the jaw with the use of intravenous bisphosphonates was documented. An absolute risk of 5.48 events per 100 patients treated was seen, with an increase in risk associated with an increase in cumulative dose of drug. It is recommended that patients should undergo a dental examination with preventive dentistry prior to initiation of bisphosphonate therapy.

Denosumab
Women with metastatic breast cancer to bone who are candidates for bisphosphonate therapy may also be considered for treatment with denosumab (category 1). This recommendation is based upon the results of a single randomized trial comparing denosumab to zoledronic acid. All trial patients were recommended to supplement with vitamin D and calcium. Patients on the experimental arm were given 120 mg of denosumab injected subcutaneously every 4 weeks plus intravenous placebo versus the control arm where patients were given an intravenous infusion of 4 mg of zoledronic acid every 4 weeks, and a subcutaneous placebo. In this trial with non-inferiority as the primary endpoint, denosumab was shown to significantly delay time to first SRE by 18% as compared with zoledronic acid (HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.71–0.95; P < .001 for non-inferiority; P = .01 for superiority) and time to first and subsequent SREs (rate ratio, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.66–0.89; P = .001). No difference in time to progression or OS was observed. Adverse event profiles were similar for the two groups, including incidence of ONJ, with a reduced risk of renal-related and acute phase adverse
events in the denosumab treatment group. Long-term risks of denosumab treatment are unknown. The optimal duration of treatment with denosumab is not known.

**Endocrine Therapy for Stage IV or Recurrent Metastatic Disease**

Women with recurrent or metastatic disease characterized by tumors that are ER and/or PR positive are appropriate candidates for initial endocrine therapy.

Endocrine therapies in postmenopausal women include nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitors (anastrozole and letrozole); steroidal aromatase inhibitors (exemestane); serum ER modulators (tamoxifen or toremifene) ER down-regulators (fulvestrant); progestin (megestrol acetate); androgens (fluoxymesterone); and high-dose estrogen (ethinyl estradiol). After second-line endocrine therapy, little high-level evidence exists to assist in selecting the optimal sequence of endocrine therapy.

In premenopausal women, endocrine therapies include selective ER modulators (tamoxifen or toremifene); luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) agonists (goserelin and leuprolide); surgical or radiotherapeutic oophorectomy; progestin (megestrol acetate); androgens (fluoxymesterone); and high-dose estrogen (ethinyl estradiol). For most premenopausal patients following therapy with tamoxifen, the use of ovarian suppression or ablation in combination with endocrine therapy for premenopausal women is appropriate. After second-line endocrine therapy, little high-level evidence exists to assist in selecting the optimal sequence of endocrine therapy.

Endocrine therapy may be active in patients with negative ER and PR determinations, especially on the primary tumor and in soft tissue disease and/or bone dominant disease. Endocrine therapy is also associated with relatively low toxicity. Further, false-negative determinations of ER and PR tumor status are not unusual and the hormone receptor status of primary and metastatic sites of disease may differ. The NCCN Breast Cancer Panel recommends consideration of a trial of endocrine therapy for patients with disease characterized as hormone receptor-negative or hormone receptor-positive and endocrine refractory, and localized to the bone or soft tissue only or asymptomatic visceral disease, irrespective of HER2 tumor status.

For postmenopausal women who are antiestrogen naive or who are more than 1 year from previous antiestrogen therapy, the options include either an aromatase inhibitor, selective ER modulator, or an ER down-regulator. According to some studies, aromatase inhibitors appear to have superior outcome compared with tamoxifen, although the differences are modest. A Cochrane review has also suggested a survival benefit favoring the aromatase inhibitors over other endocrine therapies, although the advantage is small. A randomized phase III trial comparing tamoxifen and exemestane as first-line endocrine therapy for postmenopausal women with metastatic breast cancer showed no significant differences in progression-free survival (PFS) or OS between the two arms. A randomized phase II study compared anastrozole versus fulvestrant in over 200 patients with advanced breast cancer. In the initial analysis, fulvestrant was as effective as anastrozole in terms of overall response (36.0% vs. 35.5%; odds ratio, 1.02; 95% CI, 0.56–1.87; \( P = .947 \)) in evaluable patients (n= 89 for fulvestrant and n=93 for anastrozole). The updated follow-up results showed an improved time to progression with fulvestrant compared to anastrozole (median time to progression was 23.4 months for fulvestrant vs. 13.1 months for anastrozole; HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.39–1.00; \( P = .0496 \)). This study used a higher 500 mg loading dose every 2 weeks for 3 doses and then 500 mg monthly. Fulvestrant appears to be at least as effective as anastrozole in patients whose disease progressed on previous tamoxifen, and a reanalysis of these
A phase II study of fulvestrant in postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer and disease progression following aromatase inhibitor therapy documented a partial response rate of 14.3% with an additional 20.8% of patients achieving stable disease for at least 6 months. The clinical benefit rates of exemestane and fulvestrant observed in a phase III trial of postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive advanced breast cancer who experienced disease progression on prior nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor therapy were comparable (32.2% vs. 31.5%; \( P = .853 \)). In that study, fulvestrant was administered as a 500 mg loading dose followed by doses of 250 mg on day 14, day 28, and then monthly. A separate phase III randomized study in postmenopausal women with metastatic estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer compared fulvestrant 500 mg every 2 weeks for 3 doses followed by 500 mg monthly versus fulvestrant 250 mg monthly. The PFS was superior with the fulvestrant 500 mg regimen (HR 0.80; 95% CI, 0.68–0.94; \( P = .006 \)), indicating an increased duration of response with the higher dose of fulvestrant.

Combination endocrine therapy in postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive, previously untreated metastatic breast cancer has been reported from two studies comparing single-agent anastrozole versus anastrozole plus fulvestrant. In one study (FACT), combination endocrine therapy was not superior to single-agent anastrozole (time to progression HR 0.99; 95% CI, 0.81–1.20; \( P = .91 \)). In the second study (S0226), PFS (HR 0.80; 95% CI, 0.68–0.94; stratified log-rank \( P = .007 \)) and OS (HR 0.81; 95% CI, 0.65–1.00; stratified \( P = .049 \)) were superior with combination anastrozole plus fulvestrant. An unplanned subset analysis in this trial suggested that patients without prior adjuvant tamoxifen experienced the greatest benefit. The reason for the divergent outcomes in these two studies is not known.

A phase III trial studied the effect of fulvestrant alone or in combination with anastrozole or exemestane in patients with advanced breast cancer and an acquired non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor-resistant disease. An aromatase inhibitor had been given as adjuvant treatment to 18% of patients for a median of 27.9 months, and to 82% of patients for locally advanced/metastatic disease for a median of 19.3 months. Median PFS was 4.8 months, 4.4 months, and 3.4 months for patients treated with fulvestrant alone, anastrozole plus fulvestrant, and fulvestrant plus exemestane, respectively. No differences were observed for overall response rate, clinical benefit rate, and OS. This trial provides no evidence that adding an aromatase inhibitor to fulvestrant in patients with non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor-resistant disease improves the results achieved with fulvestrant alone. In postmenopausal women who have received previous antiestrogen therapy and are within one year of antiestrogen exposure, there is evidence supporting the use of a selective aromatase inhibitor as the preferred first-line therapy for their recurrent disease.

In premenopausal women with previous antiestrogen therapy who are within one year of antiestrogen exposure, the preferred second-line therapy is either surgical or radiotherapeutic oophorectomy or LH-RH agonists with endocrine therapy as for postmenopausal women. In premenopausal women without previous exposure to an antiestrogen, initial treatment is with an antiestrogen alone, or ovarian suppression or ablation plus endocrine therapy as for postmenopausal women. Limited studies document a PFS advantage of adding trastuzumab or lapatinib to aromatase inhibition in postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer.
Resistance to endocrine therapy in women with hormone receptor-positive disease is frequent. One mechanism of resistance to endocrine therapy is activation of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signal transduction pathway. Several randomized studies have investigated the use of aromatase inhibition in combination with inhibitors of the mTOR pathway.

A randomized phase II study estimated the efficacy of tamoxifen alone versus tamoxifen combined with everolimus, an oral inhibitor of mTOR, in women with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer previously treated with an aromatase inhibitor. After a median follow-up of 13 months, an intent-to-treat analysis showed that the clinical benefit was 42.1% (95% CI, 29.1–55.9) with tamoxifen alone and 61.1% (95% CI, 46.9–74.1) with tamoxifen plus everolimus. An improvement in median time to progression was seen when everolimus was combined with tamoxifen compared with tamoxifen alone. Median time to progression was 4.5 months (95% CI, 3.7–8.7) with tamoxifen alone versus 8.5 months (95% CI, 6.01–13.9) with everolimus and tamoxifen.

A phase III trial in postmenopausal women with advanced, hormone receptor-positive breast cancer with no prior endocrine therapy for advanced disease randomized subjects to letrozole with or without the mTOR inhibitor temsirolimus has been reported. In this study, PFS was not different between the treatment arms (HR 0.89; 95% CI 0.75–1.05; long-rank \( P = .18 \)).

A phase III study in postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive advanced breast cancer that had progressed or recurred during treatment with a nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor (BOLERO-2) was recently reported that randomized subjects to exemestane with or without the mTOR inhibitor everolimus. In this study, median PFS was 10.6 months and 4.1 months, respectively, according to central assessment (HR, 0.36; 95% CI, 0.27–0.47; \( P < 0.001 \)). The toxicity experience was also increased with the addition of everolimus.

The reasons for the differences in the outcomes of these two randomized phase III studies is uncertain, but may be related to the issues of patient selection and extent of prior endocrine therapy.

The NCCN Panel unanimously agreed that the evidence from the BOLERO-2 trial is compelling enough to consider the addition of everolimus to exemestane in women who fulfill the entry criteria for BOLERO-2.

Many premenopausal and postmenopausal women with hormone-responsive breast cancer benefit from sequential use of endocrine therapies at disease progression. Therefore, women with breast cancers who respond to an endocrine maneuver with either shrinkage of the tumor or long-term disease stabilization (clinical benefit) should receive additional endocrine therapy at disease progression. Additional endocrine therapies for second-line and subsequent therapy are listed in the algorithms.

**Cytotoxic Chemotherapy for Stage IV or Recurrent Metastatic Disease**

Women with hormone receptor-negative tumors not localized to the bone or soft tissue only, that are associated with symptomatic visceral metastasis, or that have hormone receptor-positive tumors that are refractory to endocrine therapy should receive chemotherapy. A variety of chemotherapy regimens are felt to be appropriate, as outlined in the treatment algorithm. Combination chemotherapy generally provides higher rates of objective response and longer time to progression, in comparison to single-agent chemotherapy. Combination chemotherapy is, however, associated with an increase in toxicity, and is of little
survival benefit. Furthermore, administering single agents sequentially decreases the likelihood that dose reductions will be needed. Thus, the Panel finds little compelling evidence that combination chemotherapy is superior to sequential single agents. Standard clinical practice is to continue first-line chemotherapy until progression. Adverse effects may require dose reduction and cessation of chemotherapy prior to disease progression. Limited information suggests that PFS can be prolonged with the use of continuous chemotherapy versus shorter-course chemotherapy. Due to the lack of OS differences, the use of prolonged versus shorter chemotherapy needs to be weighed against the detrimental effects of continuous chemotherapy on overall quality of life.

Single cytotoxic agents and combination chemotherapy regimens recommended by the Panel for the treatment of patients with metastatic disease are listed in the NCCN Guidelines.

**Single Agents**

Single agents are categorized as either preferred or other single agents based on a balance of the efficacy, toxicity, and treatment schedules of the drugs. Among preferred single agents, the Panel includes: the anthracyclines, doxorubicin, epirubicin, and pegylated liposomal doxorubicin; the taxanes, paclitaxel, docetaxel, and albumin-bound paclitaxel; anti-metabolites, capecitabine and gemcitabine; and non-taxane microtubule inhibitors, eribulin and vinorelbine.

Eribulin is a non-taxane microtubule inhibitor used for the treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer who have previously received at least two chemotherapeutic regimens for the treatment of metastatic disease. Prior therapy should have included an anthracycline and a taxane in either the adjuvant or metastatic setting. In a phase III trial, 762 patients with metastatic breast cancer were randomized 2:1 to eribulin or treatment of physicians’ choice. One-year OS was 53.9% for patients receiving eribulin versus 43.7% for the control arm, and median OS was 13.12 versus 10.65 months, representing a 19% statistically significant risk reduction ($P = .041$). Time to progression was greater with eribulin 3.7 versus 2.2 months for patients in the control arm ($P = .14$).

Among other single agents, the Panel includes: cyclophosphamide, carboplatin, docetaxel, albumin-bound paclitaxel, cisplatin, ixabepilone, and epirubicin.

Ixabepilone, an epothilone B analogue, is also used for treatment of recurrent or metastatic breast cancer as a single agent. Use of ixabepilone as monotherapy has been evaluated in several phase II trials of women with metastatic breast cancer: in a first-line setting in patients previously treated with anthracycline chemotherapy in patients with taxane-resistant metastatic breast cancer; and in patients with advanced breast cancer resistant to an anthracycline, a taxane, and capecitabine. In the phase II trials, objective response rate, median duration of response, and median OS duration were 41.5% (95% CI, 29.4%–54.4%), 8.2 months (95% CI, 5.7–10.2 months), and 22.0 months (95% CI, 15.6–27.0 months) in the first-line setting; 12% (95% CI, 4.7%–26.5%), 10.4 months, and 7.9 months for the taxane-resistant patients; and 11.5% (95% CI, 6.3%–18.9%), 5.7 months, and 8.6 months for the patients previously treated with an anthracycline, a taxane, and capecitabine. In the study of Perez et al, grade 3/4 treatment-related toxicities included peripheral sensory neuropathy (14%) and neutropenia (54%).

**Combination Regimens**

Among-combination regimens, the Panel includes cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, fluorouracil (FAC/CAF); fluorouracil, epirubicin,
cyclophosphamide (FEC); doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide (AC); epirubicin, cyclophosphamide (EC); cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, fluorouracil (CMF); docetaxel, capecitabine; gemcitabine, paclitaxel; gemcitabine, carboplatin; and paclitaxel, bevacizumab.

A series of trials have sought to define the role for bevacizumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody against the vascular endothelial growth factor in the treatment of metastatic breast cancer. The E2100 trial randomized 722 women with recurrent or metastatic breast cancer to first-line chemotherapy with paclitaxel with or without bevacizumab. This trial documented superior PFS (11.8 months vs. 5.9 months; HR 0.60; \( P < .001 \)) favoring bevacizumab plus paclitaxel compared with paclitaxel alone. A similar trial enrolled 736 patients who were randomized to treatment with docetaxel and bevacizumab or docetaxel and placebo. This trial also documented increased PFS in the arm containing bevacizumab (10.1 months vs. 8.2 months with docetaxel alone; HR 0.77; \( P = .006 \)). An additional trial, RIBBON-1, combined bevacizumab with capecitabine, with a taxane (docetaxel, nab-paclitaxel), with anthracyclines (FEC, CAF, AC, or EC), or with the same chemotherapy alone. Results of this trial show a statistically significant increase in PFS with bevacizumab and capecitabine (8.6 months vs. 5.7 months; HR 0.69; \( P < .001 \)); and taxane or anthracyclines (9.2 months vs. 8.0 months; HR 0.64; \( P < .001 \)) containing arms. None of these studies demonstrate an increase in OS or quality of life when analyzed alone or in a meta-analysis combining the trials. The increase in PFS with bevacizumab is modest, and appears the greatest in combination with paclitaxel, especially as reported in an unpublished analysis provided to the FDA.

As with endocrine therapy, sequential responses are often observed with chemotherapy, supporting the use of sequential single agents and combination chemotherapy regimens. The current guidelines include doses and schedules of these single agents and combination regimens for metastatic breast cancer. Failure to achieve a tumor response to 3 sequential chemotherapy regimens or ECOG performance status of 3 or greater is an indication for supportive therapy only. In this context, failure to respond to a chemotherapy regimen means the absence of even a marginal response to the use of a given chemotherapy regimen. Response to a chemotherapy regimen followed by progression of disease is not considered a failure to experience response.

Patients with metastatic breast cancer frequently develop many anatomically localized problems that may benefit from local irradiation, surgery, or regional chemotherapy (eg, intrathecal methotrexate for leptomeningeal carcinomatosis).

**HER2-Targeted Therapy for Stage IV or Recurrent Metastatic Disease**

Patients with tumors that are HER2-positive may derive benefit from treatment with HER2 targeted therapy in combination with selected chemotherapeutic agents, or the combination of capecitabine plus lapatinib for those refractory to therapy with an anthracycline, a taxane, and trastuzumab. The Panel recommends selecting patients for HER2-targeted therapy if their tumors are either positive for HER2 byISH or 3+ by IHC. HER2 testing recommendations are described in the guideline. Patients with tumors IHC 0 or 1+ for HER2 or ISH not amplified have very low rates of HER2-targeted response, and therapy with trastuzumab or lapatinib is not warranted. Adequate standardization and validation of HER2 assays by ISH and IHC used in clinical practice is a concern, and data suggest that false-positive determinations are common. Recommendations regarding HER2 testing have been published.
First-Line Regimens for HER-2 Positive Tumors

The NCCN Panel has categorized HER-2 targeting regimens as either preferred or other.

Preferred First-Line Regimens

Pertuzumab is a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody that inhibits the ligand-dependent dimerization of HER2 and its downstream signaling. Pertuzumab and trastuzumab bind to different epitopes of HER2 receptor and have complementary mechanisms of action. When administered together in HER2-positive tumor models and in humans, they provide a greater overall anti-tumor effect than either alone.

A randomized, double-blind, phase III study compared the efficacy and safety of pertuzumab in combination with trastuzumab and docetaxel versus trastuzumab and docetaxel as first-line treatment for HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. The primary endpoint of the study was independent assessment of PFS. The secondary endpoints were PFS assessed by investigator, objective response rate, OS, and safety. A total of 808 patients were enrolled in this trial. The addition of pertuzumab provided a statistically significant improvement in PFS compared to trastuzumab plus docetaxel alone. The median independently assessed PFS was increased by 6.1 months, from 12.4 months in the control group to 18.5 months in the pertuzumab group (HR for progression or death, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.51–0.75; P < .001).

Peripheral edema and constipation were greater in the control group. Cardiac adverse events or left ventricular systolic dysfunction were reported slightly more frequently in the control group. Health-related quality of life was not different in the two treatment groups.

Phase II trails have also found activity and tolerability for pertuzumab, pertuzumab with trastuzumab, and for other regimens combining pertuzumab and trastuzumab together with other active cytotoxics (ie, paclitaxel, vinorelbine). Phase III trials of pertuzumab plus chemotherapy without trastuzumab have not been reported.

The NCCN Panel recommends pertuzumab plus trastuzumab in combination with a taxane as a preferred option for first-line treatment of patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab in combination with docetaxel is NCCN category 1 and in combination with paclitaxel is a category 2A recommendation.

Other First-Line Regimens for HER-2 Positive Tumors

First-line trastuzumab in combination with selected chemotherapeutics or as a single agent is another option for HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer patients. Randomized trials demonstrate benefit from adding trastuzumab to other agents including paclitaxel with or without carboplatin, docetaxel, and vinorelbine, or as a single agent for patients with HER2-positive disease. In addition, the combination of trastuzumab and capecitabine has also shown efficacy as a first-line trastuzumab-containing regimen in this population of patients.

For those patients with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-positive disease, the Panel recommends initial treatment with endocrine therapy, an approach consistent with most of these studies. The Panel believes the 27% frequency of significant cardiac dysfunction in patients treated with the combination of trastuzumab and doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide chemotherapy in the
metastatic setting is too high for use of this combination outside the confines of a prospective clinical trial.\textsuperscript{205,454,455}

The NCCN Panel has listed trastuzumab with the following agents: paclitaxel alone or along with carboplatin; docetaxel; vinorelbine; and capecitabine as other first-line regimens for patients with HER-2 positive systemic disease.

\textit{Regimens for Trastuzumab-Exposed \textit{HER2-Positive Disease}}

The NCCN Panel recommends continuation of HER2 blockade for patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer that progresses on first-line trastuzumab-containing regimens. This recommendation also applies to the new class of patients who are diagnosed with HER2-positive metastatic disease following prior exposure to trastuzumab in the adjuvant setting. Several trials have demonstrated benefit of continuation of trastuzumab therapy following disease progression on a trastuzumab-containing regimen.\textsuperscript{456-458} However, the optimal duration of trastuzumab in patients with long-term control of disease is unknown.

Pertuzumab is active in patients beyond the first-line setting. The results of a multicenter, open-label, single-arm, phase II study (n=66) show that the combination of pertuzumab and trastuzumab is active and well tolerated in patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer that has progressed on prior trastuzumab therapy. The trial reported an objective response rate of 24.2\% and a clinical benefit rate of 50\%.\textsuperscript{459}

To determine whether the clinical benefit seen in the study was from pertuzumab alone or was a result of the combined effect of pertuzumab and trastuzumab, a cohort of patients (n = 29) whose disease progressed during prior trastuzumab-based therapy received pertuzumab monotherapy until progressive disease or unacceptable toxicity. Of these, patients with disease progression (n = 17) continued to receive pertuzumab with the addition of trastuzumab. In the 29 patients who received pertuzumab monotherapy, the objective response rate and clinical benefit rate reported were 3.4\% and 10.3\%, respectively, whereas in the patients who received dual blockade after progression on pertuzumab, the objective response rate and clinical benefit rate were 17.6\% and 41.2\%, respectively.\textsuperscript{460}

According to the NCCN Panel, for patients with disease progression after treatment with trastuzumab-based therapy without pertuzumab, a line of therapy containing both trastuzumab plus pertuzumab with or without a cytotoxic agent (such as vinorelbine or taxane) may be considered. Further research is needed to determine the ideal sequencing strategy for anti-HER2 therapy.

The regimen of capecitabine plus lapatinib is also an option for patients with HER2-positive disease following progression on a trastuzumab-containing regimen. A phase III study compared lapatinib plus capecitabine with capecitabine alone in women with advanced or metastatic breast cancer refractory to trastuzumab in the metastatic setting and with prior treatment with an anthracycline and a taxane in either the metastatic or adjuvant setting.\textsuperscript{461} Time to progression was increased in the group receiving combination therapy when compared with the group receiving capecitabine monotherapy (8.4 months vs. 4.4 months; HR 0.49; 95\% CI, 0.34–0.71; \textit{P} < .001). Another study of women with metastatic breast cancer showed that lapatinib in combination with letrozole increased PFS over letrozole alone in the subset of women with HER2-positive cancer (3.0 months for letrozole and placebo vs. 8.2 months for letrozole and lapatinib; HR, 0.71; 95\% CI, 0.53–0.96; \textit{P} = .019).\textsuperscript{419} In addition, results from a phase III trial in which patients with heavily pretreated metastatic breast cancer and disease progression on trastuzumab therapy were randomly assigned...
to monotherapy with lapatinib or trastuzumab plus lapatinib showed that PFS was increased from 8.1 weeks to 12 weeks ($P = .008$) with the combination. Based on the absence of data, the Panel does not recommend the addition of chemotherapy to the trastuzumab/lapatinib combination.

The guidelines include doses and schedules of representative regimens for use in HER-2 positive metastatic breast cancer. The optimal duration of HER2-targeted therapy in patients with long-term disease control is unknown.

### Surgery for Stage IV or Recurrent Metastatic Disease

The primary treatment approach recommended by the NCCN Panel for women with metastatic breast cancer and an intact primary tumor is systemic therapy, with consideration of surgery after initial systemic treatment for those women requiring palliation of symptoms or with impending complications, such as skin ulceration, bleeding, fungation, and pain. Generally such surgery should be undertaken only if complete local clearance of tumor may be obtained and if other sites of disease are not immediately threatening to life. Alternatively, radiation therapy may be considered as an option to surgery. Often such surgery requires collaboration between the breast surgeon and the reconstructive surgeon to provide optimal cancer control and wound closure.

Retrospective studies suggest a potential survival benefit from complete excision of the in breast tumor in select patients with metastatic breast cancer. Substantial selection biases exist in all of these studies and are likely to confound the study results. Nevertheless, the Panel recognizes the need for randomized clinical trials that will address the risks and benefits of local therapy for patients with stage IV disease while eliminating selection biases. Patient enrollment in such trials is encouraged.

### Distant Sites of Recurrence Requiring Consideration of Therapies Local to the Metastatic Site

Surgery, radiation, or regional chemotherapy (eg, intrathecal methotrexate) may be indicated as needed for localized clinical scenarios such as brain metastases, leptomeningeal disease, choroid metastases, pleural effusion, pericardial effusion, biliary obstruction, ureteral obstruction, impending pathologic fracture, cord compression, localized painful bone, or soft-tissue disease.

The guidelines include consideration of the addition of hyperthermia to irradiation for localized recurrences/metastasis (category 3). There have been several prospective randomized trials comparing radiation to radiation plus hyperthermia in the treatment of locally advanced/recurrent cancers, primarily breast cancer chest wall recurrences. While there is heterogeneity among the study results, a series with strict quality assurance demonstrated a statistically significant increase in local tumor response and greater duration of local control with the addition of hyperthermia to radiation compared to radiation alone. No differences in OS have been demonstrated. Delivery of local hyperthermia is technically demanding and requires specialized expertise and equipment (eg, the monitoring of temperatures and management of possible tissue burns). The Panel thus recommends that the use of hyperthermia be limited to treatment centers with appropriate training, expertise, and equipment. The addition of hyperthermia generated substantial discussion and controversy among the Panel and is a category 3 recommendation.
Monitoring Metastatic Disease

Monitoring the treatment of metastatic breast cancer involves a wide array of assessments and the need for the clinician to integrate several different forms of information, to make a determination of the effectiveness of treatment and the acceptability of toxicity. The information includes those from direct observations of the patient including patient reported symptoms, performance status, change in weight, and physical examination; laboratory tests such as alkaline phosphatase, liver function, blood counts, and calcium; radiographic imaging; functional imaging; and, where appropriate, tumor biomarkers. The results of these evaluations generally are classified as response, continued response to treatment, stable disease, uncertainty regarding disease status, or progression of disease. The clinician typically must assess and balance multiple different forms of information to make a determination regarding whether disease is being controlled and the toxicity of treatment is acceptable. Sometimes, this information may be contradictory.

The Panel recommends using widely accepted criteria for reporting response, stability, and progression of disease such as the RECIST criteria and the WHO criteria. The NCCN Panel also recommends using the same method of assessment over time. For example, an abnormality initially found on diagnostic CT scan of the chest should be monitored with repeat diagnostic CT scans of the chest.

The optimal frequency of testing is uncertain, and is primarily based on the monitoring strategies utilized in breast cancer clinical trials. The NCCN Guidelines provide a table outlining general recommendations for the frequency and type of monitoring as a baseline before initiation of new therapy, for monitoring the effectiveness of cytotoxic chemotherapy and endocrine therapy, and assessment when there is evidence of disease progression. The panel has indicated in a footnote that the frequency of monitoring can be reduced in patients who have long-term stable disease. These are guidelines and should be modified for the individual patient using clinical judgement, especially in those with stable or responding disease for long periods of time.

Special Situations

Paget’s Disease

Paget’s disease of the breast is a rare manifestation of breast cancer characterized by neoplastic cells in the epidermis of the NAC. It most commonly presents with eczema of the areola, bleeding, ulceration, and itching of the nipple. The diagnosis is often delayed because of the rare nature of the condition and confusion with other dermatologic conditions. There is an associated cancer elsewhere in the breast in up to about 80% to 90% of cases. The associated cancers are not necessarily located adjacent to the NAC and may be either DCIS or invasive cancer.

Women with clinical signs that raise suspicion for Paget’s disease require a complete history and physical examination and diagnostic breast imaging. Any breast lesion identified by imaging or examination should be evaluated according to the NCCN Guidelines for Breast Screening and Diagnosis. The skin of the NAC should undergo surgical biopsy, including the full thickness of the epidermis including at least a portion of any clinically involved NAC. When biopsy of the NAC is positive for Paget’s disease, breast MRI is recommended to define the extent of disease and identify additional disease.

There are no category 1 data that specifically address local management of Paget’s disease. Systemic therapy is based on the
Management of Paget’s disease has traditionally been total mastectomy with axillary dissection. Total mastectomy remains a reasonable option for patients regardless of the absence or presence of an associated breast cancer. Data demonstrate that satisfactory local control may be achieved with breast-conserving surgery including the excision with negative margins of any underlying breast cancer along with resection of the NAC followed by whole breast radiation therapy. The risk of ipsilateral breast recurrence after breast-conserving NAC resection and radiation therapy with or without an associated cancer is similar to that with breast-conserving surgery and radiation therapy with the typical invasive or in situ cancer.

For Paget’s disease without an associated cancer (ie, no palpable mass or imaging abnormality), it is recommended that breast-conserving surgery consist of removal of the entire NAC with a negative margin of underlying breast tissue. In cases with an associated cancer elsewhere in the breast, the surgery includes removal of the NAC with a negative margin, and removal of the peripheral cancer using standard breast-conserving technique to achieve a negative margin. It is not necessary to remove the NAC and the peripheral cancer in continuity in a single surgical specimen or through a single incision. Mastectomy also remains an appropriate treatment option.

ALN staging is not necessary when breast-conserving therapy is used to treat Paget’s disease with underlying DCIS without evidence of invasive cancer following clinical examination, imaging evaluation, and full-thickness skin biopsy of the involved NAC. In the presence of an underlying invasive breast cancer treated with breast-conserving surgery, axillary surgery should be performed according to the Surgical Axillary Staging outlined in the NCCN Guidelines. In cases treated by total mastectomy, axillary staging is recommended for patients with invasive disease and should also be considered for patients with underlying DCIS without evidence of invasive disease. This is because the final pathology may reveal an invasive cancer in the mastectomy specimen and the mastectomy precludes subsequent sentinel node biopsy. Two retrospective studies have provided evidence for a high degree of accuracy in the identification of the sentinel node(s) in patients with Paget’s disease. Patients treated with breast conservation should receive whole breast radiation. Extended field radiation to regional lymph nodes should be used in cases of an associated invasive breast cancer with involved lymph nodes as for any breast cancer as described in the initial sections of the NCCN Guidelines. A radiation boost should be considered for the site of the resected NAC and any associated resected cancer site, if applicable.

Women with an associated invasive cancer have substantial risk of developing metastases. Adjuvant systemic therapy should be administered according to the stage of the cancer. Women with Paget’s disease treated with breast conservation and without an associated cancer or those with associated ER-positive DCIS should consider tamoxifen for risk reduction. Those with an associated invasive cancer should receive adjuvant systemic therapy based on the stage and hormone receptor status.

Phyllodes Tumors of the Breast
(also known as phyllodes tumors, cystosarcoma phyllodes)
Phyllodes tumors of the breast are rare tumors comprised of both stromal and epithelial elements. Phyllodes tumors exist in benign, borderline, and malignant subtypes, although there is not uniform agreement on the criteria for assigning subtype or for predicting
biological behavior. Subtype of phyllodes tumor appears less important for risk of recurrence than does the margin of tumor-free resection achieved by surgical treatment. Diagnosis of phyllodes tumors prior to excisional biopsy/lumpectomy is uncommon. Phyllodes tumors occur in an older age distribution than fibroadenoma, a younger age distribution than the invasive ductal and lobular cancers, and with a mean age of 40. Phyllodes tumors often enlarge rapidly and are usually painless. Phyllodes tumors often appear on ultrasound and mammography as fibroadenomas, and FNA cytology and even core needle biopsy are inadequate to reliably distinguish phyllodes tumors from fibroadenoma. Thus, in the setting of a large or rapidly enlarging clinical fibroadenoma, excisional biopsy should be considered to pathologically exclude a phyllodes tumor. Patients with Li-Fraumeni syndrome (germline TP53 mutation, see NCCN Guidelines for Genetic/Familial High Risk Assessment) have an increased risk for phyllodes tumors. Local recurrences of phyllodes tumors are the most common site of recurrence. Most distant recurrences occur in the lung, and may be solid nodules or thin-walled cavities. Treatment of phyllodes tumors (which includes benign, borderline, and malignant subtypes) is with local surgical excision with tumor-free margins of 1 cm or greater. Lumpectomy or partial mastectomy is the preferred surgical therapy. Total mastectomy is necessary only if negative margins cannot be obtained by lumpectomy or partial mastectomy. Since phyllodes tumors rarely metastasize to the ALNs, surgical axillary staging or ALN dissection is not necessary unless the lymph nodes are pathologic on clinical examination. In those patients who experience a local recurrence, resection of the recurrence with wide tumor-free surgical margins should be performed. Some members of the Panel recommend local radiation therapy of the remaining breast or chest wall following resection of a local recurrence, but this recommendation is controversial (category 2B).

While the epithelial component of most phyllodes tumors contains ER (58%) and/or PR (75%), endocrine therapy has no proven role in the treatment of phyllodes tumors. Similarly, there is no evidence that adjuvant cytotoxic chemotherapy provides benefit in reduction of recurrences or death. In the rare patient who experiences a systemic recurrence (usually in the lung), treatment should be as recommended in the NCCN Guidelines for Soft Tissue Sarcoma.

Breast Cancer During Pregnancy

Breast cancer occurring concurrently with pregnancy is an infrequent clinical event. In a California registry study, there were 1.3 breast cancers diagnosed per 10,000 live births. Unfortunately, breast cancer during pregnancy is most often ALN-positive and with larger primary tumor size. Histologically the tumors are poorly differentiated, are more frequently ER/PR-negative, and approximately 30% are HER2-positive. The diagnosis is often delayed because neither the patient nor the physician suspects malignancy.

Evaluation of the pregnant patient with suspected breast cancer should include a physical examination with particular attention to the breast and regional lymph nodes. Mammogram of the breast with shielding can be done safely and the accuracy is reported to be greater than 80%. Ultrasound of the breast and regional lymph nodes can be used to assess the extent of disease and also to guide biopsy. Ultrasound has been reported to be abnormal in up to 100% of breast cancers occurring during pregnancy. Biopsies for cytologic evaluation of a suspicious breast mass may be done with FNA of the breast and suspicious lymph nodes. However, the preferred technique is core needle biopsy. This provides tissue for histologic confirmation of invasive disease as well as adequate tissue for hormone receptor and HER2 analyses.
Staging assessment of the pregnant patient with breast cancer may be guided by clinical disease stage. The staging studies should be tailored to minimize fetal exposure to radiation. For clinically node-negative T1-T2 tumors, a chest x-ray (with shielding), liver function and renal function assessment, and CBC with differential are appropriate. In patients who have clinically node-positive or T3 breast lesions, in addition to the aforementioned, an ultrasound of the liver and consideration of a screening MRI of the thoracic and lumbar spine without contrast may be employed. The documentation of the presence of metastases may alter the treatment plan and influence the patient’s decision regarding maintenance of the pregnancy. Assessment of the pregnancy should include a maternal fetal medicine consultation and review of antecedent maternal risks such as hypertension, diabetes, and complications with prior pregnancies. Documentation of fetal growth and development and fetal age by means of ultrasonographic assessment is appropriate. Estimation of the date of the delivery will help with systemic chemotherapy planning. In addition, maternal fetal medicine consultation should include counseling regarding maintaining or terminating pregnancy. Counseling of the pregnant patient with breast cancer should include a review of the treatment options, which include mastectomy or breast-conserving surgery as well as the use of systemic therapy. The most common surgical procedure has been modified radical mastectomy. However, breast-conserving surgery is possible if radiation therapy can be delayed to the postpartum period,\(^{499}\) and breast-conserving therapy during pregnancy does not appear to have a negative impact on survival.\(^{499,500}\) When surgery is performed at 25 weeks of gestation or later, obstetrical and prenatal specialists must be on-site and immediately available in the event of precipitous delivery of a viable fetus.

Although there are a limited number of isolated case reports and small retrospective studies evaluating use of SLN biopsy in the pregnant patients,\(^{501,502}\) the sensitivity and specificity of the procedure has not been established in this setting. Thus, there are insufficient data on which to base recommendations for its use in the pregnant woman. Decisions related to use of SLN biopsy in pregnancy should be individualized. A review of the relative and absolute contraindications to sentinel node biopsy concluded that sentinel node biopsy should not be offered to pregnant women under 30 weeks gestation.\(^{503}\) There are limited data with only case reports and estimations of fetal radiation dose regarding use of radioactive tracer (eg, technetium 99m sulfur colloid).\(^{504-506}\) Isosulfan blue or methylene blue dye for sentinel node biopsy procedures is discouraged during pregnancy.

The indications for systemic chemotherapy are the same in the pregnant patient as in the non-pregnant breast cancer patient, although chemotherapy should not be administered at any point during the first trimester of pregnancy. The largest experience in pregnancy has been with anthracycline and alkylating agent chemotherapy.\(^{507,508}\) Collected data of chemotherapy exposure in utero indicate that the first trimester has the greatest risk of fetal malformation.\(^{509,510}\) Fetal malformation risks in the second and third trimester are approximately 1.3%, not different than that of fetuses not exposed to chemotherapy during pregnancy. If systemic therapy is initiated, fetal monitoring prior to each chemotherapy cycle is appropriate. Chemotherapy during pregnancy should not be given after week 35 of pregnancy or within 3 weeks of planned delivery in order to avoid the potential for hematologic complications during delivery. Data from a single institution prospective study indicate that FAC chemotherapy (5-FU 500 mg/m\(^2\) IV days 1 and 4, doxorubicin 50 mg/m\(^2\) by IV infusion over 72 hours, and cyclophosphamide 500 mg/m\(^2\) IV day 1) may be given with relative
safety during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy. Ondansetron, lorazepam, and dexamethasone can be used as part of the pre-chemotherapy antiemetic regimen. As reported by Gwyn et al, the median gestational age at delivery was 38 weeks, more than 50% of the patients had vaginal delivery, and there have been no fetal deaths. An update of this experience reported on 57 women treated with FAC in the adjuvant or neoadjuvant setting. There were 57 live births. A survey of parents/guardians reported on the health of 40 children. There was one child with Down’s syndrome and two with congenital abnormalities (club foot; congenital bilateral ureteral reflux). The children are reported to be healthy and progressing well in school. Ondansetron, lorazepam, and dexamethasone can be used as part of the pre-chemotherapy antiemetic regimen.

There are limited data on the use of taxanes during pregnancy. If used, the NCCN Panel recommends weekly administration of paclitaxel after the first trimester if clinically indicated by disease status. There are only case reports of trastuzumab use during pregnancy. The majority of these case reports indicated oligo- or anhydramnios with administration of trastuzumab; fetal renal failure occurred in one case. If trastuzumab is otherwise indicated, it should be administered in the postpartum period; the Panel recommends against its use during pregnancy.

A single case report of first trimester exposure to lapatinib during treatment for breast cancer reported an uncomplicated delivery of a healthy female neonate.

Endocrine therapy and radiation therapy are contraindicated during pregnancy. Endocrine therapy and radiation therapy, if indicated, should thus not be initiated until the postpartum period.

Communication between the oncologist and maternal fetal medicine specialist is essential at every visit and for every treatment decision point for the patient.

Inflammatory Breast Cancer

Inflammatory breast cancer (IBC) is a rare, aggressive form of breast cancer estimated to account for 1% to 6% of breast cancer cases in the United States. IBC is a clinical diagnosis that requires erythema and dermal edema (peau d’orange) of a third or more of the skin of the breast with a palpable border to the erythema.

IBC is usually hormone receptor-negative and is more frequently HER2-positive than the usual ductal breast cancers. Studies on gene expression profiling of IBC have demonstrated that all the subtypes of IBC exist, but basal and HER2 overexpressed are more frequent. According to the 7th edition of the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, IBC is classified as stage IIIB, stage IIIC, or stage IV breast cancer, depending on the degree of nodal involvement and whether distant metastases are present. The primary tumor of IBC is classified as T4d by definition, even when no mass is specifically apparent in the breast. On radiographic imaging, findings of skin thickening and, in some cases, an underlying mass are observed. Despite use of the term “inflammatory,” the characteristic clinical features of IBC are due to blockage of dermal lymphatics by tumor emboli. Although a biopsy is required to evaluate for the presence of cancer in breast tissue and the dermal lymphatics, a diagnosis of IBC is based on clinical findings, and dermal lymphatic involvement is neither required for, nor sufficient by itself, to assign a diagnosis of IBC. The differential diagnosis includes cellulitis of the breast and mastitis.

In the past, IBC has often been placed under the general heading of locally advanced breast cancer. There is a growing body of evidence...
that IBC patients, when compared with noninflammatory forms of locally advanced breast cancer, are more likely to have a less favorable prognosis\textsuperscript{532-534} and to be younger at the time of disease presentation.\textsuperscript{535} Hormone receptor-positive IBC is associated with a slightly more favorable prognosis,\textsuperscript{529,536} whereas HER2 overexpression in IBC is associated with a poor prognosis.\textsuperscript{529,537}

The NCCN Panel acknowledges that studies focusing on genetic characterization of IBC are needed to more clearly define IBC as a disease entity and to optimize treatment.\textsuperscript{538,539} Nevertheless, current evidence provides justification for a separate guideline for the workup and treatment of patients diagnosed with IBC.

**Stage T4d, N0- N3, M0**

**Workup**

Women with a clinical/pathologic diagnosis of IBC without distant metastasis (stage T4d, N0-N3, M0) should undergo a thorough staging evaluation.

Recommendations for workup include a complete history and physical examination involving a CBC and platelet count.

A pathology review and pre-chemotherapy determinations of tumor hormone receptor and HER2 receptor status should be performed. HER2 has a predictive role in determining which patients with IBC will benefit from HER2 targeted therapy. The NCCN Panel endorses the CAP protocol for pathology reporting (www.cap.org) and endorses the ASCO CAP recommendations for quality control performance of HER2 testing and interpretation of IHC and ISH results.\textsuperscript{23}

Imaging studies help facilitate image-guided biopsy, delineate locoregional disease, and identify distant metastases. Evaluation of all women suspected with IBC must include diagnostic bilateral mammogram, with the addition of ultrasound as necessary. A breast MRI scan is optional.

Evaluations for the presence of distant metastasis in the asymptomatic patient include liver function testing, bone scan or sodium fluoride PET/CT (category 2B), and diagnostic CT imaging of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis (category 2B; category 2A for diagnostic CT imaging of the chest when pulmonary symptoms are present).

FDG PET/CT may be most helpful in situations where standard imaging results are equivocal or suspicious. However, there is limited evidence suggesting that PET/CT may be a useful adjunct to standard imaging of IBC due to the increased risk of regional lymph node involvement and distant spread of disease in this group of patients.\textsuperscript{91,92,540,541} Nevertheless, equivocal or suspicious sites identified by FDG PET/CT scanning or other imaging methods should be biopsied for confirmation of stage IV disease whenever possible. FDG PET/CT is a category 2B recommendation. The consensus of the Panel is that FDG PET/CT can be performed at the same time as diagnostic CT. If FDG PET and diagnostic CT are performed and both clearly indicate bone metastases, bone scan or sodium fluoride PET/CT may not be needed.

Genetic counseling is recommended if the patient is considered to be at high risk of hereditary breast cancer as defined by the NCCN Guidelines for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian.

**Treatment**

The treatment of patients with IBC should involve a combined modality approach\textsuperscript{525} comprising preoperative chemotherapy followed by surgery (mastectomy) and radiotherapy.
Preoperative Chemotherapy
There are no large randomized trials evaluating the optimal systemic treatment of IBC, since it is a rare disease. The systemic therapy recommendations are based on data from retrospective analyses, small prospective studies, and data from non-IBC, locally advanced breast cancer.

The benefit of preoperative chemotherapy followed by mastectomy over preoperative chemotherapy alone in patients with IBC was shown in a retrospective analysis in which lower local recurrence rates and longer disease-specific survival were reported for the combined modality approach. Results from a large retrospective study of patients with IBC performed over a 20-year period at The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center demonstrated that initial treatment with doxorubicin-based chemotherapy followed by local therapy (ie, radiation therapy or mastectomy, or both) and additional postoperative chemotherapy resulted in a 15-year disease-free survival rate of 28%.

A retrospective study demonstrated that addition of a taxane to an anthracycline-based regimen improved PFS and OS in patients with ER-negative IBC. A systematic review found evidence for an association between the intensity of preoperative therapy and the likelihood of a pathologic complete response. A study of IBC patients, with cytologically confirmed ALN metastases, treated with anthracycline-based chemotherapy with or without a taxane indicated that more patients receiving the anthracycline-taxane combination achieved a pathologic complete response compared with those who received only anthracycline-based therapy. In addition, patients who had a pathologic complete response in the ALNs had superior overall- and disease-free survival compared with those with residual axillary disease.

The NCCN Panel recommends preoperative chemotherapy with an anthracycline-based regimen with or without taxanes for the initial treatment of patients with IBC. The Panel also recommends completing the planned chemotherapy prior to mastectomy. If the chemotherapy was not completed preoperatively, it should be completed post-operatively.

Targeted Therapy
All women with hormone receptor-positive IBC are recommended to receive endocrine therapy sequentially after completing the planned preoperative chemotherapy.

For women with HER2-positive disease, the addition of trastuzumab to primary systemic chemotherapy is associated with better response rates. A prospective study that randomized women with locally advanced breast cancers, including those with IBC, to neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy with or without trastuzumab for 1 year demonstrated that the addition of trastuzumab significantly improved the response rate and event-free survival.

Results of small phase II trials indicate that other HER2 targeting agents such as lapatinib and pertuzumab have IBC. These results need further validation from larger prospective trials.

The NCCN Panel recommends inclusion of trastuzumab in the chemotherapy regimen, and is recommended for patients with HER2-positive disease. There are no available data to indicate the optimal duration of trastuzumab specifically among women with IBC. However, based on the available data, the Panel recommends continuing trastuzumab therapy for up to 1 year.
Determination of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in IBC should include a combination of physical examination and radiologic assessment.

**Surgery**

Patients with a clinical/pathologic diagnosis of IBC should always be treated with chemotherapy before surgery. It has been known for many years that surgical treatment as primary treatment of patients with IBC is associated with poor outcomes. \(^{554}\) SLN dissection is not a reliable method of assessing ALNs among women with IBC. \(^{555}\) Use of breast-conserving surgery in patients with IBC has been associated with poor cosmesis, and limited data suggest that rates of local recurrence may be higher when compared with mastectomy. Breast-conserving therapy is not recommended for patients with IBC.

Mastectomy with level I/II ALN dissection is the recommended surgical procedure recommended by the NCCN Panel for patients who respond to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. The NCCN Panel has listed delayed breast reconstruction as an option that can be recommended to women with IBC who have undergone a modified radical mastectomy. Reconstruction of the breasts soon after mastectomy may compromise the post-mastectomy radiation therapy outcomes. \(^{556}\)

For patients with IBC who *do not* respond to preoperative chemotherapy, mastectomy is not recommended. Additional systemic chemotherapy and/or preoperative radiation should be considered for these patients, and patients responding to this secondary therapy should undergo mastectomy and subsequent treatment as described above.

**Radiation**

After mastectomy, radiation therapy is recommended after the completion of the planned chemotherapy.

The probability of locoregional lymph node involvement is high for women with IBC. To reduce the risk of local recurrence, the Panel recommends radiation therapy to the chest wall and the supraclavicular region. If the internal mammary lymph node(s) is clinically or pathologically involved, radiation therapy should include the internal mammary nodes. If the internal mammary nodes are not clinically or pathologically involved, then including the internal mammary nodes in the radiation therapy field is at the discretion of the treating radiation oncologist (category 3). For HER2-positive disease, trastuzumab may be administered concomitantly with radiation therapy.

**Stage IV or Recurrent IBC**

Patients with stage IV or recurrent IBC should be treated according to the guidelines for recurrence/stage IV breast cancer (See NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer).

**Axillary Breast Cancer**

Occult breast cancer presenting with axillary metastases is an unusual presentation that can be a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge. Evidence to support recommendations on the management of patients presenting with axillary breast cancer comes from a limited number of retrospective studies involving small numbers of patients. \(^{557-559}\) (see also references therein). Although treatment of women with axillary metastases from an unknown primary tumor has typically involved mastectomy and axillary nodal dissection, some of these patients have also been successfully treated with axillary nodal dissection followed by radiation therapy. \(^{558,559}\)
Patients with a suspected occult primary breast cancer will typically present to the oncologist after undergoing an initial biopsy: core needle biopsy (preferred), and/or FNA. Accurate pathologic assessment of the biopsied material is most important. Therefore, the pathologist must be consulted to determine whether the available biopsy material is adequate, or if additional biopsy material is necessary (eg, core needle, incisional, or excisional biopsy) to provide an accurate and complete diagnosis.

**Workup for Possible Primary Breast Cancer**

MRI of the breast can facilitate the identification of occult breast cancer, and help select those patients most likely to benefit from mastectomy. For example, in a study of 40 patients with biopsy-proven breast cancer in the axilla, and a negative or indeterminate mammogram, MRI identified the primary breast lesion in 70% of the patients. In addition, of the 7 patients with a negative MRI who subsequently underwent ALN dissection and radiation therapy to the whole breast, no evidence of local recurrence was evident at a median follow-up of 19 months.

The *NCCN Guidelines for Occult Primary Cancer* provide guidance on the diagnosis and initial workup of patients with a suspicious axillary mass without any signs of a primary tumor. A small subset of these patients may have a primary cancer in the axillary tail of the breast. Adenocarcinoma with positive axillary nodes and mediastinal nodes in a woman is highly suggestive of a breast primary. Adenocarcinoma in the supraclavicular nodes, chest, peritoneum, retroperitoneum, liver, bone, or brain could also indicate primary breast cancer in women. The guidelines suggest the use of a mammogram and breast ultrasound for such patients.

Testing for immunohistochemical markers including ER/PR and HER2 is recommended. Elevated ER/PR levels provide strong evidence for a breast cancer diagnosis. MRI of the breast should be considered for a patient with histopathologic evidence of breast cancer when mammography and ultrasound are not adequate to assess the extent of the disease. MRI may be especially helpful in women with dense breast tissue, positive axillary nodes, and suspected occult primary breast tumor or to evaluate the chest wall. Breast MRI has been shown to be useful in identifying the primary site in patients with occult primary breast cancer and may also facilitate breast conservation in selected women by allowing for lumpectomy instead of mastectomy. In one report, the primary site was identified using MRI in about half of the women presenting with axillary metastases, irrespective of the breast density.

**Treatment for Possible Primary Breast Cancer**

Patients with MRI-positive breast disease should undergo evaluation with ultrasound or MRI-guided biopsy and receive treatment according to the clinical stage of the breast cancer. Treatment recommendations for those with MRI-negative disease are based on nodal status. For patients with T0, N1, M0 disease, options include mastectomy plus axillary nodal dissection or axillary nodal dissection plus whole breast irradiation with or without nodal irradiation. Systemic chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, or trastuzumab is given according to the recommendations for stage II or III disease. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy, trastuzumab, and endocrine therapy should be
considered for patients with T0, N2-N3, M0 disease followed by axillary nodal dissection and mastectomy as for patients with locally advanced disease.

**Summary**

The therapeutic options for patients with noninvasive or invasive breast cancer are complex and varied. In many situations, the patient and physician have the responsibility to jointly explore and select the most appropriate option from among the available alternatives.

With few exceptions, the evaluation, treatment, and follow-up recommendations in these guidelines are based on the results of past and present clinical trials. However, there is not a single clinical situation in which the treatment of breast cancer has been optimized with respect to either maximizing cure or minimizing toxicity and disfigurement. Therefore, patient/physician participation in prospective clinical trials allows patients to not only receive state-of-the-art cancer treatment but also to contribute to improving the treatment of future patients.
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